## BEFORE THE INSURANCE COMMISSIONER

## OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

## KARL-FREDRIC SELIGMAN, ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

In the Matter of the Rate Application of:	)		
	) F	ile Nos.	PA-2024-00011
STATE FARM GENERAL INSURANCE	)		PA-2024-00012
COMPANY,	)		PA-2024-00013
	)		
Applicant.	) V(	olume III	
	)		

**CERTIFIED COPY** 

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Thursday, April 10, 2025

Reported by:

CHRISTINA L. RODRIGUEZ Hearing Reporter

Job No.: 54434 INS

1	BEFORE THE INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
2	OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
3	KARL-FREDRIC SELIGMAN, ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
4	
5	
6	In the Matter of the ) Rate Application of: )
7	) File Nos. PA-2024-00011 STATE FARM GENERAL INSURANCE ) PA-2024-00012 COMPANY, ) PA-2024-00013
9	Applicant. ) Volume III
10	)
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS, taken at
16	1901 Harrison Street, 3rd Floor, Oakland,
17	California, commencing at 10:08 a.m. on
18	on Thursday, April 10, 2025, heard before
19	KARL-FREDRIC SELIGMAN, Administrative Law Judge,
20	reported by Christina L. Rodriguez, Hearing
21	Reporter.
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES:	
2		
3	CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE:	BY: NIKKI MCKENNEDY DUNCAN MONTGOMERY
4	OF INDUITANCE.	1901 Harrison Street Sixth Floor
5		Oakland, CA 94612 415.538.4162
6		110,000,1101
7	HOGAN LOVELLS FOR STATE FARM GENERAL	BY: KATHERINE WELLINGTON 125 High Street
8	INSURANCE COMPANY:	Suite 801 Boston, MA 02110
9		617.371.1000
10		JORDAN TETI
11		MICHAEL M. MADDIGAN 1999 Avenue of the Stars
12		Suite 1400 Los Angeles, CA 90067
13		310.785.4600
14		JOHANNA CASSEL-WALKER Senior Associate
15		
16	CONSUMER WATCHDOG:	BY: PAMELA PRESSLEY WILLIAM PLETCHER
17		6330 San Vicente Boulevard Suite 250
18		Los Angeles, CA 90048 310.392.1372
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1		INDEX	
2	TINA SHAW		PAGE
3	DIRECT EXAMIN	ATION BY MS. McKENNEDY	23
4	CROSS-EXAMINA	TION BY MS. PRESSLEY	37
5			
6	CLOSING STATE	MENTS	
7	BY MR. PLETCH	ER	140
8	BY MS. MCKENN	EDY	153
9	BY MS. WELLIN	GTON	157
10			
11			
12		EXHIBITS	
13	CALIFORNIA	DESCRIPTION	RECEIVED
14	DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE:	ł'	
15	IRH-CDI-001	DECLARATION OF TINA SHAW	
16		SUBMITTED BY CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF	
17		INSURANCE IN SUPPORT OF HEARING ON OBJECTIONS TO	
18		STIPULATION PURSUANT TO 10 CCR 2656.1, filed and served in the	
19		above matters on April 1, 2025	27
20	IRH-CDI-002	Curriculum vitae (CV) of TINA SHAW	27
21	IRH-CDI-003	Materials reviewed	
22		and relied upon by TINA SHAW	27
23			
24			
25			

1		EXHIBITS (continued)	
2		DESCRIPTION	RECEIVED
3	STATE FARM GE	NERAL INSURANCE COMPANY:	
4	IRH-SFG-101	[2025-02-07] 2-Party Stipulation	
5		to Interim Rate	110
6	IRH-SFG-102	[2025-04-04] Supplement to Stipulation to	
7		Interim Rate	110
8	IRH-SFG-104	[SFG-DA-1] Curriculum Vitae of	
9		David Appel	110
10	IRH-SFG-105	[SFG-DA-2] [2025-02-26] Letter from Consumer Watchdog	
11		to Commissioner Lara regarding State Farm General s	
12		financial conditions	110
13	IRH-SFG-106	[SFG-DA-3] [2025-02-07] State Farm General s financial	
14		strength rating published by AM Best attached to Consumer	
15		Watchdog's February 26, 2025 Correspondence with the	110
16		Commissioner	110
17	TRH-SFG-107	[SFG-DA-4] [2025-02-25] S&P Credit Watch announcement	
18		attached to Consumer Watchdog's February 26, 2025 correspondence	110
19		with the Commissioner	110
20	IRH-SFG-108	[SFG-DA-5] [2025-03-24] Consumer Watchdog's Objections to State	
21		Farm General s Two-Way Stipulation to Interim Rate	110
22	IRH-SFG-109	2025-04-02]	
23		Declaration of Bryon Ehrhart	110
24			
25			

			1
1		EXHIBITS (continued)	
2		DESCRIPTION	RECEIVED
3	IRH-SFG-112	[SFG-NW-2] [2025-02-03] State Farm General s Letter to	
4		Commissioner Lara requesting emergency approval of	
5		interim rates	110
6	IRH-SFG-114	[SFG-NW-4] [2023-06-05] California Department of	
7		Insurance, Prior Approval Rate Filing	
8		Instructions	110
9	IRH-SFG-115	[SFG-NW-5] Non-Tenant Homeowner Rate Filing Exhibit,	
10		Exhibit 9	110
11	IRH-SFG-116	Tenant Rate Filing Exhibit,	110
12		Exhibit 9	110
13 14	IRH-SFG-117	[SFG-NW-7] Rental Dwelling Property Rate Filing Exhibit, Exhibit 9	110
15	IRH-SFG-118	[SFG-NW-8] Non-Tenant Rate Filing	
16		Exhibit, Exhibit 9 - Interim	110
17	IRH-SFG-119	[SFG-NW-9] Tenant Rate Filing Exhibit,	
18		Exhibit 9 - Interim	110
19	IRH-SFG-120	[SFG-NW-10] Rental Dwelling Property	
20		Rate Filing Exhibit, Exhibit 9 - Interim	110
21	IRH-SFG-121	[SFG-NW-11]Non-Tenant Homeowners	
22		Renter, Condominium, and Rental Dwelling Filing Exhibit, Exhibit T	110
24			110
25			
23			

1		EXHIBITS (continued)	
2		DESCRIPTION	RECEIVED
3	IRH-SFG-122	[SFG-NW-12] [2016-11-07] Precedential	110
4		Decision published by the California Department of	
5		Insurance regarding State Farm General Insurance Company, File	
6		No. PA-2015-0004	
7	IRH-SFG-123	[SFG-NW-13] Non-Tenant Rate Filing Exhibit, StdExhTl No Var	_
8		Exhibit 7	110
9	IRH-SFG-124	[SFG-NW-14] Tenant Rate Filing Exhibit, StdExhTl No Var -	
10		Exhibit 7	110
11	IRH-SFG-125	[SFG-NW-15] Rental Dwelling Property Rate Filing Exhibit,	
12		StdExhTl No Var - Exhibit 7	110
13	IRH-SFG-126	[SFG-NW-16] California Non-Tenan Homeowners Filing Memorandum	t 110
14 15	IRH-SFG-127	[SFG-NW-17] Non-Tenant Rate Filing Exhibit, StdExhTl No Var	- 110
16		Exhibit 8	
17	IRH-SFG-128	[SFG-NW-18] Tenant Rate Filing Exhibit, StdExhTl No Var - Exhibit 8	110
18	TRH-SFG-129	[SFG-NW-19] Rental Dwelling	
19	1101 51 6 129	Property Rate Filing Exhibit, StdExhTl No Var - Exhibit 8	110
20	IRH-SFG-130	[SFG-NW-20] Non-Tenant Rate	
21		Filing Exhibit, StdExhTl No Var Exhibit 5	- 110
22	IRH-SFG-131	[SFG-NW-21] Tenant Rate Filing	
23		Exhibit, StdExhTl No Var - Exhibit 5	110
24			-
25			

1		EXHIBITS (continued)	
2		DESCRIPTION	RECEIVED
3	IRH-SFG-132	[SFG-NW-22] Rental Dwelling Property Rate Filing Exhibit,	
4		StdExhTl No Var - Exhibit 5	110
5	IRH-SFG-133	[SFG-NW-23] State Farm General Company, California Tenant	
6		Homeowners Filing Memorandum	110
7	IRH-SFG-134	[SFG-NW-24] State Farm General Company, California Rental	
8		Dwelling Program Filing Memorandum	110
9	IRH-SFG-136	[SFG-NW-26]Non-Tenant	
10		PriorAppRateTl No Var - Interim	110
11	IRH-SFG-137	[SFG-NW-27] Rental Dwelling	
12		Property PriorAppRateTl No Var - Interim	110
13 14	IRH-SFG-138	[SFG-NW-28] Tenant PriorAppRateTl No Var - Interim	110
15	IRH-SFG-140	[SFG-NW-30] Non-Tenant PriorAppRateTl No Var	110
16 17	IRH-SFG-141	[SFG-NW-31] Tenant PriorAppRateTl No Var	110
18	IRH-SFG-142	[SFG-NW-32] Rental Dwelling Property PriorAppRateTl No Var	110
19 20	IRH-SFG-143	[2025-04-02] Declaration of Jordan D. Teti	110
21	IRH-SFG-144	[SFG-VW-1] [2024-03-20] Letter	110
22	1101 010 111	from State Farm General to Commissioner Lara	110
23	IRH-SFG-145	[SFG-VW-2] [2024-06-27] State Farm General Rate Application,	
24		Number 24-1271	110
25			

1		EXHIBITS (continued)	
2		DESCRIPTION	RECEIVED
3	IRH-SFG-146	[SFG-VW-3] [2024-06-27] State Farm General Rate Application,	
4		Number 24-1273	110
5	IRH-SFG-147	[SFG-VW-4] [2024-07-05] State Farm General Rate Application,	
6		Number 24-1330	110
7	IRH-SFG-148	[SFG-VW-5] [2025-03-14] Order Regarding State Farm General s	
8		Request For An Emergency Interim Rate Pending Rate Hearing	110
9	IRH-SFG-149	[SFG-VW-6] [2025-02-14] Letter	
10		from Commissioner Lara regarding State Farm General Insurance	
11		Company Request for Emergency Interim Rate Approval	110
12	IRH-SFG-150	[SFG-VW-7] [2017-12-22] Consumer	
13 14		Watchdog's Opposition Brief in State Farm General Ins. Co. V.	
15		Dave Jones, No. 37-2016- 00041469-CU-MC-CTL (San Diego Cnty. Super. Ct.)	110
16	IRH-SFG-151	[SFG-VW-8] [2020-11-02] Consumer	110
17	1101 21 3 131	Watchdog's Combined Appellant s Reply Brief and	
18		Cross-Respondent s Brief in State Farm General Ins. Co. V. Ricardo	
19		Lara, No. D075529 (Cal. Ct. App.)	110
20	IRH-SFG-152	[SFG-VW-9] [2025-02-03] Letter from State Farm General to	
21		Commissioner Lara regarding State Farm General Insurance Company	
22		Request for Emergency Interim Rate Approval	110
23	IRH-SFG-153	[SFG-VW-10] [2023-09-21] Governor Gavin Newsom's Executive Order	e
24		N-13-23	110
25			

1		EXHIBITS (continued)	
2		DESCRIPTION	RECEIVED
3	IRH-SFG-154	[SFG-VW-11] [2025-01-07] Governor Gavin Newsom's Proclamation of a	
4		State of Emergency	110
5 6	IRH-SFG-155	[SFG-VW-12] [2025-01-13] Commissioner Lara s Declaration of Emergency Situation	110
7	IRH-SFG-156	[SFG-VW-13] [2025-02-05] Letter	
8		from State Farm General to Commissioner Lara regarding State Farm General Insurance Company s	
9		Request for Emergency Interim Rate Approval	110
10	IRH-SFG-157	[SFG-VW-14] [2025-03-11] Letter	
11		from State Farm General to Commissioner Lara regarding State	
12 13		Farm General Insurance Company s Request for Emergency Interim Rate Approval	110
14	IRH-SFG-158	[SFG-VW-15] [2025-02-26]	
15		Transcript State Farm Emergency Interim Rate Approval hearing before Commissioner Lara	110
16	IRH-SFG-159	[SFG-VW-16] [2025-03-11]	
17		Transcript of the follow-up meeting regarding State Farm	
18		General Insurance Company s Request for an Emergency Interim	
19		Rate	110
20	IRH-SFG-160	[SFG-VW-17] [2024-08-26] Letter from Nikki McKennedy re: In the	
21		Matter of the Rate Application of State Farm General Insurance	
22		Company, File no. 24-1271, PA-2024-00012	110
23			
24			
25			

1			
1		EXHIBITS (continued)	
2		DESCRIPTION	RECEIVED
3	IRH-SFG-161	[SFG-VW-18] [2015-10-19] Pre-Filed Direct Testimony of	
4		Allan I. Schwartz regarding In the Matter of the Rate	
5		Application of State Farm General Insurance Company,	
6		File no. PA-2015-00004	110
7	IRH-SFG-162	[SFG-VW-19] [2025-03-17] The California Department of	
8		Insurance s Notice of Hearing Re: In the Matter of the Rate	
9		Applications of State Farm General Insurance Company, File	
10		Nos. PA-2024-00011, PA-2024-00012, PA-2024-00013	110
11	IRH-SFG-167	State Farm, Rental Dwelling Insurance	
13		Https://www.statefarm.com/ insurance/rental-properties/	
14		rental-homes	110
15	IRH-SFG-168	State Farm, What is home insurancecoverage?	
16		<pre>Https://www.statefarm.com/ insurance/homeowners/home-</pre>	
17		insurance-coverage	110
18	IRH-SFG-172	Insurance, Key Statistics & Data	
19		<pre>Https://www.cfpnet.com/key- statistics-data/</pre>	110
20	IRH-SFG-173	U.S. Census Bureau, B25081 Mortgage Status Chart.	
21		<pre>Https://data.census.gov/table/ ACSDT5Y2022.B25081?g=040XX00US06</pre>	110
22	IRH-SFG-174	Five Year History pages in the	
23		2019 and 2025 Annual Statements of State Farm General Insurance	
24		Company(NAIC #25151)	110
25			

