

1 Harvey Rosenfield, SBN 123082

2 Pamela Pressley, SBN 180362

3 William Pletcher, SBN 212664

4 Benjamin Powell, SBN 311624

5 Ryan Mellino, SBN 342497

6 **CONSUMER WATCHDOG**

7 6330 San Vicente Blvd., Suite 250

8 Los Angeles, CA 90048

9 Tel. (310) 392-0522

10 Fax (310) 861-0862

11 harvey@consumerwatchdog.org

12 pam@consumerwatchdog.org

13 will@consumerwatchdog.org

14 ben@consumerwatchdog.org

15 ryan@consumerwatchdog.org

16 Attorneys for CONSUMER WATCHDOG

17 **BEFORE THE INSURANCE COMMISSIONER  
18 OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

19 In the Matter of the Rate Applications of

20 State Farm General Insurance  
21 Company,  
22 Applicant.

23 File Nos.: PA-2024-00011, PA-2024-00012,  
24 PA-2024-00013

25 **CONSUMER WATCHDOG'S NOTICE  
26 OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR  
27 ORDER SHORTENING TIME FOR  
28 APPLICANT STATE FARM GENERAL  
INSURANCE COMPANY TO RESPOND  
TO DISCOVERY REQUESTS FOR  
APRIL 8, 2025 HEARING ON  
STIPULATION TO INTERIM RATE;  
MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND  
AUTHORITIES**

29 [Proposed Order filed concurrently herewith]

1 **TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:**

2 **PLEASE TAKE NOTICE** that on the earliest date as may be set by the Administrative  
3 Law Judge, Intervenor Consumer Watchdog will, and hereby does, move for an order shortening  
4 the time for Applicant State Farm General Insurance Company (“State Farm”) to serve responses  
5 to Consumer Watchdog’s pending discovery requests concerning State Farm’s “emergency  
6 interim rate” requests. Consumer Watchdog respectfully requests that the appointed  
7 Administrative Law Judge order that State Farm serve its written responses, including the  
8 production of all responsive documents, within three days of service of this request. This  
9 application is made on the grounds that an evidentiary hearing on the two-way stipulation  
10 concerning State Farm’s interim rate requests is scheduled for April 8, 2025, and absent  
11 shortened time, Consumer Watchdog will be unable to obtain and review the necessary discovery  
12 in advance of the hearing, causing severe prejudice to Consumer Watchdog’s rights and the  
13 public interest. Good cause therefore exists to accelerate State Farm’s discovery response  
14 deadline so that the upcoming hearing can be fair and fully informed.

16 Pursuant to Insurance Code section 1861.08(e), Government Code section 11507.6,  
17 California Code of Regulations, Title 10 (“10 CCR”), section 2655.1, and the Administrative  
18 Law Judge’s inherent and regulatory authority to manage litigation and discovery deadlines  
19 pursuant to 10 CCR section 2654.1, Consumer Watchdog seeks the relief described herein. This  
20 motion is based on the supporting Memorandum of Points and Authorities below, the pleadings  
21 and records on file in this proceeding, and such further oral and documentary evidence as may be  
22 presented prior to or at the hearing on this matter.

## **MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES**

## I. Introduction

Consumer Watchdog, Petitioner and Intervenor in this administrative rate proceeding, seeks a prompt order shortening the time for State Farm to respond to discovery. An evidentiary hearing is set to commence on April 8, 2025 on the two-way stipulation to interim rate increases requested by State Farm. Administrative Law Judge Karl Fredric J. Seligman held a scheduling conference on March 26, at which State Farm made clear that it had relevant materials related to the stipulation that it has not provided to Consumer Watchdog. On March 27, Administrative Law Judge Seligman issued an Amended Notice of Hearing on Stipulation and Order (“March 27 Order”), which invoked Insurance Code section 1861.08 as authority for adjudicating this proceeding through “an appropriately tailored formal hearing” … “during which each party may, among other rights, present evidence and make argument(s).” (March 27 Order, p. 2, fn. 5.) Consumer Watchdog promptly served discovery requests on State Farm on March 28, 2025, aimed at obtaining these and other appropriate relevant documents and information critical for the April 8 hearing. Absent expedited discovery, Consumer Watchdog will not receive the information in time to prepare for the hearing, undermining its ability to advocate effectively on behalf of consumers. Under the standard timelines under 10 CCR section 2655.1, State Farm’s responses would not be due until April 7, 2025 (ten days after service). This leaves virtually no time for Consumer Watchdog to review the responses, engage in any meet-and-confer or motion practice over insufficient responses, or incorporate the information into its hearing presentation. Without relief, Consumer Watchdog and the Administrative Law Judge would be prejudiced by proceeding to the interim rate hearing without the benefit of any discovery or disclosure or basic supporting information, defeating the purpose of the discovery rights guaranteed by law and the purpose of holding a meaningful public hearing. Good cause therefore exists for the Administrative Law Judge to exercise discretion and order a shortened deadline of three days for State Farm’s discovery responses.

1           **II. Factual Background**

2           State Farm General Insurance Company (“State Farm”) has applied for rate increases for  
3           its homeowners, renters/condo, and rental dwelling insurance policies, which is the subject of a  
4           pending administrative hearing before the California Department of Insurance. An evidentiary  
5           hearing on a proposed two-way stipulation between the Department and State Farm on the  
6           company’s “emergency interim rate” requests is scheduled for April 8, 2025. Consumer  
7           Watchdog initiated and timely intervened in these rate proceedings, representing the interests of  
8           insurance consumers pursuant to Insurance Code section 1861.10.

9           In preparation for the April 8 hearing, on March 28, 2025, Consumer Watchdog  
10          propounded its Discovery Requests to State Farm in connection with the April 8 hearing on the  
11          two-way stipulation, seeking documents and information relevant to the “emergency interim  
12          rate” requests.

13          These discovery requests were propounded under the governing administrative discovery  
14          rules (Ins. Code § 1861.08(e); Gov. Code § 11507.6; and 10 CCR § 2655.1) and were served by  
15          email on counsel for State Farm on March 28, 2025, following State Farm’s reveal that it  
16          possessed—and was planning to file on April 2—relevant information related to the April 8  
17          hearing.

18          The discovery seeks, among other things, the data, reports, analyses, and communications  
19          underlying State Farm’s “emergency interim rate” requests and the stipulation it reached with the  
20          Department, including documents relevant to written and oral statements made by State Farm to  
21          the Commissioner in support of its requests. The discovery also seeks information regarding  
22          State Farm’s pricing strategies over the relevant period, which is directly relevant to the issues of  
23          whether State Farm’s “concerning financial condition” and declining surplus are the result of its  
24          own strategic management decisions to not seek the full rate increases it claimed it needed  
25          between 2017 and early 2023. Given the less than two-week interval between the discovery  
26          service and the hearing date, Consumer Watchdog requested that State Farm provide expedited  
27          responses. State Farm does not agree to respond in time for the hearing. Accordingly, Consumer

1 Watchdog brings this motion to ensure that discovery is obtained in time for the hearing  
2 scheduled to commence on April 8.

3 Under the timelines in 10 CCR section 2655.1, State Farm would have ten days to  
4 respond to Consumer Watchdog's discovery requests. If State Farm were to take the full ten  
5 days, its responses would be due on or about April 7, 2025 (one day before the April 8 hearing).  
6 Any delay or insufficiency in those responses would leave no practical opportunity for Consumer  
7 Watchdog to resolve disputes or obtain relief before the hearing.