1		EXHIBITS (continued)	
2		DESCRIPTION	RECEIVED
3	IRH-SFG-176	[2025-02-05] Consumer Watchdog's Letter to Commissioner Lara Re:	
4		Interim Rate Request	110
5	IRH-SFG-177	[2025-02-07] Consumer Watchdog's Response to Proposed Stipulation	
6		For Emergency Interim Rate Approval	110
7	IRH-SFG-178	[2025-03-24] Consumer Watchdog's	
8 9		Objections to 2-Party Stipulation to Interim Rate	110
10	IRH-SFG-179	[2025-03-24] Declaration of Benjamin Armstrong ISO Consumer	
11		Watchdog's Objections	110
12	CONSUMER WATC	HDOG:	
13	IRH-CWD-201	Consumer Watchdog's First Set of	
14		Discovery Requests Propounded on State Farm	111
15	IRH-CWD-202	Consumer Watchdog's Second Set of Discovery Requests Propounded on	
16		State Farm	111
17	IRH-CWD-203	Consumer Watchdog letter to CDI regarding Consumer Watchdog's	
18		July 26, 2024 Discovery Requests	111
19	IRH-CWD-204	CDI letter to Consumer Watchdog regarding Consumer Watchdog's	
20	TDII CWD 20E	July 26, 2024 Discovery Requests State Farm letter to Consumer	111
22	IRH-CWD-205	Watchdog regarding Consumer Watchdog's July 26, 2024	
23		Discovery Requests	111
24	IRH-CWD-207	State Farm letter to Commissioner Lara requesting emergency interim	1
25		rate approval	111

1		EXHIBITS (continued)	
2		DESCRIPTION	RECEIVED
3	IRH-CWD-208	Consumer Watchdog letter to Commissioner Lara regarding State	
4		Farm's emergency interim rate approval request	111
5	IRH-CWD-209	CDI letter to Commissioner Lara	111
6		regarding State Farm's emergency interim rate approval request	
7		Two-Way Stipulation to Emergency Interim Rate Request	
8		between CDI and State Farm Proposed Order	111
9	IRH-CWD-210	Consumer Watchdog letter to CDI	
10		in response to proposed Two-way Stipulation to Emergency Interim	
11		Rate Request between CDI and State Farm	111
12	IRH-CWD-211	Commissioner Lara letter to State	
13		Farm regarding State Farm's emergency interim rate approval	
14		request proposing February 26, 2025 informal conference	111
15	IRH-CWD-212	Consumer Watchdog letter to	
16		Commissioner Lara regarding February 26, 2025 informal conference	111
17	TDU GUD 212		111
18 19	IRH-CWD-213	Commissioner Lara letter to Consumer Watchdog regarding February 26, 2025 informal	
20		conference	111
21	IRH-CWD-214	State Farm letter to Commissioner Lararegarding February 26, 2025	
22		informal conference	111
23	IRH-CWD-215	Consumer Watchdog Memo to All Parties re Consumer Watchdog's	
24		Review of Proposed Emergency Interim Rate Approval	111
25			
-			

			1
1		EXHIBITS (continued)	
2		DESCRIPTION	RECEIVED
3	IRH-CWD-216	Transcript of Proceedings, State Farm Emergency Rate Approval	111
4	IRH-CWD-217	Consumer Watchdog letter to	111
5	11111 0115 217	Commissioner Lara regarding Consumer Watchdog's analysis of	
6		information presented by State Farm at February 26 informal	
7		conference	111
8	IRH-CWD-218	Consumer Watchdog letter to Commissioner Lara regarding	
9		statements made by Haden Kirkpatrick	111
10	IRH-CWD-219	Transcript of Proceedings, Follow-Up Meeting re State Farm	
12		Request for Emergency Interim Rate	111
13	IRH-CWD-220	State Farm letter to Deputy	111
14		Commissioner Lucy Wang regarding State Farm's emergency interim	111
15	IRH-CWD-221	rate approval request  State Farm letter to Commissioner	
16	IIII CWD 221	Lara regarding State Farm's emergency interim rate approval	-
17		request	111
18	IRH-CWD-222	Consumer Watchdog letter to Commissioner Lara regarding State	2
19		Farm's emergency interim rate approval request	111
20	IRH-CWD-223	Email correspondence between	
21		State Farm and Deputy Commissioner Lucy Wang	111
22	IRH-CWD-225	CDI letter to ALJ Latimer requesting limited in-person	
24		attendance at April 8, 2025 Hearing	111
25		11001 1119	***

1		E X H I B I T S (continued)	
2		DESCRIPTION	RECEIVED
3	IRH-CWD-226	Consumer Watchdog letter to ALJ Latimer regarding CDI s request	
4		for limited in-person attendance at April 8, 2025 hearing	111
5	IRH-CWD-227	_	111
6	IIII CWD 227	Seligman	108
7	IRH-CWD-230	Ben Armstrong CV	111
8	IRH-CWD-231	Allan I. Schwartz CV	111
9	IRH-CWD-232	Data from SFG Schedule F, Part 3	111
10	IRH-CWD-233	Supplemental Exhibit 9A, SFG Exhibit Q, produced with SFG s	
11		July 10, 2024 responses to CDI s objections	111
12			111
13	IRH-CWD-234	State Farm General Insurance Company California Homeowners Insurance Rate	
14		Filing, SERFF Tracking #: SFMA- 133569018, State Tracking #:	
15		23-613, Company Tracking #: HO-45657, PDF	י
16		File HO Filing Exhibits , Rate Level History,	
17		Exhibit 2	111
18	IRH-CWD-235	S&P Global Ratings, State Farm General Insurance Co. AA Ratings	,
19		Placed on Credit Watch, Negative On Weakening Capital Position	111
20	IRH-CWD-236	Jean Eaglesham, Wall Street	111
21	IRII-CWD-230	Journal, State Farm Was All in or California Until It Pulled the	n
22		Plug Before the Fires	111
23	IRH-CWD-237	Actuarial Standard of Practice No. 29, Expense Provisions for	
24		Prospective Property/Casualty Risk Transfer and Risk Retention	111
25		KISK ITAHSTEL AND KISK RECENTION	111

1		EXHIBITS (continued)	
2		DESCRIPTION	RECEIVED
3	IRH-CWD-238	Actuarial Standard of Practice No. 30, Treatment of Profit and	
4		Contingency Provisions and the Cost of Capital in	
5		Property/Casualty Insurance Ratemaking	111
6	IRH-CWD-239	Actuarial Standard of Practice	
7	TIVII CMD-733	No. 53, Estimating Future Costs for Prospective Property/Casualty	
8		Risk Transfer and Risk Retention	111
9	IRH-CWD-242	Juan Carlos Arancibia, Investor s Business Daily, Homebuilding	
10		Stocks Reverse Higher As This Key Material Escapes Tariffs	111
11	IRH-CWD-243	National Association of Home	
12		Builders, In Win for NAHB, Canadian Lumber Exempt	
13		From Trump s Global Reciprocal Tariffs	111
14	IRH-CWD-245		
15	TRH-CWD-245	Order Adopting Revised Proposed Decision, In the Matter of State Farm, File No. PA-2015-00004	111
16		2014 California P & C Market	111
17	IRH-CWD-246	Share Report, Homeowners Multiple Peril	111
18	TDII CUD 045		***
19	IRH-CWD-247	2018 California P & C Market Share Report, Homeowners Multiple Peril	111
20	TDII GUD 040		***
21	IRH-CWD-248	2023 California P & C Market Share Report, Homeowners Multiple Peril	111
22	TDII 0175 040		***
23	IRH-CWD-249	2023 State Farm Schedule Y, Part 1A	111
24	IRH-CWD-250	2023 State Farm Schedule D, Part 2, Section 2	111
25		2, 55551011 2	± ± ±

1		EXHIBITS (continued)	
2		DESCRIPTION	RECEIVED
3	IRH-CWD-252	State Farm General Insurance Company: Update on California	111
4	TDU CWD 3E3		
5	IRH-CWD-253	Declaration of Benjamin A. Armstrong in Support of Consumer Watchdog's Objections to CDI and	
6		State Farm's Two-Way Stipulation to Interim Rate	111
7	IRH-CWD-254	Supplemental Declaration of	
8		Benjamin A. Armstrong in Support of Consumer Watchdog's Reply	
9		Brief in Opposition to State Farm General s Request for	
10		Interim Rate Increase	111
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1	THURSDAY, APRIL 10, 2025
2	10:08 a.m.
3	
4	
5	THE COURT: Good morning. My name is Karl-Fredric
6	Seligman. I am the Administrative Law Judge presiding
7	over this matter. Today is Thursday, April 10th, 2025,
8	and we're here on our third day of the evidentiary hearing
9	on the stipulation of the matter of State Farm General
10	Insurance in cases PA-2024-00011, 00012, and 00013.
11	With that, I will start with appearances,
12	starting with State Farm General, please.
13	MR. TETI: Yes, Your Honor. Jordan Teti with Hogan
14	Lovells for State Farm General.
15	MS. WELLINGTON: Katherine Wellington with Hogan
16	Lovells for State Farm General.
17	MR. MADDIGAN: Good morning, Your Honor.
18	Michael Maddigan with Hogan Lovells for State Farm
19	General.
20	THE COURT: Thank you.
21	And the Department, please.
22	MS. McKENNEDY: Good morning, Your Honor.
23	Nikki McKennedy for the California Department of
24	Insurance.
25	MR. MONTGOMERY: Duncan Montgomery for the Department

1 of Insurance. 2 THE COURT: Thank you. 3 And Consumer Watchdog, please. 4 MR. PLETCHER: Good morning, Your Honor. 5 Will Pletcher on behalf of Consumer Watchdog. MS. PRESSLEY: Good morning, Your Honor. 6 It's 7 Pamela Pressley with Consumer Watchdog. Good morning, once again, and thank you. 8 THE COURT: 9 So we, yesterday, concluded with the 10 witness -- the last witness from State Farm General, I 11 believe, with the expectation that we would be turning this morning to the Department calling its first witness, 12 13 perhaps the only witness. 14 And is that still the plan, Ms. McKennedy? 15 MS. McKENNEDY: Yes, Your Honor. Would you like me to start with an offer of proof as to what Ms. Shaw's 16 17 testimony will show? 18 THE COURT: Well, let's talk about that. We kind of 19 navigated around the issue of the -- the objection with 20 the -- in connection with the motion in limine; also, in 21 the record, the objections as to both the RBC and the standing objection on all financial information, which 22 23 would be largely attributable to most of the testimony from all the witnesses. 2.4

So I'd like the tools to be assigned to the

25

right -- the right purpose, ideally; but I'm going to ask Consumer Watchdog what they -- what they're thinking and the alternative to the examination.

MS. PRESSLEY: Your Honor, I think that we were going to proceed with cross-examination, and, to the extent that those issues come up, it can be noted. I know we were served with the Department's opposition to the motion on the RBC issues with relation to the -- Shaw's testimony and Appel's testimony, so we haven't read that yet.

THE COURT: Sure. That just came in.

MS. PRESSLEY: Yeah.

THE COURT: So I think, like I said, it's better to have the right tools for the right thing. If I granted the motion and excluded the evidence, then we'll be looking more to voir dire type approach with an offer of proof. Even though this is administrative law and we can kind of play with the tools, it's better if we line them correctly.

And since you're prepared to handle it in that manner, came to the suggestions/reminders that I made yesterday. Please try to be mindful of keeping the record as clear as we can.

We'll talk about that a little bit later before we conclude today so that I can ask the parties to help in making sure that that happens, but as the presentation of

evidence is going forward with the testimony, then we know what parts of the transcript in the record that you're objecting to.

MS. PRESSLEY: Okay. Your Honor, so would you like me to make those objections as the testimony comes in? Or at the beginning of that?

THE COURT: Well, giving that -- giving Consumer
Watchdog a standing objection as to financial information,
so I think that that's pretty broad, but that goes to
almost everything, but I'm more concerned with the RBC as
it's -- so that we don't have a problem with discerning
that from the record.

MS. PRESSLEY: Okay.

2.4

THE COURT: So I understand it, and I've repeatedly said I'm going to continue to recognize it, and I will deal with it in the decision -- your concerns with regard to that. I want to be able to know where in the record, the long run, what subject to strike if I were to agree with you. Right. So I would rather us know where those points are on that specific information.

MS. PRESSLEY: We can do that, Your Honor, thank you.

THE COURT: Okay. So if you're going to object to that, make it clean and what aspects of it depending on how the questioning goes. And it would piece out in your cross, of course. That's helpful.

Okay. Is there anything further on that? Or before we get underway with calling the witness?

MR. MONTGOMERY: Your Honor, did we wear out the last court reporter? I'm sorry, do we have a new court reporter?

THE COURT: Ms. Rodriguez, we're please to have here today. As a reminder, this is the general ones, please try to avoid cross-talk and not talk too quickly. She's pretty good but -- if not excellent, for sure -- but we want to make sure that she can lay the record down.

But, yes, welcome to the reporter.

THE REPORTER: Thank you.

2.4

THE COURT: Okay. With that, you want to call the witness, please.

MS. McKENNEDY: Yes, Your Honor. The Department calls Tina Shaw.

THE COURT: Make your way to the stand, please.

Ms. Shaw, I think you've been here a use of times during the presentation of evidence, but just as a reminder, please pause if you're asked -- if there's an objection or something comes up in connection with your testimony so I can make a ruling on that; and speak, you know, at a moderate speed so the reporter, like I just mentioned for everybody, can get everything.