8 **III. Legal Authority**

9 The Insurance Code and the Administrative Procedure Act (APA) guarantee broad  
10 discovery rights to parties in administrative adjudications. Insurance Code section 1861.08  
11 requires that hearings shall be conducted pursuant to the APA (Gov. Code 11500 et seq.) and that  
12 “[d]iscovery shall be liberally construed.” (subds. (a) and (e).) Government Code section  
13 11507.6 provides that after a proceeding is initiated, any party, upon written request, is entitled  
14 to obtain relevant discovery from another party, including witness statements and “[a]ny other  
15 writing or thing which is relevant and which would be admissible in evidence.”

16 The Insurance Commissioner's regulations incorporate and supplement the APA and set  
17 specific timelines for discovery in rate proceedings. 10 CCR section 2655.1 governs discovery in  
18 insurance rate proceedings. Under 10 CCR section 2655.1, subdivision (a), unless a discovery  
19 request is served with the initial Notice of Hearing, “[t]he written response to any discovery  
20 request ... shall be served on the requesting party within ten (10) days of service of the discovery  
21 request.” In other words, State Farm's responses to Consumer Watchdog's discovery are  
22 ordinarily due within ten days of service (by April 7 in this case). The regulation further  
23 emphasizes that the scope of discoverable items is coextensive with the standards of Government  
24 Code section 11507.6 “liberally construed.” Thus, Consumer Watchdog is entitled to prompt  
25 production of *all* items relevant to the rate application that fall within the broad categories of  
26 discoverable information.

1       The same regulation explicitly recognizes the adjudicator's authority to manage  
2 discovery deadlines. Section 2655.1(e) provides: "*Nothing in this section shall prohibit the*  
3 *administrative law judge, in appropriate circumstances, from ... extending the time frame for*  
4 *discovery for good cause shown.*" (Emphasis added.) Although this provision speaks of  
5 extending time frames, by necessary implication it grants the administrative law judge (ALJ) the  
6 discretion to modify deadlines generally in the interest of justice. (See also 10 CCR § 2654.1.) In  
7 an urgent situation such as this, where adhering to the normal ten-day response period would  
8 undermine the proceeding, the ALJ certainly has authority, including inherent and regulatory  
9 authority to manage discovery and other case deadlines, to order a shortened response time for  
10 good cause. Indeed, the overall intent of the APA and the Commissioner's regulations is to  
11 ensure a fair hearing on the merits; this necessarily includes the power to accelerate discovery  
12 when needed to prevent prejudice. Insurance Code section 1861.08(e) provides for discovery  
13 disputes to be determined by the ALJ as provided in Government Code section 11507.7, which  
14 allows a party to move to compel discovery if responses are not provided. These statutes also  
15 support the ALJ's broad authority to enforce discovery obligations and tailor remedies to the  
16 case's exigencies. In sum, the law provides both the right to comprehensive discovery and the  
17 flexibility to adjust discovery scheduling to ensure that right is meaningful.

18

19 **IV. Argument: Good Cause Exists to Shorten Time for State Farm's Discovery  
Responses**

20       Good cause clearly exists to shorten State Farm's time to respond from ten days to three  
21 days. The interim rate hearing is just around the corner, currently set to commence on April 8,  
22 2025. Under the normal schedule, State Farm could wait until the last moment (April 7) to serve  
23 its responses. This would be untenable. Consumer Watchdog (and the Department's staff, if  
24 applicable) would have essentially *no time* to review the documents and information before the  
25 hearing. Critical analysis—such as identifying pertinent data, formulating cross-examination or  
26 rebuttal, or preparing any responsive exhibits—would be impossible on such short notice. The  
27 likely volume and complexity of the material (e.g., actuarial data, financial documents,  
28

1 communications regarding the interim rate stipulation) demands careful review. Even with  
2 expedited discovery, the April 8 hearing may proceed without a complete evidentiary record, to  
3 the detriment of a fair outcome. Requiring State Farm to respond within three days of service of  
4 this request will at least afford Consumer Watchdog a handful of days before the hearing to  
5 digest the information and follow up as necessary. Three days is a reasonable and necessary  
6 modification in light of the compressed overall timeline.