You don't have to turn to me. I can see you on

1 the screen here myself, so you can focus on counsel who's 2 asking you the questions. 3 With that, I'm going to ask the reporter to put 4 you under oath. 5 (Reporter response) I'll do it. 6 THE COURT: 7 8 TINA SHAW, Produced as a witness, and having been first duly sworn by 9 10 The Administrative Law Judge, was examined and testified 11 as follows: 12 THE WITNESS: I do. 13 14 DIRECT EXAMINATION 15 BY MS. McKENNEDY: Good morning, Ms. Shaw. Could you please state 16 your full name for the record. 17 18 Α Tina Shaw. 19 What position do you hold here at CDI? Q 20 I'm the chief actuary of the rate regulation Α 21 branch. And what do you do in that role? 2.2 0 23 I have a team of 15 actuaries. We oversee the А 2.4 top 20 California riders for personal auto and home owners 25 insurance. We enforce rules from Prop 103, make sure that

1	the findings adhere to actuarial standards, and we also
2	consult with the branch on actual matters.
3	THE REPORTER: Sorry. Is your mic on?
4	THE WITNESS: Nope. Is that better?
5	THE REPORTER: Yes. Thank you.
6	BY MS. McKENNEDY:
7	Q And I just want to make sure that the record is
8	clear. The last words you said were "actuarial matters;"
9	correct?
10	A Correct.
11	Q Not actual.
12	Ms. Shaw, why are you here today?
13	A I'm here to testify and be an expert witness in
14	State Farm's interim rate request.
15	Q And what were you asked to do in this matter?
16	A I was asked to provide my expert opinion.
17	Q Regarding?
18	A The State Farm Insurance rate request. Sorry.
19	Q No worries. Would you please provide a brief
20	description of your actuarial experience?
21	A Yes. I'm a fellow of the property
22	casualty I'm sorry. Let me start over.
23	I'm a fellow of the Casualty Actuarial Society.
24	I have 30 years experience working in the industry, and I
25	have experience in different actuarial practice areas

including pricing, reserving, capital modeling, and enterprise for risk management.

A large portion of my career of 20 years was spent at Farmers Insurance where I developed expertise in financial risk management. I was often asked to quantify material risk facing the organization and their impacts on solvency surplus and solvency.

In the course of that work, I often have to project capital surplus levels and its -- their relationship to the NAIC RBC, and a rating agency -- A.M. Best and S&P -- capital requirements in resulting financial rating.

- Q Thank you. On the witness stand, I saw you bring some papers up. Could you please tell us what those are.
- A These are -- I have three documents: I have my declaration, my curriculum vitae, and the materials that I relied on for my analysis.
- Q Thank you. And the Department marked IRH-CDI-002 as your curriculum vitae; would you please confirm that this is a current copy of your curriculum vitae.
  - A Yes, it is.
- Q And does it contain an accurate overview summary of your relevant actuarial experience?
  - A Yes.

2.4

Q And looking at your declaration, the Department

1 has marked that as IRH-CDI-001; is this a copy of the 2 declaration you previously submitted in this matter? Yes, it is. 3 Α 4 Is your testimony in this declaration truthful 0 5 and accurate? 6 Α Yes. Did you intend this declaration to serve as your 7 0 direct testimony in this matter? 8 9 Α Yes. 10 And do you adopt it as part of your expert 0 11 testimony here today? 12 Α Yes. 13 And looking at the materials you relied upon in 0 drafting your declaration, the Department has marked those 14 15 as IRH-CDI-003; can you please describe those materials 16 for the record. 17 These are excerpts from the publicly available Α 18 annual statement information; specifically, five-year historical data, and also an exhibit from State Farm's 19 20 most finding from June. 21 0 Thank you. 22 Your Honor, I don't believe there are objections 23 to these exhibits, so the Department will move them into 2.4 evidence. 25 Anything I need to hear in connection with THE COURT:

1 the readmission? 2. MS. PRESSLEY: No, Your Honor. 3 No, Your Honor. MR. TETI: 4 THE COURT: There being none, they're received. 5 (Department's Exhibits IRH-CDI-001 through IRH-CDI-003 were received into evidence.) 6 7 THE COURT: If you would, please, repeat each of them so the record is absolutely clear that we received them 8 9 because you -- might number. 10 MS. McKENNEDY: IRH-CDI-001 is a copy of Ms. Shaw's 11 expert declaration, IRH-CDI-002 is a copy of Ms. Shaw's current curriculum vitae, and IRH-CDI-003 are the 12 13 materials that she relied upon in forming her can expert 14 opinion. 15 Thank you. They are received. THE COURT: 16 MS. McKENNEDY: Thank you, Your Honor. 17 BY MS. McKENNEDY 18 Ms. Shaw, are there any other materials that you Q 19 reviewed and relied upon in forming your expert opinions 20 in this matter? 21 Α I reviewed State Farm's filing from June -- all 22 I also looked at State Farm's annual of its supports. 23 statement information, and then commissioner's interim 2.4 rate order.

Are the materials in IRH-CDI-003 the same

25

0

1 publicly available information that Dr. Appel testified 2. to using to calculate State Farm's RBC ratios? I believe so. 3 Α 4 Did you review the RBC instructions in drafting 0 5 your declaration? I did not. 6 Α 7 Can you please turn to your declaration at 0 Starting on line 14 and proceeding through line 8 19, you referenced the RBC instructions; correct? 9 10 Α Yes. 11 Why did you do that? Q It was for background and context. 12 Α 13 Are you familiar with the RBC instructions? O Yes. 14 Α 15 When was the last time that you reviewed the RBC 0 instructions? 16 17 I would say probably about 10 years ago. Α 18 That was before you came to the department; 0 19 correct? 20 Α Correct. 21 0 How did you know how to calculate the RBC ratios 22 in your declaration? 23 In my previous experience as an actuary working Α 2.4 in financial -- the financial department, I was often asked to look at any RBC. 25

1	Q	When was the first time you ever learned about
2	RBC ratio	os?
3	А	It was part of our examination process to become
4	an associ	ate or a fellow of the
5	Casualty	Actuarial Society.
6	Q	So please don't take offense, but was that a
7	number of	years ago?
8	А	Yes.
9	Q	Ms. Shaw, did you use any confidential
10	informati	on at all to calculate your estimated RBC ratios
11	for State	e Farm General?
12	A	I did not.
13	Q	Did you talk with anyone at CDI who's authorized
14	to look a	at confidential RBC reports or RBC plans or other
15	confident	ial RBC information in order to draft your
16	declarati	on?
17	A	I did not.
18	Q	Are the RBC ratios that you have calculated in
19	your decl	aration the same thing as the RBC instructions?
20	А	No.
21	Q	Are they the same thing as an RBC report?
22	A	No.
23	Q	Are they the same thing as an adjusted RBC
24	report?	
25	А	No.

1	Q Are they the same thing as an RBC plant	?
2	A No.	
3	Q Are they the same thing as a revised RE	3C plan?
4	A No.	
5	Q Are RBC ratios that you calculated the	same thing
6	as any statutorily required filing that an insur	rance
7	company is required to submit to its regulator?	
8	A No.	
9	Q Did you use the RBC ratios as part of a	ı rate
10	making formula to determine State Farm General's	; interim
11	rate increase?	
12	A No.	
13	Q Did you use the RBC ratios to determine	e the 17.0
14	interim rate increase?	
15	A No.	
16	Q What did you use the RBC ratios to do?	
17	A I used the RBC ratio to provide context	in
18	relating to State Farm's current surplus position	on.
19	Q Where did you get the 17.0 interim rate	increase?
20	A It was provided to me by my counsel.	С
21	understand that the offer came from State Farm.	
22	Q Have you done an actuarial analysis of	the
23	interim rate increase request by State Farm Gene	eral under
24	the Prop 103 rate-making regulations?	
25	A I did not.	

Q Why not?

A I took a holistic approach in looking at the interim rate request in terms of State Farm's current financial situation.

State Farm originally filed the Variance 6 filing, which they claim that they're already in financial -- their surplus had gone -- decreased to a level that was alarming to the Illinois Department of Insurance that they were on a company action plan. They needed to have a plan to restore their capital so they could restore their solvency sufficiently.

With the LA wild fires in January, I understand that their capital position had deteriorated further, and now that -- their solvency position could be -- is in even worse position than when they first filed the June filing.

And, with that, in consideration, this -- the 17% is an emergency stop gap measure to make sure, along with the surplus notes that the parent is offering, that State Farm General can get to a soft landing. And the 17%, like I said, is an emergency rate request.

The Commissioner has ordered that the data, as in Q1 2025, could be submitted to the Department so that we can fully bet all the details at the assumptions and the methodology in the filing to make sure that we keep State Farm honest.

1	And, to that process, if we find that the rate is
2	excessive, the rate will be required State Farm will be
3	required to return the excess rate back to the
4	policyholders with interest.
5	Q So let me just make sure I'm clear. Are you
6	comfortable recommending the interim rate increase, as
7	stipulated by State Farm General and the Department, even
8	without all of the updated Quarter 1 2025 data?
9	A Yes.
10	Q Do you understand State Farm General to have
11	abandoned it's Variance 6 request?
12	A Not to my knowledge.
13	Q Are the Variance 6 request still in the rate
14	applications?
15	A Yes, it is.
16	Q So based on State Farm General's current
17	financial condition, as you've analyzed it, do you agree
18	that the Commissioner should grant the interim rate
19	increase request subject to refunds with interest
20	following the full rate hearing?
21	A Yes. That would be my recommendation.
22	Q And that's based on the two main reasons you gave
23	before; correct?
24	A Correct.
25	Q If the Commissioner does not grant the interim

rate request, and everything else stays the same, what, in your expert opinion, is likely to happen?

A So, currently, State Farm's surplus level is in the very alarming low position. And so, in the industry, sometimes we look at lines that are prone to catastrophes, high-risk portfolios to have a premium-to-surplus ratio about one-to-one.

So, today, State Farm's net would accrue about \$3 billion. So if you apply the one-to-one rule, they should have about \$3 billion in surplus. My best estimate right now, with the surplus levels, is somewhere between \$600 million and a billion dollars.

You know, if you flip that equation around, that means, today, they're really only -- should be only supporting \$600 million to a billion dollar book of business. And so this is very troubling to me because we want to keep State Farm in the marketplace to continue offering insurance to policyholders. If they're not able to, then, I think, we would really disrupt the entire marketplace.

Q Why is that?

A Well, there are a few scenarios, right.

Consumers will, potentially, could be non-renewed, in which the case would have a fair plan. And, as I understand, fair plan offers a limited policy; they only

cover the fire bill.

2.4

Customers would have to get another difference in condition policy to cover the other parallels -- water, theft. And so, typically, what we -- what I know, based on my, you know, based on my experience, is that that policy with the wraparound is much higher than potentially the 17% that the customer will be charged by State Farm.

You know, if and when the customer can -- does not like the 17% -- can find other insurance in the marketplace. I think that's another scenario.

But given what I've seen -- all the rate calls, all the rate find that come into my office -- whenever there's a rate increase that we process, the second question that we ask is, you know, "Would you be opening to have your book to writing in business?" And so far, we haven't had any takers. So based on that, we know that the California homeowners market is currently in a crisis.

- Q In your opinion, is it appropriate as an actuary to consider a company solvency or financial condition when evaluating rate request?
  - A It depends.
  - O And what --
  - A Sorry.
  - Q That's okay. What does it depend on?
  - A So when a company comes to us with a variance

1	request, and I think there may be three in our statute,
2	then that's something that we would take into
3	consideration.
4	Q And, just to be clear, I think you meant in our
5	regulation; is that correct?
6	A Yeah.
7	Q And for the record, just so the Judge can find it
8	later on, this is Title 10, California Code of
9	Regulations, Section 2644.27; correct?
10	A Correct.
11	Q And do you happen to know the provisions in
12	Section 2644.27 that allow a variance from the rate-making
13	formula based upon solvency or financial condition?
14	A I believe those are Variance 5, 6, and 10.
15	Q And also, just to explain, what is a variance to
16	the rate-making formula. What do I mean by that?
17	A It's the insurance company's request to deviate
18	from the rules that are required in our regulation.
19	Q So a company can request a variance from strict
20	application from the Prop 103 rate-making regulations due
21	to solvency or financial condition concerns; is that
22	correct?
23	A That's correct.
24	Q Other than RBC ratios, are there other ways to
25	measure a company's solvency?

A There's no strict ways to measure that. I think we can use a rule of thumb to gauge where the company would like to hold capital, but there is no other measure.

Q And to be clear, the rule of thumb is the premium-to-surplus one-to-one ratio you previously mentioned that's appropriate for catastrophe lines; is that correct?

A That's correct.

2.4

Q Are IRIS ratios a sufficient way to measure solvency, in your opinion?

A IRIS ratio is an early -- is an early warning test. It's a trend test to measure from year-to-year progress. And if the ratios goes sideways, that's when regulators take note, but it's not a definitive answer in terms of the company's financial solvency position.

Q Thank you. Ms. Shaw.

Your Honor, the Department is concluding its direct.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much. And I really appreciate some of the detail that you included in your questioning in order to help us understand some of those key points.

Are you -- is State Farm General planning to ask this witness what questions before I turn to cross-examining.

1	MR. TETI: We do not have any questions at this time,
2	Your Honor.
3	THE COURT: Okay.
4	So Consumer Watchdog, are you ready?
5	MS. PRESSLEY: Yes. We're ready, Your Honor.
6	THE COURT: All right. Very good. Please proceed.
7	MS. PRESSLEY: Thank you.
8	
9	CROSS-EXAMINATION
10	BY MS. PRESSLEY:
11	Q Good afternoon, Ms. Shaw.
12	A Good morning.
13	Q Good morning.
14	THE COURT: It was planned for the afternoon. That's
15	probably why
16	MS. McKENNEDY: That was her honesty test, right, Your
17	Honor.
18	BY MS. PRESSLEY:
19	Q It feels like afternoon.
20	So you gave a little bit of your background. I'm
21	just going to ask you a few questions to get a little more
22	information on that.
23	So prior to working and becoming employed by CDI
24	in 2024, you worked for Farmers Insurance Group from about
25	2001 to 2023?

1	A That's correct.
2	Q And what was your position in those years?
3	A I had several positions with the company. I
4	started in the reserving department, then I moved to a
5	newly created capital modeling financial analysis unit,
6	and then I when I left Farmers, I was a chief-risk
7	officer overseeing enterprise risk management for the
8	organization.
9	Q Okay. And you also worked as an actuary for
10	Farmers during the years 1995 to 1998?
11	A That's correct.
12	Q Did any of your work at that time with Farmers
13	involve contact with the California Department of
14	Insurance?
15	A No.
16	Q Did you ever testify in any administrative or
17	civil proceedings on behalf of Farmers?
18	A No.
19	Q Did you ever advise Farmers on any positions it
20	may have taken in any rulemaking proceedings regarding CDI
21	regulations?
22	A No.
23	Q On behalf of any other insurers, did you take
24	any did you advocate for any rulemaking amendments?
25	A No.

1	Q And, since working with the CDI, have you worked
2	on any Farmers' filings?
3	A No, I have not.
4	Q And in your capacity as Chief Actuary of the CDI,
5	have you advised the CDI on any amendments to the prior
6	approval rate regulations specifically regarding the
7	allowance of reinsurance in rate making?
8	MS. McKENNEDY: Objection, your Honor.
9	This is going to get into internal deliberations,
LO	privileged information, and it's not relevant to this
11	proceeding.
12	THE COURT: Can I ask you where you're going,
13	Ms. Pressley?
L4	MS. PRESSLEY: Sure, Your Honor.
15	I believe that as we've talked about in the issue
16	with Ms. Watkins, I'm just trying to figure out
L7	MS. McKENNEDY: It's also beyond the scope of the
18	direct exam and the direct testimony.
L9	THE COURT: Okay. Let me hear what she has.
20	MS. PRESSLEY: Sure.
21	There has been testimony in this proceeding about
22	the cost of reinsurance and whether that's appropriate in
23	terms of the cost that State Farm has been paying, so I'm
24	just trying to get some background whether this witness is
25	familiar with reinsurance issues and has worked on those

internally with its work.

2.4

MS. McKENNEDY: Your Honor, she's not entitled to find out what this witness has worked on internally at the department. If she wants to ask the witness if she has specific reinsurance information with respect to this interim rate proceeding, that will be an appropriate question.

THE COURT: I think it's fair that she asked about her, very generally, whether she's got experience or exposure to those. So I'm going to allow that at first, and we'll see whether you still have concerns.

MS. McKENNEDY: I do have concerns, but let's go ahead, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Well, she is proffered as the expert. So let's see.

### BY MS. PRESSLEY:

Q Do you have any experience since becoming employed by the CDI in working on reinsurance issues?

A I am part of a team at putting together the reinsurance regulations.

MS. McKENNEDY: And, Your Honor, I would say that the team -- the work done by the team is covered by the internal of deliberations process.

MS. PRESSLEY: Your Honor, I'm not planning to ask about -- specifics about what was discussed in the

1 internal meetings. 2. THE COURT: Okay. I understand. I can move on. 3 MS. PRESSLEY: 4 THE COURT: If that was your point, I don't have a 5 problem with that. It was overruled technically to allow that answer, but I didn't go further than that. 6 didn't get that far. 7 BY MS. PRESSLEY: 8 9 Ms. Shaw, do you have any degrees, Q 10 certifications, or professional affiliations in finance? 11 А No. 12 0 Okay. So moving on to preparation of your 13 declaration. 14 At any time, when you are preparing your 15 declaration, did you perform an independent rate indication calculation under the standard ratemaking 16 17 formula? 18 Α No. 19 So did you review State Farm's maximum permitted 20 rate indication of 21.8%? And that's supporting Exhibit 9 21 submitted on SERFF in February -- I think it was February 5th in SERFF. 2.2 23 I looked at the document. А 2.4 Have you testified in your declaration that the 0

21.8% rate is actuarially sound?

A The 21.8 is part of an emergency interim rate request, and I think the Commissioner has ordered the full data as of Q1 2025 be submitted so that we can look at that re-filing in it's entirety at a rate hearing.

And I think I'm going to have to say if that rate is found to be excessive, we'll have to -- State Farm will be required to refund it, plus interest.

MS. McKENNEDY: Your Honor, related objection that the declaration speaks for itself.

### BY MS. PRESSLEY:

2.4

Q So it's your opinion, Ms. Shaw, that in order to perform your own independent calculation of the maximum permitted earned premium, you would need a fully updated rate application, including data through first quarter of 2025?

A As part of the -- sorry. As part of the full rate hearing rule, we will be looking at the Q1 data. Yes.

THE COURT: I'm going to stop you for a moment.

So I didn't really -- there was no answer to whether or not -- it was the actuarial question, and then an objection. So is there a yes or no as to whether or not there was a -- you consider it's actuarially sound?

If you can repeat -- go back to the question.

MS. PRESSLEY: Sure, Your Honor.

### BY MS. PRESSLEY:

2.4

Q The question was: Have you testified or do you believe that the 21.8% rate indication is actuarially sound?

THE COURT: And I think that -- Counsel, was that when you raised your concern that was the declaration spoke for itself? Or was it there after?

You're respective. We got a narrative answer.

Was that satisfactory, Counsel? You moved on.

MS. PRESSLEY: I would like a yes or no to that question.

MS. McKENNEDY: Your Honor, if I may. Just for the record, the reason why I made the related objection about the declaration is I think the declaration focuses on the 17.0, not the 21.8%. So that's part of my concern -- that it's misstating the declaration.

THE COURT: Okay. On redirect, though, you can pick up to clarify that. And if she's simply asking whether or not there was, you know -- I don't want to speak for the witness. It seems like she can answer that question.

MS. PRESSLEY: Yes. Your Honor, I would just explain that in her declaration, she does start with an analysis that the starting point is the 21.8%, and then she explains how she got from there to the --

THE COURT: It's a fair question. You can ask it.

### BY MS. PRESSLEY:

2.4

- Q I would just like to know if you do believe that 21.8% rate increase was actuarially sound?
- A It may be actuarially sound. We'll have to wait for the the Quarter 1 data is available.
- Q And you indicated that you did look at the information that was submitted with the interim rate request on February 5th that included the rate templates showing those calculations and the Exhibit 9s?
  - A Yes. I looked at it.
- Q Do you agree that State Farm's calculation, with the cat load in that rate template then the company in Exhibit 9, is actuarially sound and complies with the regulations?
- A I think the -- I think State Farm made a preliminary showing that the LA wildfire was catastrophic.
- Q Have you done your own calculation of the appropriate cat load in coming to your opinion about the appropriate interim rate?
  - A No. I wouldn't think it was necessary.
- Q So in your opinion, in coming to the conclusion to agree upon this 17.8% -- I'm sorry, 17% rate hike that's in the April 4th supplement to the stipulation, have you performed any calculation to perform an opinion on whether that 17% is either at or below the maximum

1 permitted rate indication based on your independent 2 analysis? 3 My review -- oh, I'm sorry. I didn't mean to cut А 4 you off, Ma'am. 5 I just -- to clarify -- under the standard ratemaking formula without any variance. 6 I looked at the 17% in the context of the 7 Α situation that State Farm has come to us that their 8 9 surplus is at a dangerously low level. 10 0 Okay. So that's a no? You did not do an analysis to see if the 17% is below the maximum permitted 11 12 under the premium -- under the formula? 13 Α I did not perform an independent analysis. 14 Okay. So it'd be fair to say you also haven't 0 15 done any analysis to show that another number -- I'll just choose 9%, for example -- would be sufficient to ensure 16 that State Farm remains financially stable? 17 18 I have not, and I'm waiting for the Q1 data to be 19 available. 20 So would it be fair to say that your Q Okay. 21 analysis started with State Farm's calculations -- the 22 21.8% -- and then you made some reductions to get to the 2.3 17%? 2.4 I indicated I didn't come up with the 17%.

was given to me by my counsel.

Q You referenced Variance 6 -- the solvency variance -- in your declaration at paragraph 7; have you formed any opinion of whether State Farm qualifies for Variance 6?

A I think that finding is still under review -- sorry.

2.4

Q Okay. And it's to your understanding that State Farm has not used or in any way invoked Variance 6 in calculating the interim rate that it's seeking?

A In the current -- in the interim rate, they did not use Variance 6, but that's associated with the Variance 6 finding. So this is only to show that, given the LA wildfire, their experience -- their rate increase still exist.

Q Okay. So is your belief that the 17%, as you stated in your declaration, you believe that that's fundamentally fair and reasonable. Is that opinion based on any analysis of whether that indication is excessive or inadequate under the maximum permitted rate formula?

A So if you were to take their surplus -- their financial situation -- in context, given the rate that they're charging today, they're in -- their surplus position is very poor. Their solvency position is very, very poor also.

And so, I think, you know, one of the tenets in

1 ratemaking is that rates can't be inadequate so the 2 company go out of business. So if you look at from that 3 perspective, we can argue that the rate is inadequate. 4 But, again, my question was: Your opinion 0 Okay. 5 that the 17% is fundamentally fair, adequate, and reasonable is not based on any opinion of whether that 6 indication is excessive under the maximum permitted rate 7 formula? 8 9 Α I think I answered that it's inadequate, so I 10 would say it's not excessive. 11 Okay. Is that based on the calculation under the 0 12 inadequate -- the minimum permitted? 13 Α I don't think those two are associated together 14 that way. 15 Ms. Shaw, if you don't know or you don't THE COURT: understand the question, you can say that and say, "I 16 17 don't know." So I know if there's a great distinctive 18 desire to, like, provide a narrative answer to try to help 19 answer completely, but the attorneys are really good at 20 it. And so on redirect, if not on cross, they will 21 probably pick it up --2.2 THE WITNESS: I see. 23 THE COURT: -- and get to that. Okay. 2.4 THE WITNESS: I see. 25 ///

1	BY MS. PRESSLEY:
2	Q Okay. Maybe I can just ask one follow up
3	clarification.
4	Your analysis is not based on any calculation
5	that the that a number below 17% would be inadequate
6	under the standard ratemaking formula?
7	A I have not performed that analysis.
8	Q Okay. Have you performed any analysis of the
9	impact on State Farm's financial condition if State Farm
10	Mutual were to contribute \$500 million in surplus notes as
11	requested by the Commissioner?
12	A I think that was I think that may be a
13	scenario that we ran through.
14	Q Was that in your declaration?
15	A No, it's not.
16	Q But you do have those calculations available?
17	A I think with the Commissioner
18	MS. McKENNEDY: Objection, your Honor. That wasn't
19	offered as part of her declaration.
20	THE COURT: I'm not going to hold as I've told the
21	parties strictly the scope in traditional it is
22	administrative law, but where are we going with this?
23	Because I also don't want to get into confidences or
24	MS. PRESSLEY: Sure, Your Honor.
25	I mean, we did discuss some wide latitude on some

cross just because we were not allowed discovery, and so we're trying to just get at if this witness knows if they have any underlying calculations on what the impact on State Farm's financial condition would be if the parent were required to give a 500 million surplus note as opposed to the 400 million that was agreed upon.

THE COURT: Okay. Let's hear what your next question is and take it piece-by-piece.

MS. PRESSLEY: Okay. Are you saying that she can't answer that question?

THE COURT: No -- well, repeat your question. Let's see where we're at with it. Given that context -- the explanation.

# BY MS. PRESSLEY:

2.4

Q Ms. Shaw, do you have any calculation of whether -- do you have any calculation of the impact on State Farm's financial condition if the parent company, State Farm Mutual, will require to give a \$500 million surplus note instead of the agreed-upon \$400 million surplus note?

MS. McKENNEDY: And, Your Honor, objection. I'm not clear on what does she mean by "do you have any calculation." Is she asking the expert to apply into a hypothetical?

THE COURT: Ms. Pressley, you're asking specifically

1 whether she's performed that? Or whether she has that in 2. her --3 MS. PRESSLEY: We'll take it one-by-one. BY MS. PRESSLEY: 4 5 Have you performed any calculation of that impact of providing a \$500 -- I'm sorry, \$500 million surplus 6 7 note? Yes, I have. 8 Α 9 Okay. And, as you sit here today, could (sic) Q 10 you have recollection of what that impact was? 11 А It was many scenarios that we ran. 12 Okay. Thank you. Okay. 0 13 And just to come back to the -- the two variances 14 that you mentioned under the Regulation's 2644.27, 15 Subdivision (f)(6), and Subdivision (f)(10) -- the solvency and confiscation variance. The interim filing, 16 17 to your knowledge, is not based on either of those 18 variances; correct? 19 MS. McKENNEDY: Objection. Misstates testimony. 20 There are three variances. 21 MS. PRESSLEY: I'm just asking about two variances. I think she's just asked that. 22 THE COURT: 23 overruled. She asked about two variances. She can do 2.4 that. 25 THE WITNESS: Can you ask the question again -- sorry.

1	BY MS. PRESSLEY:
2	Q With regard to the rate regulations that provide
3	for variances, one is known as the solvency variance, and
4	that's under 2
5	(Reporter interruption)
6	Q Sure.
7	With regard to the rate regulations under 10 CCR
8	Section 2644.27, Subdivision (f)(6), known as the solvency
9	variance, the interim rate filing is not based on that
10	the request for that variance; correct?
11	A The interim rate filing is based off of the
12	original filing back in June; so, therefore, the Variance
13	6 still applies.
14	Q But the rate calculation under the template that
15	was submitted in support of 21.8% did that use a
16	Variance 6 to get to the maximum permitted rate
17	indication?
18	A I don't I don't know.
19	Q Okay. And, to your knowledge, did the interim
20	rate include any request for a confiscation variance under
21	26.27, Subdivision (f)(10)?
22	A Not to my knowledge.
23	Q And you haven't formed any opinion on whether

State Farm's current rates, without any rate increase, are

24

25

confiscatory; correct?

1	A I I don't know.
2	Q Okay. Were you at the February 26 informal
3	conference that was held by the Commissioner regarding
4	State Farm's interim request?
5	A No, I was not.
6	Q Okay. Do you have any opinion of whether State
7	Farm General has the ability to pay claims from the recent
8	January 2025 LA fires without the interim rate?
9	A Can you define "the ability to pay claims"?
10	Q Without getting the interim rate request, would
11	they be able to cover the claims that are coming in for
12	the 2025 LA wildfires?
13	A I believe State Farm they are paying claims,
14	but the problem is that their surplus now is maybe close
15	in \$600 million instead of where they need to be.
16	Q Okay. Ms. Shaw, in your declaration, starting at
17	page 4, line 12, you discussed Applicant's deteriorating
18	financial condition as a basis for why they needed interim
19	rate increase; correct?
20	A Correct.
21	Q Does the standard rate-making calculation under
22	2644.2 of the Department's regulations list deteriorating
23	financial condition as a consideration in the rate
24	analysis?
25	A Isn't that what the sorry. Would that be I

1 think that would be addressed under Variance 6. 2 Under the variance, but not under the standard 3 rate-making formula? 4 Α No. 5 Does that rate-making formula take into consideration RBC ratios? 6 7 Α No. Turning to -- I believe you have a binder 8 of Consumer Watchdog's Exhibits in front of you. 9 That 10 should be the thick one. There are three binders in front of me. I don't 11 12 know which one it is. 13 MS. PRESSLEY: It looks like this. 14 MR. PLETCHER: Your Honor, may I approach to assist 15 the witness? 16 THE COURT: 17 The record will reflect that Mr. Pletcher's 18 approaching the witness. BY MS. PRESSLEY: 19 20 Okay. You have Consumer Watchdog's exhibits Q 21 before you? 2.2 Α Yes. 23 Okay. If you could turn to Consumer Watchdog 0 2.4 Exhibit No. 239, and let me know when you have that. 25 Α Okay.