7 If this motion is not granted, Consumer Watchdog will suffer immediate and irreparable  
8 prejudice in its ability to participate in the proceeding. Consumer Watchdog has statutory rights  
9 to engage in discovery and to be heard at the rate hearing on behalf of the public. State Farm has  
10 raised its “concerning financial condition” as a basis for granting its requested “emergency  
11 interim rate” rate requests, and Consumer Watchdog has a right to introduce evidence (including  
12 documents and data within State Farm’s possession and control) and cross-examine opposing  
13 witnesses regarding the company’s contentions. (Gov. Code § 11513.) Depriving an intervenor  
14 of timely discovery to obtain that evidence and identities of persons with knowledge of the  
15 relevant issues in dispute essentially denies the public a voice in the process because Consumer  
16 Watchdog cannot effectively represent consumer interests without the facts. Moreover, the ALJ’s  
17 fact-finding would be impaired by a less developed record. In contrast, State Farm will not be  
18 prejudiced by the shortened deadline. State Farm is the party requesting extraordinary interim  
19 rate relief; presumably it is already prepared to justify its request and has control over the  
20 documents and information at issue. The discovery requests largely seek documents and  
21 data within State Farm’s possession relating to its own rate application and the proposed  
22 stipulation for interim rate relief. Producing this information on an expedited basis is feasible,  
23 especially given that many such documents will have been compiled in support of State Farm’s  
24 interim rate request. Any inconvenience to State Farm is outweighed by the need to prevent a  
25 one-sided hearing and potential miscarriage of justice by allowing State Farm to present only the  
26 evidence within its control that is most favorable to its position. It is well within the ALJ’s  
27  
28

1 authority to require a party seeking urgent relief (here, an interim rate increase) to comply  
2 with expedited procedural requirements in order to ensure fairness to all parties.

3 **V. Conclusion**

4 For the foregoing reasons, Consumer Watchdog respectfully requests that the presiding  
5 Administrative Law Judge issue an order as follows: (1) shortening the time for State Farm  
6 General Insurance Company to serve responses (including document production) to Consumer  
7 Watchdog's March 28 Discovery Requests to six days from the date of service of the requests;  
8 and (2) granting such further relief as is just and proper to effectuate the purposes of this  
9 application. This relief is necessary to prevent substantial prejudice to Consumer Watchdog's  
10 rights and to ensure a fair and informed hearing on the two-way stipulation concerning State  
11 Farm's "emergency interim rate" requests. Consumer Watchdog has demonstrated good cause  
12 and diligence, and no party will be unfairly harmed by the granting of this motion.

13 DATED: March 31, 2025

14 Respectfully submitted,

15 Harvey Rosenfield  
16 Pamela Pressley  
17 William Pletcher  
18 Benjamin Powell  
19 Ryan Mellino  
20 CONSUMER WATCHDOG

21 By:

22   
23 Pamela Pressley  
24 Attorneys for CONSUMER WATCHDOG

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**PROOF OF SERVICE**  
**BY OVERNIGHT OR U.S. MAIL, FAX TRANSMISSION,**  
**EMAIL TRANSMISSION AND/OR PERSONAL SERVICE**

**State of California, City of Los Angeles, County of Los Angeles**

I am employed in the City and County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the within action. My business address is 6330 South San Vicente Boulevard, Suite 250, Los Angeles, California 90048, and I am employed in the city and county where this service is occurring.