1	Q So are you familiar with this document? It's
2	called the Actuarial Standard of Practice No. 53 that is
3	by the Actuarial Standards Board?
4	A Yes.
5	Q And that's related to the actuarial standards
6	that are used for ratemaking? It's called estimating
7	future cost for prospective property casualty risk
8	transfer and risk-free tension?
9	A That's correct.
10	Q Does that Actuarial Standard of Practice No. 53
11	discuss the consideration of deteriorating financial
12	condition?
13	A I'm sorry. Can you repeat the question.
14	Q Does the Actuarial Standard of Practice No. 53
15	discuss the consideration of the deteriorating financial
16	condition in ratemaking?
17	A Okay, I see. I think it's implied in terms of
18	the capital the leverage ratio and the return on the
19	cost of capital so it's considered within those
20	provisions.
21	Q Okay. But the term "deteriorating financial
22	condition" is not used in that standard of practice?
23	A I can't you know, I don't know. I don't have
24	this memorized, so I can't tell you without doing a

surgeon PDF (phonetic).

1	Q	Okay. And the leverage ratio is a specific
2	component	of the standard rate-making formula; is that
3	what you	re referring to?
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	Okay. And that leverage ratio has specific
6	values	or it has a specific instruction of how that's
7	calculate	ed under the regulation; correct?
8	A	Correct.
9	Q	Okay. And it doesn't take into account just a
10	broad det	teriorating financial condition standard; correct?
11	A	It's a standard it's a standard factor that
12	applies t	to all insurers.
13	Q	Okay. Can you just briefly give a definition of
14	the lever	rage factor?
15	А	So leverage factor is a ratio a surplus
16	premium.	
17	Q	Thank you. Okay. And can you turn to Consumer
18	Watchdog	Exhibit 240. The very next exhibit.
19	А	Okay.
20	Q	And this one is called the Statement of
21	Principle	es Regarding Property and Casualty Insurance
22	Ratemakir	ng, adopted by the Board of Directors of the
23	Casualty	Actuarial Society on May 1988; are you familiar
24	with this	s Statement of Principles?

25

Α

Yes.

1	Q Okay. And anywhere in this Statement of
2	Principles is the deteriorating financial condition used
3	as a consideration?
4	A Again, without doing an infer search, I
5	don't I'm not seeing it on the first pass, but the
6	principle says no. Yeah. I'm not seeing just based on
7	first pass, but I don't know it by heart.
8	Q Okay. No worries. Thank you.
9	Okay. In your declaration, you testify about
10	State Farm Mutual, the parent company of State Farm
11	General, and how it's your belief that they should have
12	some responsibility for helping to improve State Farm
13	General's financial condition; correct?
14	A I don't know if those were my specific words.
15	Can you repeat that again?
16	Q In your declaration, you testified that State
17	Farm Mutual should have some rule in providing help to
18	improve State Farm General's financial condition?
19	A I think
20	MS. McKENNEDY: Objection.
21	THE WITNESS: Yeah. Sorry.
22	MS. McKENNEDY: Sorry. Your Honor, objection.
23	Misstates declaration of testimony.
24	MS. PRESSLEY: Okay. I can
25	THE COURT: Do you want to rephrase?

1	BY MS. PRESSLEY:
2	Q Sure.
3	I can wait to the part of your declaration.
4	Okay. In paragraph 15 of your declaration, you
5	stated, "I do not believe that the deterioration of
6	Applicant's surplus and RBC ratios has been solely cause
7	by allegedly-insufficient homeowners rates."
8	A Wait, I'm sorry. This is 15? Can you tell me
9	what line? It's a little bit hard to navigate.
10	Q Yeah. Sure. It's page 8
11	A Okay.
12	Q This is paragraph 15. But on page 8, starting at
13	line 7.
14	A Okay.
15	Q "I do not believe that the deterioration of
16	Applicant's surplus has been solely caused by allegedly
17	insufficient homeowners rates; rather, I believe there are
18	other steps. Applicant should be encourage and required
19	to take to improve its financial condition."
20	And then you go on in the next paragraph to say:
21	"As a first step, I agree with the Commissioner
22	that Applicant requires an immediate capital infusion in
23	order to increase its RBC ratios above the current
24	Authorize Action Level."

So you have, in your declaration, testified that

1 you believe that -- that State Farm Mutual should have a 2 role in playing -- in improving State Farm General's 3 financial condition with a capital infusion? 4 MS. McKENNEDY: Objection. Misstates the testimony. 5 THE COURT: You can answer now. 6 MS: PRESSLEY: You can answer --7 THE COURT: It's overruled. BY MS. PRESSLEY: 8 9 You can answer the way you would characterize Q 10 your testimony? I think I wouldn't say that they're required to. 11 I think, in this situation, the parent will provide a 12 13 400-million surplus note. THE COURT: As a reminder to the witness. You can 14 15 answer yes or no to questions. 16 THE WITNESS: Thank you. THE COURT: So if something's a no, just say "no." 17 18 THE WITNESS: Sorry. It's my first rodeo. 19 THE COURT: No worries. You're doing great. 20 BY MS. PRESSLEY: 21 0 Okay. I'm just going to ask one more time. 22 Do you believe that State Farm Mutual, the parent 23 company of State Farm General, should play a role in 2.4 helping to improve State Farm General's financial condition? 25

1	A I think that depends.
2	Q What would be the factors that that would depend
3	on?
4	A Whether or not State Farm Mutual would like State
5	Farm General to continue to serve sell insurance in the
6	State of California.
7	Q Are you aware that State Farm General is a stock
8	company?
9	A I'm sorry. Can you repeat that?
10	Q Are you aware that State Farm General is a stock
11	company?
12	A No.
13	Q Are you aware that it's a 100% completely owned
14	by State Farm Mutual?
15	A Yes.
16	Q So going back to your testimony, again, you
17	stated that you don't believe the deterioration of I'm
18	sorry. You don't believe that the deterioration of
19	Applicant's surplus has been solely caused by allegedly
20	insufficient homeowners rates.
21	Who, in your opinion, is responsible for State
22	Farm General's current financial condition?
23	A It's not solely caused by homeowners. They have,
24	also, other lines of business that they provide, so the
25	combination of all of those lines of business is causing

1 their current condition. 2 0 Okay. Would you say that the CDI has any 3 responsibility for State Farm General's deteriorating 4 financial condition by not approving rate increases in a 5 timely manner? Α 6 No. 7 Does State Farm General responsible for asking 0 for multiple 6.9% rate increases? 8 MS. McKENNEDY: Objection, Your Honor, to the extent 9 10 this witness knows because she's only been at the 11 Department for one year. Yeah. Let's look into the instructions I 12 THE COURT: 13 provided the witness. 14 Answer whether you -- you want to answer only 15 what you actually, personally know or don't know. And if you don't know, then you say so. 16 17 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't know. BY MS. PRESSLEY: 18 19 Okay. So you're not aware that State Farm 0 20 General asked for 6.9% rate increases during the time 21 period of 2017 to 2022? 2.2 Only what I heard in this courtroom this week. Α 23 Okay. You did state that at the outset of your

testimony that you have reviewed the June homeowners

insurance rate application in preparing your testimony.

2.4

1 Are you familiar with the exhibit in that filing that list 2 the State Farm General rate-filing history? I have looked at it. Yes. 3 А 4 Okay. And do you recall, based on that review, 0 5 whether State Farm General asked for 6.9% rate increases during the time period of 2017 to 2024? 6 7 Α I'd have to go -- I don't recall, since we only 8 use one year in the rate template. 9 Q Okay. But State Farm General is the party that 10 would have chosen to apply for a 6.9% rate increase that's 11 not set by the Department; correct? 12 Α No. 13 And in your review of this rate filing, 0 14 did you look at any of those past rate filings where State 15 Farm General asked for a 6.9% rate increase? I did not. 16 Α In general, if a company -- if -- okay. 17 0 18 just give the example of this rate filing. 19 If a company's maximum permitted rate indication 20 is calculated under the standard CDI rate-making formula 21 is higher than 6.9, can the company ask for a rate that's 22 higher than 6.9 up to their maximum permitted rate 23 indication? I'm sorry. Can you repeat that again? 2.4 Α

25

0

Sure.

1	If a company's rate templement I'm sorry. If
2	a company's rate template that's submitted with their rate
3	application shows that the maximum permitted premium, as
4	calculated under the minimum permitted rate-making
5	formula, is higher than 6.9, would they be entitled to
6	request a rate up to that maximum indication?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Okay. So, again, going back to the issue of the
9	declining surplus, as you testified, do you believe that
10	policyholders are responsible of State Farm's
11	deteriorating surplus?
12	MS. McKENNEDY: Objection. Vague.
13	THE COURT: Can you rephrase that.
14	BY MS. PRESSLEY:
15	Q If you can answer it.
16	You testified in your declaration that you don't
17	believe the deterioration of Applicant's surplus has been
18	solely caused by allegedly insufficient homeowners rates.
19	The question is: Are policyholders, who paid
20	premiums to State Farm General, responsible for State Farm
21	General's declining surplus?
22	A Not directly.
23	Q Do you think it's fundamentally fair, in your
24	opinion, for policyholders to pay an interim rate increase
25	now that could later be determined to be excessive?

A I think that dep	ends.
--------------------	-------

2.4

- Q Have you considered that policyholders who can't afford the interim rate request that's being sought might be forced to drop coverage if they can't afford that new rate hike?
  - A I'm sorry. Can you repeat the question?
- Q If the interim rate hike is approved at the 17%, do you believe that there may be customers who can't afford that rate increase would have to drop coverage?
  - A That's a possibility.
- Q Under the proposed stipulation under
  the -- I guess you would call it the original stipulation,
  plus the April 4th supplement to the stipulation -- would
  State Farm General have to take those policyholders back
  if they decided to return?
- A I'm sorry. Could you put it -- could you repeat that for me again?
  - Q Sure.

Under the proposed stipulation -- which includes the original February 7th stipulation between the CDI and State Farm General, plus the supplement that was signed and submitted on April 4th -- are there any provisions in that stipulation that State Farm General would have to take to write policyholders who had dropped coverage during the -- after the interim rate goes into effect?

1 Could you break it -- I'm not following -- a 2 little train of thought. I'm sorry. 3 0 Okay. 4 MS. McKENNEDY: Your Honor, if I may, does she have a 5 copy of these stipulations in front of her on the witness She has not been offered as someone to testify to 6 7 the contents of the stipulations. MR. TETI: Your Honor, I just add an objection to the 8 extent this calls for a legal conclusion. 9 10 MS. McKENNEDY: Join. THE COURT: As to both, you can ask her to refer to 11 12 that, if you'd like. But she can answer preliminarily 13 whether if she's aware of the terms. 14 MS. PRESSLEY: Okay. 15 THE COURT: Just as laying a basic foundation --MS. PRESSLEY: Your Honor, this witness is being 16 17 offered as the only actuary that is here today or in the 18 entire course of these three days supporting this 19 stipulation. 20 She signed a declaration under penalty of 21 perjury, and I would expect that she would know the 22 context of the stipulation that she's supporting. 23 And I understand that, and you can ask THE COURT: 2.4 whether or not she's aware of the provision or

non-provision of what you're doing, but she's losing track

1 with all of that. And I think it's leading the rest of 2 the room to not be sure what she's actually being 3 questioned about. 4 MS. PRESSLEY: I mean it would take me a minute to 5 just find what number --6 THE COURT: Take your time. 7 MS. PRESSLEY: -- the stipulation is in the evidence. MS. McKENNEDY: And, Your Honor --8 9 THE COURT: Maybe we'll take a break. You can get the 10 rest of your cross ready. 11 You remain under oath, but we'll take a break for Let's come back at -- or let's just take a 12 10 minutes. 13 full break. 11:30. 15 minutes. 14 Off the record. 15 (Break) THE COURT: All right. Back on the record 16 17 after a fifteen-minute recess. In the continuing 18 examination of the Department's witness in the case of 19 the Rate Applications of State Farm General Insurance 20 Company, file numbers PA-2024-00011, 12, and 13. 21 Are we continuing with the witness? 22 MS. PRESSLEY: Yes, Your Honor. 23 Okay. And if you could please THE COURT: 24 retake the stand, Ms. Shaw, and I remind you that you're

25

under oath.

Thank you.

### BY MS. PRESSLEY:

- Q. Ms. Shaw, do you have a binder in front of you that has the State Farm General's exhibits? I believe it's to your right, the far right, and it starts with State Farm Exhibit 101.
  - A. Okay.
- Q. Okay. So I believe State Farm General Exhibit 101 is the original two-way stipulation that was signed by the California Department of Insurance and State Farm General that was filed with AHB on March 17th, executed by the parties on February 7th. Do you see that stipulation as Exhibit 101?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And this is the stipulation that your April 2nd declaration was submitted in support of; correct?

  MS. McKENNEDY: Objection, Your Honor.
- 17 | Misstates testimony.

THE COURT: She can answer as to whether or not she's aware of it being filed in support of that.

THE WITNESS: I believe my declaration is supporting this supplemental stipulation -- or the stipulation with two additional items. So I guess it would be this plus the supplemental stipulation.

24 BY MS. PRESSLEY:

Q. Okay. At the time that you filed your

declaration -- or at the time that your declaration was signed on April 2nd, did the parties have a supplemental stipulation?

MS. McKENNEDY: Objection, Your Honor. Where is she going with this?

THE COURT: Are you trying -- where is this line of questioning in the sense -- I mean, she can answer whether she was aware of there being one at that time but what -- why?

MS. PRESSLEY: Okay. The prior questions leading up to the break, we were asking about specific terms of these two documents, so I'm just trying to establish whether she is aware of these two documents and the sequence of when she filed her declaration in relation to the two documents.

THE COURT: Okay. And your question, could you put it back in the record? Please repeat it.

BY MS. PRESSLEY:

- Q. Okay. So you just -- in response to my last question, you said that you are -- you -- your declaration was specifically supporting the terms of the supplemental stipulation; correct?
  - A. Correct.

2.4

Q. Okay. At the time that you filed your declaration, to your knowledge, was the supplemental

1 stipulation, had that yet be executed? 2 MS. McKENNEDY: Objection, Your Honor. The 3 supplemental stipulation is dated. The witness does not 4 have it in front of her. She's been directed to the 5 first stipulation, so I don't know that the witness can 6 answer this based on memory. 7 MS. PRESSLEY: Your -- Your Honor, I would just --8 9 MS. McKENNEDY: And it's beyond the scope, 10 Your Honor. 11 MS. PRESSLEY: Your Honor, I would just ask 12 you to remind Counsel that when she's stating her objections, to state her objections but not to be 13 14 coaching the witness in her objections. 15 MS. McKENNEDY: Your Honor, my objection The supplemental stipulation is dated, and on 16 the date it was executed, it was filed and served. 17 18 a legal document. 19 THE COURT: I think --20 MS. McKENNEDY: This witness is not here to 21 testify --THE COURT: I think it's fair in the interest 22 23 of foundation to direct her to the supplemental, if you 2.4 can, so we're all on the same page. 25

Okay.

MS. PRESSLEY:

1	BY MS. PRESSLEY:
2	Q. Are you aware
3	THE COURT: Otherwise, she may have to answer
4	she doesn't know.
5	MS. PRESSLEY: Okay.
6	BY MS. PRESSLEY:
7	Q. Are you aware of the terms of the supplemental
8	stipulation that was filed with AHB on April 4th?
9	A. I don't know the timing of everything.
10	Q. Okay. Can you turn to Exhibit 102 that's in
11	the binder in front of you?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. And can you turn to the last page where the
14	parties' signatures appear?
15	A. Okay.
16	Q. What was the date of that supplemental
17	stipulation?
18	A. April 4th, 2025.
19	Q. And what date did you execute your
20	declaration?
21	A. April 2nd, 2025.
22	Q. Okay. But as you're testifying here today,
23	you are supporting the original February 7th declaration
24	with the additional terms that are contained in this
25	supplemental April 4th stipulation?

1 MS. McKENNEDY: Asked and answered. 2. THE COURT: You mean "stipulation"? MS. McKENNEDY: Asked and answered. 3 4 THE COURT: Let's let it clarify, because this 5 is supplemental. This is -- you can ask the question again, but she's asking you whether or not your 6 7 declaration and your testimony supports both the stipulation as it was originally entered into and -- and 8 9 supplemented, however, you want to call the terminology. 10 Is that "yes" or "no"? 11 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Can somebody repeat 12 this again? I'm sorry. 13 THE COURT: Does your -- does your testimony 14 here today in the declaration that you filed, is it in 15 support of the stipulations that were entered into 16 between the Department and State Farm General that's 17 subject in this matter? 18 THE WITNESS: Yes. 19 THE COURT: Is there more that has to be 20 settled Ms. 21 MS. McKENNEDY: Yes, Your Honor. 22 declaration speaks for itself, and I don't think this 23 witness has the foundation to testify with respect to 2.4 the first stipulation, and she may have just not 25 understood the questions.

THE COURT: Okay. I understand the distinction, but she's not -- but at the point that the declaration is proffered and have been now admitted, the point is that both stipulations, whether you call them the supplement to the original or the original supplemented, however the terminology the parties can agree on, her testimony here today and her declaration are in support of approving it.

MS. McKENNEDY: Thank you, Your Honor. I

think with that clarification, the original stipulation as supplemented and refined by the supplement.

THE COURT: That was my purpose in trying to screen on that, but I didn't do very good. So I understand your answer to be in the affirmative. It's "yes" to that? You support the stipulation as it's been supplemented?

THE WITNESS: Correct.

THE COURT: Continue. Continue.

MS. PRESSLEY: Okay.

## BY MS. PRESSLEY:

2.4

Q. Apologies for the confusion, but because there were two separate documents submitted and we're trying to combine the terms, the second stipulation that was filed on April 2nd that contains the additional terms that you testified to in your declaration, the

1 | additional --

2 MS. McKENNEDY: Objection. Misstates. It was 3 not filed on April 2nd.

MS. PRESSLEY: April 4th, Your Honor. I'm sorry.

## BY MS. PRESSLEY:

- Q. The supplemental stipulation that was filed on April 4th, in that supplemental stipulation it says that it incorporates the prior parties' stipulation that was executed on February 7th with the additional terms; is that your understanding?
- A. I'm sorry. I'm really confused by all these dates so --
- Q. I am, too. I believe me; I am, too. The supplemental stipulation that you are supporting with you declaration, in that stipulation -- it's in front of you as Exhibit 102; correct?
- A. Okay. I didn't read the supplemental stipulation in detail, but -- so I -- but I'm aware of the -- maybe if you talk in terms in numbers for me, that might be a little easier.
- MS. McKENNEDY: Your Honor, I repeat her concerns with lack of foundation with this witness to testify to the terms of the legal documents that are the stipulated agreements.

1	THE COURT: That that may be appropriate,
2	but I haven't heard the question asking that yet.
3	MS. McKENNEDY: Okay.
4	THE COURT: All I've heard her to is to refer
5	to the document, but I understand a little bit of
6	frustration because we're going a little bit circular
7	here, and I'm trying to give Counsel an opportunity to
8	get their what is their point into the record but
9	also be mindful of your concerns.
10	MS. McKENNEDY: Thank you, Your Honor.
11	MS. PRESSLEY: Yes, it's very difficult to get
12	the question out when there's speaking objections after
13	every question to direct a witness of how to respond,
14	so.
15	THE COURT: And I appreciate that, too, but
16	I'm getting it's hard to follow because I know you're
17	working hard to try to give us some of the breadcrumbs.
18	MS. PRESSLEY: Yes.
19	THE COURT: Okay.
20	MS. PRESSLEY: Okay.
21	BY MS. PRESSLEY:
22	Q. You just testified a second ago that you
23	support the supplemental stipulation?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. With your declaration?

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Did you also just testify that you have not
3	reviewed that supplemental stipulation?
4	A. That's not sorry. I may have I reviewed
5	the documents sorry. I looked at the document, but
6	it's legalese, and the two numbers that I picked out, 70
7	percent and \$400 million.
8	Q. Okay. Thank you.
9	MS. McKENNEDY: Your Honor, can I ask for
10	clarification? 17.0 percent, not 70 percent?
11	THE WITNESS: 17. Sorry. I can't annunciate
12	sometimes.
13	MS. PRESSLEY: Again, Your Honor, I would just
14	object to
15	THE COURT: Yes, it's irregular, but we want
16	to get through it, so please
17	MS. PRESSLEY: Okay.
18	THE COURT: I appreciate your patience,
19	Ms. Pressley, but as for other things, try to take note
20	of them so that on on redirect you can ask them, but
21	it was a good point.
22	BY MS. PRESSLEY:
23	Q. Okay. So are you reading the document
24	that's before you is Exhibit 102. That's called the

supplement to the stipulation. Do you see that in front

25

1	of you?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. Is this your first time reading that document?
4	A. No.
5	Q. Okay. So you're just you're saying that
6	you're just supporting the numbers in the supplemental
7	stipulation but not anything else?
8	MS. McKENNEDY: Misstates testimony.
9	THE COURT: I'm going to sustain that. It's
10	not really. You can you can get to that what
11	where you're trying to go with it, but you'll have to
12	build it in smaller pieces.
13	MS. PRESSLEY: Sure.
14	BY MS. PRESSLEY:
15	Q. Okay. Looking at the supplemental stipulation
16	that's in front of you as Exhibit 102, are you aware of
17	any terms in that stipulation that would require State
18	Farm General to accept policyholders who are forced to
19	non-renew or let me rephrase that.
20	If the 17 percent rate hike that is being
21	proposed by the Department of Insurance and State Farm
22	General is approved, you stated earlier that some
23	policyholders it's a possibility that some
24	policyholders might have to drop their coverage because

they can't afford the 17 percent; correct?

25

7\	Yes
Α.	169

- Q. Okay. For those policyholders that may be forced to drop their coverage because they cannot afford the 17 percent rate hike, are there any terms in this supplement to the stipulation that's before you as Exhibit 102 that would require State Farm General to take those policyholders back as their customers at the point in time when the additional -- when the -- when the final rate is approved --
  - A. I don't know.
- Q. -- at a lower rate? Okay. Thank you. I'm sorry that took so long to get to, but I understand we need to break everything down. Okay.

So going back to your declaration, at page 2 of your declaration, okay, it's lines 20 through 23 of your declaration.

THE COURT: On what page?

MS. PRESSLEY: Page 2.

## BY MS. PRESSLEY:

Q. You stated, "The interim rate in the surplus note that the Department's staff are recommending are stopgap measures and will be subject to further investigation and proof as part of the full rate hearing process, as well as the possibility of refunds with insurance if the interim rate turns out to be

1 excessive." 2 Do you recall that statement in your declaration? 3 4 Α. I see it in front of me, yes. 5 Okay. Is that still -- is that still your Ο. 6 opinion? 7 Α. Yes. Is it your opinion that the cost of the 8 steps -- I'm sorry. Is it your opinion that the cost of 9 10 the stopgap measure that's in the form of the interim 11 rate hike should be borne by homeowners insurance 12 policyholders? 13 MS. McKENNEDY: Objection. Vaque. THE COURT: Overruled. She can answer that. 14 15 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question? BY MS. PRESSLEY: 16 17 In your declaration you referred to the Ο. Sure. 18 interim rate and the surplus note as stopgap measures that will be subject to further investigation. 19 Is it 20 your opinion that the stopgap measures of the interim 21 rate hike of 17 percent should be borne by homeowners 22 insurance policyholders? 23 That's what the rate increase implies. Α. 2.4 Okay. And what would you consider a stopgap Ο.

How would you define that?

25

measure?

1	A. In my previous testimony, I mentioned that
2	State Farm's in a serious financial position, and with
3	the 17 percent rate increase and the \$400 million
4	surplus notes gets them to a soft landing spot; It
5	doesn't get them completely out of the woods, and so
6	this is what I mean by "stopgap measure."
7	Q. Okay. So essentially a stopgap measure is
8	something to get State Farm financially stable until
9	such time as it can fully prove its requested rate hike?
10	A. I'm sorry. Can you repeat that again?

- You would say a stopgap measure is a measure Ο. that would be intended to get State Farm financially stable until such time as the final rate indication is approved after the hearing -- after they have an evidentiary hearing?
- Correct. And then that would be subject to the excess and would be subject to refund this interest.
- Q. Okay. You also testified in your declaration that -- strike that. I'll come back to that.

Let's turn to paragraph 5 of -- of the original stipulation, which is State Farm General Exhibit 101. Do you have that?

Α. Okay. Yes.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

Okay. And there's a line in that stipulation 24 O. in paragraph 5 that says, "The Department further

believes and thereon alleges that this stipulation is in the public interest in order to maintain maximum availability of homeowners insurance options in California."

Do you agree with that statement?

A. Yes.

2.4

- Q. And do you agree with that statement both as to the February 7th stipulation and the supplement to that stipulation that was filed on April 4th?
- A. This is in the first stipulation, so -- I'm sorry. Can you help me understand the question relating to the supplemental?
- Q. Well, it's my understanding that the two documents are now one agreement; correct? The -- the original stipulation --
- A. Is this just how you phrase it? You have to say both things at the same time? I'm sorry.
- Q. Okay. My question is -- I believe you just answered that you agree with the statement that in the original stipulation that says, "The Department further believes and thereon alleges that this stipulation is in the public interest in order to maintain maximum availability of homeowners insurance options in California"?
  - A. Yes.

Q. Okay. So as it stands today, the agreement
between the Department of Insurance and State Farm
General that is the subject of this rate hearing,
including the 17 percent plus the additional terms that
are agreed to in this supplement, it's your position
that that agreement is in the public interest?
A. This interim rate, yes, is in the public.
Q. Okay. So do you believe that raising State
Farm General homeowners rates by 17 percent will lead to
more homeowners being able to afford State Farm General
policy?
MS. McKENNEDY: Objection. Argumentative.
Beyond the scope.
MS. PRESSLEY: Your Honor, she just testified
that she believes that the stipulation is in the public
interests in order to maintain availability.
THE COURT: I'm overruling it. I'm going to
allow you some questioning on this, but try to keep it
tights.
MS. PRESSLEY: Okay. Okay.
BY MS. PRESSLEY:
Q. Do you believe that raising State Farm General
homeowners policy rate by policyholders rates by 17
percent will lead to more homeowners being able to buy a

State Farm General homeowners policy?