On March 31, 2025, I caused service of true and correct copies of the document entitled

**CONSUMER WATCHDOG'S NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR ORDER  
SHORTENING TIME FOR APPLICANT STATE FARM GENERAL INSURANCE  
COMPANY TO RESPOND TO DISCOVERY REQUESTS FOR APRIL 8, 2025 HEARING  
ON STIPULATION TO INTERIM RATE; MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND  
AUTHORITIES**

upon the persons named in the attached service list, in the following manner:

1. If marked FAX SERVICE, by facsimile transmission this date to the FAX number stated to the person(s) named.
2. If marked EMAIL, by electronic mail transmission this date to the email address stated.
3. If marked U.S. MAIL or OVERNIGHT or HAND DELIVERED, by placing this date for collection for regular or overnight mailing true copies of the within document in sealed envelopes, addressed to each of the persons so listed. I am readily familiar with the regular practice of collection and processing of correspondence for mailing of U.S. Mail and for sending of Overnight mail. If mailed by U.S. Mail, these envelopes would be deposited this day in the ordinary course of business with the U.S. Postal Service. If mailed Overnight, these envelopes would be deposited this day in a box or other facility regularly maintained by the express service carrier, or delivered this day to an authorized courier or driver authorized by the express service carrier to receive documents, in the ordinary course of business, fully prepaid.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on March 31, 2025 at Los Angeles, California.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Kaitlyn Gentile

## Service List

Hon. Karl Fredric J. Seligman  
Administrative Law Judge  
Administrative Hearing Bureau  
**California Department of Insurance**  
1901 Harrison Street, 3rd Floor  
Oakland, CA 94612  
Tel.: (415) 538-4243  
Fax: (510) 238-7828  
[Florinda.Cristobal@insurance.ca.gov](mailto:Florinda.Cristobal@insurance.ca.gov)  
[Camille.Johnson@insurance.ca.gov](mailto:Camille.Johnson@insurance.ca.gov)

- FAX
- U.S. MAIL
- OVERNIGHT MAIL
- HAND DELIVERED
- EMAIL

Vanessa Wells  
Victoria Brown  
**Hogan Lovells US LLP**  
855 Main Street, Suite 200  
Redwood City, CA 94063  
Tel.: (650) 463-4000  
Fax: (650) 463-4199  
[Vanessa.Wells@hoganlovells.com](mailto:Vanessa.Wells@hoganlovells.com)  
[Victoria.Brown@hoganlovells.com](mailto:Victoria.Brown@hoganlovells.com)

- FAX
- U.S. MAIL
- OVERNIGHT MAIL
- HAND DELIVERED
- EMAIL

*Attorneys for Applicant*

Katherine Wellington  
**Hogan Lovells US LLP**  
125 High Street, Suite 2010  
Boston, MA 02110  
Tel.: (617) 371-1000  
Fax: (617) 371-1037  
Katherine.Wellington@hoganlovells.com

- FAX
- U.S. MAIL
- OVERNIGHT MAIL
- HAND DELIVERED
- EMAIL

*Attorneys for Applicant*

Jordan D. Teti  
**Hogan Lovells US LLP**  
1999 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1400  
Los Angeles, CA 90067  
Tel.: (310) 785-4600  
Fax: (310) 785-4601  
[Jordan.Teti@hoganlovells.com](mailto:Jordan.Teti@hoganlovells.com)

- FAX
- U.S. MAIL
- OVERNIGHT MAIL
- HAND DELIVERED
- EMAIL

*Attorneys for Applicant*

1 Nikki McKenna  
2 Jennifer McCune  
3 Daniel Wade  
4 Duncan Montgomery  
5 **California Department of Insurance**  
6 1901 Harrison Street, 6th Floor  
7 Oakland, CA 94612  
8 Tel.: (415) 538-4500  
9 Fax: (510) 238-7830  
10 Nikki.McKennedy @insurance.ca.gov  
11 Jennifer.McCune@insurance.ca.gov  
12 Daniel.Wade@insurance.ca.gov  
13 Duncan.Montgomery@insurance.ca.gov

FAX  
 U.S. MAIL  
 OVERNIGHT MAIL  
 HAND DELIVERED  
 EMAIL

14 *Attorneys for CDI*

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28