1 Rather than buy, I would say just to have Α. 2. insurance. 3 Okay. So -- okay. Have you done any analysis Ο. 4 to show how raising State Farm's homeowners rate by 17 5 percent will maintain the maximum availability of homeowners insurance options in California? 6 7 Α. I have not. In the April 4th supplement to the 8 Ο. stipulation -- if you could look at paragraph 3. 9 10 Α. Are we going back to 102? This is 102, yes. 11 Ο. 12 Α. Okay. 13 I apologize. I don't have the line number in 0. 14 front of me, but I could read to you from a quote from 15 paragraph 3. It says, "State Farm General does not 16 agree and stipulate to cease its currently implemented 17 and ongoing nonrenewal program that was initiated in 18 March 2024 in the lines represented by the applications." 19 20 This is in paragraph 3 in the supplemental? Α. 21 Q. Yes. 22 I'm not seeing that. Α. 23 O. Okay. 2.4 MS. PRESSLEY: Your Honor, may I ask Counsel for State Farm General to provide us with the binder of 25

```
1
     State Farm General's -- I just don't have it in front of
 2.
     me.
3
                               I don't either, Your Honor.
               MS. McKENNEDY:
                           Is the State Farm General -- do
 4
               THE COURT:
5
    you have that available for Counsel as a courtesy?
               MR. MONTGOMERY: Yes, Your Honor.
6
                                                   Just to
7
     clarify, is that a request for SFG-102? What exhibit
    number?
8
9
               MS. PRESSLEY: Do you have an extra binder
10
     with your exhibits? I know the witness has one, and we
     didn't get one at our counsel table. I think we
11
     provided you with a binder of our exhibits, but I just
12
13
     would like a binder with your exhibits, so.
               MR. MONTGOMERY: All of our exhibits?
14
15
               MS. PRESSLEY: Just the initial binder that
16
     has 201 and 202 -- I'm sorry. 101 and 102.
17
               MR. MONTGOMERY: 101 and 102. Yes, we can get
18
            It may take one moment.
19
               MR. PLETCHER: May I walk across?
20
               THE COURT:
                           Sure.
21
               MR. PLETCHER:
                              This -- this, I believe, is
2.2
     it -- we -- we have been taking some out --
23
               MS. PRESSLEY:
                              Okay.
2.4
               MR. PLETCHER:
                             -- so --
25
               MS. PRESSLEY:
                              Thank you.
```

1 That's kind of the original. MR. PLETCHER: 2 So we'll take it back when you're done. 3 MR. MONTGOMERY: Sure. Absolutely. 4 THE COURT: Are you working off the electronic 5 version? Is that what you're doing? It's wonderful until you can't find the electronic version. 6 7 MS. PRESSLEY: And the Wi-Fi is not always accessible. Okay. So this is Exhibit 102, which it 8 9 looks like it's missing from this binder. 10 MS. McKENNEDY: Your Honor, would you like me 11 to help the witness? 12 THE COURT: Sure. That'd be great. 13 MS. PRESSLEY: I'm just going to pull up the 14 digital copy because it's not in the binder. 15 THE WITNESS: That can be hard to read. 16 Sometimes that happens to me. 17 MS. McKENNEDY: Ms. Shaw --18 THE COURT: If you could -- I think she's 19 trying to direct you to the right point in the document. 20 MS. McKENNEDY: I think page numbers might 21 help, Your Honor. MS. PRESSLEY: Yes, Your Honor. 22 That's why 23 I'm trying to find the document and then I'm going to 2.4 giver her the page and line number. I know it's in 25 paragraph 5, so just give me a sec.

1	THE WITNESS: I thought you said 3. I'm
2	sorry.
3	MS. McKENNEDY: You did say paragraph 5.
4	THE WITNESS: 5?
5	MS. McKENNEDY: No, 3. She said 3. It's on
6	page 3.
7	BY MS. PRESSLEY:
8	Q. I said I'm sorry. I said paragraph 5 of
9	the February 7th two-party stip, which is Exhibit 102.
10	A. Wait, what was the date again? This is April
11	4th; right?
12	Q. Correct.
13	A. I just heard February. I'm so sorry.
14	MS. McKENNEDY: Objection, Your Honor. This
15	is very confusing. I don't know which stipulation we're
16	on.
17	MS. PRESSLEY: Your Honor, I'm referring to
18	Exhibit 102. This is the April 4th supplement to the
19	stipulation.
20	THE WITNESS: Okay.
21	THE COURT: And the witness is shaking her
22	head in the affirmative manner that suggests that she
23	has it in front of her.
24	MS. PRESSLEY: Paragraph
25	THE COURT: She's found that.

1 Paragraph 5 -- I read a quote MS. PRESSLEY: 2 from paragraph 5, which the witness she could not find, 3 so I'm looking up the page and line number in paragraph 4 5, if you could give me one second. 5 MS. McKENNEDY: It is page 4, Your Honor if that helps. 6 7 THE COURT: Counsel for the Department seems to think it's on 4, but we'll wait and see if we can 8 9 locate it so you're looking at the same thing, everybody 10 all at once. BY MS. PRESSLEY: 11 12 Ο. Okay. I apologize. I misspoke. It was 13 paragraph 3, and it's on page 3. Okay? 14 In that paragraph, page 3, starting around 15 line 15, it says, "Applicant maintains that it would be contrary to prudent management of the company and 16 fiscally irresponsible to agree and stipulate to cease 17 18 its currently implemented ongoing nonrenewable program that was initiated in March 2024 in the lines 19 20 represented by the applications." 21 Do you see that? 22 Α. Yes. 23 Okay. It further goes on to say, "And 24 therefore, it reports that it would complete that

25

program by yearend 2025."

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Okay. I just want to keep have you keep
3	that statement in your mind. You testified in your
4	declaration I believe it was at paragraph 17 of your
5	declaration. Do you have that in front of you?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. You testified that "Applicant has reported
8	that since March 2024, it has non-renewed approximately
9	12,677 policyholders and is currently in progress to
10	non-renew its additional 11,016 policyholders."
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. Okay. So is it your understanding that from
13	the statement that is in paragraph 3 of the supplement
14	to the stipulation that State Farm is not agreeing to
15	pause those additional 11,000 non-renewals than it
16	initiated in the nonrenewal program that started in
17	March of 2024?
18	MR. PLETCHER: Your Honor, we object. This is
19	way beyond the scope of direct.
20	THE COURT: Overruled. It's in the
21	declaration and I'm going to allow beyond the scope.
22	BY MS. PRESSLEY:

20 -- the original 28.1 percent --

your declaration that part of the intent of reducing the

Kennedy Court Reporters, Inc.

800.231.2682

23

24

25

Okay. And I believe you actually testified in

Τ	A. 21.8.
2	Q 21.8 to 17 percent was to account for the
3	fact that State Farm was not agreeing to stop all of its
4	non-renewals of that block nonrenewal program; correct?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Okay. So based on your declaration in
7	paragraph 17 where you said that Applicant has reported
8	that it has non-renewed 12,677 policyholders already and
9	is currently in progress to non-renew its additional
10	11,000 policyholders, that's a roughly 23,500
11	policyholders that were combined part of that 2024
12	nonrenewal program; correct?
13	A. I can't do the math as fast as you, but I
14	believe you.
15	Q. How, in your opinion, does State Farm
16	General's nonrenewal of over 23,500 policyholders
17	maintain the maximum availability of homeowners
18	insurance options in California?
19	MS. McKENNEDY: Misstates testimony.
20	Argumentative.
21	THE COURT: I don't think she referred to her
22	testimony. Overruled.
23	THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question?
24	BY MS. PRESSLEY:
25	Q. How does State Farm General's nonrenewal of

over 23,500 policyholders maintain the maximum availability of homeowners insurance options in California?

- A. State Farm has 3.2 million policies on their books, their homeowners policy. They have 2.2 million customers. 1.2 million of them belong to the homeowners policy you were referring to. If State Farm were unable to -- if the surplus issued was unable to support their ongoing business concerns, I think the number would be greater than this 23,000 policyholders that you're referring to.
- Q. Okay. Can you turn to the supplemental stip which is -- we're still on Exhibit 102, paragraph 6.

  And that is on page 4, lines 18 through 20.
  - A. Yes.

Q. Okay. And that portion of the stipulation reads, "The parties stipulate and agree that Applicant shall not initiate any new block nonrenewal programs in the lines represented by applications through yearend 2025."

Are you familiar with that?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Do you have an understanding of what is meant by the term "block nonrenewal programs"?
  - A. No, I don't -- I don't know the full

definition, but I think I can infer from the text.

- Q. Okay. So -- but your understanding is that this stipulation prohibits State Farm General from implementing any new block nonrenewal programs through the end of this year, the end of the calendar year 2025?
- A. Yeah. I believe they are allowed -- sorry. Whatever they have on -- as a transaction, they can take, but they can't initiate any new ones.
- Q. Any new ones. That's excluding the one we just discussed that was initiated in March 2024; correct?
  - A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

2.4

25

- Q. Okay. So other than block non-renewals, is it your understanding under the supplement to the stipulation that State Farm General can still non-renew policyholders on an individual basis in 2025?
  - A. I think that would depend on State Farm.
  - Q. Okay.
- A. Because it could for be many reasons.

  Nonpayment is one; right?
- Q. Correct. So the prohibition in paragraph 7
  and the stipulation only applies to block non-renewals;
  correct? And I understand --
  - A. That's what the text reads.
  - Q. Okay. Okay. And according to that term as to

1 block non-renewals starting in eight months on January 2 1st, 2025, State Farm could --3 Wait. January '25? Α. 4 I'm sorry. January 1st, 2026. Under this Ο. 5 term, it says "Applicant shall not initiate any new block nonrenewal programs through yearend 2025." 6 7 So starting on January 1st, 2026, would this stipulation prevent State Farm General from implementing 8 9 a new non -- a new -- sorry -- a new block nonrenewal 10 program starting January 1st of 2026? 11 I don't know. Α. 12 Ο. Okay. But as to this term, it only applies 13 through yearend 2025; correct? 14 Α. That's what it reads, yes. 15 Okay. Thank you. Okay. Going back to your Ο. 16 declaration in paragraph 18, do you have that? 17 Α. Yes. 18 In that paragraph you stated that the Q. 19 reduction in the interim rate from 21 percent -- I'm 20 sorry -- 21.8 percent to 17 percent was intended to, 21 quote, take into account the potential effects of 22 Applicant continuing these non-renewals. 23 Do you see that?

Okay. But then you went on in that same

2.4

25

Α.

Q.

Yes.

1 paragraph. You stated that "the reduction of the 2 interim rate to 17 percent made either over or 3 underestimate the potential rate impact of the ongoing 4 nonrenewal program, and, therefore, the Department 5 intends to fully investigate the actual rate impact, if any, of these non-renewals during the full rate hearing 6 7 process." 8 Do you see that? 9 Α. Yes. 10 And is that still your testimony today? Q. Okay. 11 Α. Yes. 12 Okay. So is the 17 percent interim rate Ο. 13 that's been agreed upon by the Department of Insurance 14 and State Farm General based on your calculation of the 15 maximum rate indications using the CDI rate templates? Your Honor, asked and answered 16 MS. McKENNEDY: 17 several times. Can I ask how much longer we're going to 18 be with this witness, or should we break for lunch? 19 THE COURT: Well, it does -- on the objection 20 itself, I thought we covered this ground, Ms. Pressley. 21 MS. PRESSLEY: It's one question, Your Honor. 22 I'm just trying to -- in relation to --23 THE COURT: Okay. MS. PRESSLEY: 2.4 I'm asking --25 THE COURT: I'll overrule it --

1 MS. PRESSLEY: Sure. 2 THE COURT: -- to let you clear it up, but I do think it was covered. 3 4 MS. PRESSLEY: Okay. 5 THE COURT: You can answer the question, Ms. Shaw. 6 7 MS. PRESSLEY: It's just that this paragraph is specifically dealing with that calculation, so I'm 8 9 just trying to --10 THE COURT: You want the specificity? 11 MS. PRESSLEY: Yes, correct. I did not calculate the 17 12 THE WITNESS: It was given me by my Counsel, and I 13 understand it came from State Farm. 14 15 BY MS. PRESSLEY: Okay. So it's your opinion that you would 16 17 need more information than what was currently in the 18 rate applications and in the record in order to, quote, 19 fully investigate the actual rate impact of those 20 non-renewals; correct? 21 Α. Yes. So as of today, you don't have an opinion of 22 23 whether 17 percent is above or blow the maximum 2.4 permitted rate indication under the regulations? 25 A. I don't have an opinion.

1	Q. Okay. Also in your declaration I believe
2	at there is a chart. Paragraph it looks like
3	paragraph 17. It's on page 10 of your declaration. And
4	there's estimated premium there's a second column in
5	that chart Estimated Premium Impact. Do you see that?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. Okay. To what premium basis and dollar value
8	did you apply the requested 21.8 percent to arrive at
9	the estimated premium impact of \$530 million in your
10	declaration?
11	A. The 2024 gross-earned premium as calculated in
12	annual statement.
13	Q. I'm sorry. Can you restate that? 2024
14	A. Gross gross-earned premium from the annual
15	statement.
16	Q. Okay. And what was the effected date for the
17	requested 21.8 percent rate increase that you used to
18	estimate that \$530 million?
19	A. This is a high-level financial estimate. It's
20	not from the rate template.
21	Q. Okay. Okay. And I believe you testified in
22	your declaration that once this once the premium

dollars that start coming in from the rated -- the rate

hike are reflected in the data, I think you testified

that that would be about 12 to 24 months result in --

23

24

25

improvement to insurer surplus position would take about 12 to 24 months for that rate impact to improve the surplus?

A. It's in my declaration, yes.

2.4

- Q. Okay. When you made that statement, did you consider any potential changes in loss experience during that timeframe?
- A. Yes. This is a high-lever rate impact. This is just top line premium. I haven't estimated what the combination ratio is going to be or what the loss would be or gain.
- Q. Okay. But would you agree that there would be an impact from whatever the loss is or --
- A. Right. But so -- so that's why we're including all of this. So this would assume that the combined ratio is 100 percent, that all of this increase would flow to the bottom line.
- Q. Okay. Okay. Going to page 5 of your declaration. Okay. And I believe this was asked -- a question was asked about this by your counsel earlier, which is why I'm raising it. It's regarding the RBC ratios, so I'm asking it in response to what you stated earlier in the question that was asked by your counsel.

In your declaration it says, "I've independently calculated Applicants' RBC ratios based

1	upon publicly available information in its annual
2	statements using the same formula set forth in the RBC
3	instructions that are published by the NAIC for property
4	and casualty insurance."
5	MS. McKENNEDY: What's the question?
6	BY MS. PRESSLEY:
7	Q. Is that your testimony?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. Okay. So were your calculations based on your
10	understanding of what the instructions that the RB
11	instructions that are published by the NAIC the same
12	formula that's in those instructions? Was that used in
13	your calculations?
14	MS. McKENNEDY: Objection. Asked and
15	answered.
16	THE COURT: Overruled.
17	THE WITNESS: Can you repeat your question?
18	BY MS. PRESSLEY:
19	Q. Sure. The RBC ratios that you calculated that
20	are contained in your testimony, did you use the same
21	formulas that are in the RBC instructions that are
22	published by the NAIC to calculate those ratios?
23	A. It's consistent with the NAIC RBC
24	instructions, yes.

25

Q.

Okay.

1 I'm just going to renew our MS. PRESSLEY: 2 motion to strike any the specified page and line numbers that referred to the RBC calculations. 3 4 THE COURT: So noted for the record. 5 visit that at the conclusion of the day, too, so that we 6 can manage it. 7 MS. PRESSLEY: Okay. Thank you. BY MS. PRESSLEY: 8 9 Ο. Okay. Moving on to page 8. 10 MS. PRESSLEY: And just for timing purposes, 11 this is my last line of questioning, so I think I can wrap up in about ten minutes. 12 13 THE COURT: Okay. Does that work for 14 everybody? We're going later than the usual, so people 15 are getting kind of grumbly, and we appreciate your 16 moving on. BY MS. PRESSLEY: 17 18 Okay. So going to page 8 of your declaration, Q. 19 starting at line 6. Okay. Yeah, it's actually starting 20 at line 5. You said, "Based upon my very early stage 21 22 preliminary analysis, which I intend to further 23 investigate and develop during discovery as part of the 2.4 full rate hearing process, I do not believe the

deterioration of the Applicant surplus in RBC ratios has

25

1 been solely caused by allegedly insufficient homeowners 2. rate." 3 Do you see that? 4 Α. Yes. 5 So you -- at this stage in time, you're not certain of what the impact of those causes are; right? 6 7 Asked and answered. MS. McKENNEDY: 8 THE COURT: Overruled. 9 THE WITNESS: The -- State Farm had made a 10 preliminary showing in this interim -- emergency interim rate request that they had a catastrophic loss that 11 impacted their financial severity. 12 13 And while the interim rate is an interim rate, 14 we will get all our data and support as to 2025 as 15 instructed by the Commissioner so that we can fully investigate this during the rate hearing. And, I'm 16 going to add, any -- if we determine the rate to be 17 18 excess, it will be refunded plus interest. 19 BY MS. PRESSLEY: 20 Okay. So then the only showing from State Ο. 21 Farm that you're looking at is the -- the January 2025 loss event? 2.2 23 I'm sorry. I couldn't quite hear you. Α.

So the only showing from State Farm General

you speak into the microphone, please?

2.4

25

O.

1	that you're looking at is the loss event, the 2025
2	fires?
3	MS. McKENNEDY: Objection. Misstates
4	testimony.
5	THE COURT: She's asking whether or not she's
6	referring to it. I'll allow it. Overruled.
7	THE WITNESS: Can you ask your question again?
8	BY MS. PRESSLEY:
9	Q. So the only information or the only showing
10	from State Farm General that you're looking at is the
11	losses the loss event from the 2025 January 2025
12	fires?
13	A. I believe we covered that yesterday. There
14	are two new additional pieces of information. One is
15	the effective date. The other one is the CAT exhibit.
16	Q. Okay. But, again, at this stage, you
17	characterize your analysis of the causes of the
18	deterioration of Applicant surplus as very early stage
19	preliminary analysis that you intend to investigate
20	further; correct?
21	A. That would be the rate impact, and they came
22	to us back in June with deteriorated financial
23	conditions. That was alarming. And now with the

Okay. And, again, you testified that you

wildfires, it's getting very serious.

24

25

Q.

1 don't believe that the deterioration of surplus was 2 solely caused by insufficient homeowners rates? 3 MS. McKENNEDY: Asked and answered, Your 4 Honor, several times. 5 THE COURT: Ms. Pressley, is this question --6 why is it necessary? 7 MS. PRESSLEY: I'm just reminding -- I'm reminding her to -- as -- that that's what she 8 9 testified, to ask the next question that's related to 10 that question --11 MS. McKENNEDY: Argumentative. MS. PRESSLEY: -- which is a different 12 13 question. 14 THE COURT: All right. Proceed. 15 BY MS. PRESSLEY: Okay. So what are some of the -- I'm just 16 Q. 17 reminding you, you stated that insufficient homeowners 18 rates are not the only cause. What are some of the 19 other causes that you believe, based on your preliminary 20 analysis, have been part of the cause of that deterioration of surplus? 21 22 I thought I answered that already. I said 23 State Farm writes different books of business. 2.4 Homeowners, commercial, multi- -- multi parol 25 (phonetic), and SSI liability. And I think they should

look at all their lines of business in addition to homeowners.

Q. Okay. And then in that same -- yes, in the same paragraph of 15 you said, "I believe there are other steps Applicant should be encouraged and required to take to improve its financial condition."

What are those other steps that you believe they should be encouraged to take?

- A. I would encourage them to file a rate application for their other lines of business, which they have. We have a few on the docket right now.
  - Q. For other lines other than homeowners?
  - A. That's correct.

2.

2.4

Q. In that same sentence you said, "I believe there are other steps Applicant should be encouraged and required to take."

What are the steps that should be required -that State Farm should be required to take to improve
its financial condition?

- A. I can't -- sorry. I don't know. I don't recall.
- Q. Is it your opinion that the parent, State Farm Mutual, should contribute more than \$400 million in the surplus note to improve State Farm General's financial condition?

1	A. That's not for me to answer.
2	Q. But you do support a capital infusion from
3	State Farm Mutual?
4	A. What I have in front oops. Sorry. What I
5	have in front of me is \$400 million surplus notes, and
6	I'm in support of that.
7	Q. Okay. And would you agree if State Farm
8	Mutual gave a \$500 million surplus note, that would
9	improve their financial condition more than a \$400
10	million surplus note?
11	A. Well, I'm not aware that offer is on the
12	table. \$500 million is a greater number than \$400
13	million.
14	Q. Okay. Regardless of whether that offer is on
15	the table, is it your opinion that a \$500 million
16	surplus note would improve their financial condition
17	more than if the parent company gave \$400 million?
18	A. Yes, 500 is greater than 400.
19	Q. Okay. Thank you.
20	THE COURT: We're going to take that as more
21	money is better so
22	THE WITNESS: Okay.
23	THE COURT: we don't just beat around the
24	bush continuously. We all get it.

25

MS. PRESSLEY: Okay. I believe that's it, and

1	we're done with cross.
2	THE COURT: All right. And I know there's an
3	interest in the break. I do want to take a full break,
4	or do you want to take something less today? This
5	witness, how much time do you think you need on
6	redirect?
7	MS. McKENNEDY: Five minutes, Your Honor.
8	THE COURT: Real quick. Do you want to do
9	that now, or do you want to push through or
10	MS. McKENNEDY: I could
11	THE COURT: We're probably going to have to
12	break no matter what because we have staff and stuff.
13	We can't, you know, just keep going.
14	MS. McKENNEDY: Why don't we break? It's
15	12:30.
16	THE COURT: You want to come back at like
17	1:30, so we're just on an hour break? Is that going to
18	work for everybody, or do you need longer?
19	MR. PLETCHER: That's fine with us, Your
20	Honor.
21	THE COURT: All right. So we're in recess
22	until 1:30.
23	(Lunch recess)
24	THE COURT: Good afternoon. Back on the
25	record after a break for lunch in the matter of this

1	rate applications of State Farm General Insurance
2	Company specifically the evidentiary hearing concerning
3	the stipulation. This is Case Nos. PA-2024-00011, 12,
4	and 13.
5	Before taking our lunch break, we were we
6	had completed, actually, the direct the
7	cross-examination of the Department's witness, and we're
8	getting for redirect.
9	Are you ready to proceed, Ms got your mic
10	on? Is the Department ready to proceed once they have
11	mic?
12	MS. McKENNEDY: We are ready to proceed, You
13	Honor, but we have no further redirect.
14	THE COURT: Oh, you don't. Okay.
15	Is there any questions still outstanding from
16	State Farm General on this witness?
17	MR. TETI: No, your Honor.
18	THE COURT: All right. Very good.
19	Is there any reason that this witness could
20	not be excused. I know she's sitting in the gallery,
21	but that's okay.
22	There being none, we thank you for your
23	testimony, Ms. Shaw, and appreciate your time.
24	THE WITNESS: May I approach to get my stuff?
25	THE COURT: Absolutely. Absolutely.

1 MS. McKENNEDY: We've already trained her on 2 how to be a lawyer, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: She's doing real well. If you 4 want to keep her, better be careful how experienced she 5 gets. She'll become an expert witness, all kinds of 6 things. 7 So we transition now to -- are there Okay. 8 any more witnesses to be called? 9 MS. McKENNEDY: Not by the Department, Your 10 Honor. 11 No, Your Honor. MS. WELLINGTON: 12 THE COURT: Consumer Watchdog. 13 MR. PLETCHER: No, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: All right. So we have some 15 remaining housekeeping to accomplish. One is that I have a couple of lists of the 16 things that have come into evidence, but I want to make 17 18 sure that we address outstanding ones. I also wanted to 19 turn to the request for notice, if that is something 20 that we're going to address on the record beyond the 21 admissions that were admitted exhibits. And then -- well, let's stick with that first. 22 23 Where are we at with exhibits? We'll take them in 2.4 order. 25 MR. MADDIGAN: I can speak to that, Your

1	Honor. The parties met and conferred extensively, led
2	by my colleague, and I have agreed on the admission of
3	almost all the exhibits with a few exceptions. We have
4	a list we are prepared to submit, and, also, we can
5	we're prepared to read it in the record as well.
6	THE COURT: It's best if we read them into the
7	record at this stage, but we also would appreciate
8	having what you've prepared.
9	MS. CASSEL-WALKER: Thank you, Your Honor.
10	Johannah Cassel-Walker on behalf of State Farm General.
11	THE COURT: Thank you. And take your time,
12	and proceed when you're ready. Just tell me where
13	you're at so I can take notes as you go along, along
14	with the reporter.
15	MS. CASSEL-WALKER: Thank you, your Honor.
16	I'm looking at the parties' April 10th, 2025
17	revised joint exhibit list for hearing on proposed
18	interim stipulation.
19	THE COURT: I was just looking at that. Go
20	head.
21	MS. CASSEL-WALKER: Starting on page 1.
22	The parties have stipulated to the admission
23	of:
24	IRH-CDI-002, IRH-CDI-003.
25	Moving to page 2: IRH-SFG-101, IRH-SFG-102,

```
1
     IRH-SFG-104, IRH-SFG-105, IRH-SFG-106, IRH-SFG-107.
 2
               Turning to page 3:
                                   IRH-SFG-108, IRH-SFG-109.
               Turning to page 4: IRH-SFG-112, IRH-SFG-114,
3
4
     IRH-SFG-115, IRH-SFG-116, IRH-SFG-117, IRH-SFG-118,
5
     IRH-SFG-119.
               Page 5: IRH-SFG-120, IRH-SFG-121,
6
7
     IRH-SFG-122, IRH-SFG-123, IRH-SFG-124, IRH-SFG-125,
     IRH-SFG-126, IRH-SFG-127, IRH-SFG-128, IRH-SFG-129,
8
     IRH-SFG-130, IRH-SFG-131, IRH-SFG-132, IRH-SFG-133,
9
10
     IRH-SFG-134, IRH-SFG-136, IRH-SFG-137, IRH-SFG-138.
               Page 7: IRH-SFG-140, IRH-SFG-141,
11
12
     IRH-SFG-142, IRH-SFG-143, IRH-SFG-144, IRH-SFG-145,
13
     IRH-SFG-146.
               Page 8 -- I don't know if it makes more sense
14
15
     to do these in batches. We have a range of numbers here
     from IRH-SFG-147 through IRH-SFG-162.
16
17
               Would you like those individually?
18
               THE COURT: It could be the way that you just
19
     stated, since we're not indicating the material with
20
     this in order to be expedient.
21
               MS. CASSEL-WALKER: All right. Great.
22
               THE COURT: So 147 to 162.
23
               MS. CASSEL-WALKER: Correct.
2.4
               THE COURT: Are --
25
               MS. WELLINGTON: Are stipulated to the admission
```

1 by all parties. 2 THE COURT: Okay. 3 MS. CASSEL-WALKER: We can then move to page 4 10, which is IRH-SFG-167. 5 On page 11: IRH-SFG-168. 6 Page 12: IRH-SFG-172 through 174. On the 7 same page, IRH-SFG-176 through 179. 8 On page 13, we have IRH-CWD-201 through 9 IRH-CWD-205. And then on the same page -- IRH-CWD-207 10 through IRH-CWD-211. 11 IRH-CWD-212 through IRH-CWD-223. Page 14: 12 the same page, we also have IRH-CWD-225. 13 On page 15, we have IRH-CWD-226. 14 On the same page, we have IRH-CWD-230 through 15 238. 16 On page 16: IRH-CWD-239. On the same page, 17 we have IRH-CWD-242 and 243; IRH-245 through 249. 18 On page 17: IRH-CWD-250; and then IRH-CWD-252 19 through 254. 20 And turning back to page 1, I would like to 21 read into the record the conditions on the parties' stipulations. Said, "This is the following charts 22 23 reflects the parties exhibit list and to note where the 24 parties have stipulated to admit a particular exhibit 25 into the record for the hearing on the proposed interim

rate stipulation.

2.4

By stipulating to admit an exhibit, the parties do not waive their right to object to the improper use of a particular exhibit; nor do they waive any objections regarding whether an exhibit constitutes or contains hearsay, which shall not be sufficient in itself to support a finding; nor do they waive any arguments regarding an exhibit's relevance or weight that it may be afforded in determining whether to improve the proposed interim rate stipulation.

In addition to the exhibits listed below, the parties stipulate that all filings in the three rate applications CDI File Nos. 23-1271/SERFF -- that's S-E-R-F-F -- #134139896, No. 24-1273/SERFF #134139931, and No. 24-1330/SERFF #134139850, as posted on the systems for electronic rates and forms filing as of April 8th, 2025, are admitted into the record for the hearing on the proposed interim rate stipulation.

There are a number of additional -- I want to confirm that we also admitted into the record for the parties' stipulation IRH-CWD-227.

In addition to that exhibit, the parties have a number of conditional stipulations regarding exhibits related to the Watkins declaration for the following exhibit numbers.

1	The parties stipulate to the admission as part
2	of State Farm General's offer of proof, but CWD and CDI
3	object to the exhibit as part of their objections to the
4	Watkin's testimony.
5	(Reporter interruption)
6	MS. CASSEL-WALKER: Watkins, W-A-T-K-I-N-S.
7	And you start on page 3. We have IRH-SFG-110
8	and 111.
9	On page 4: IRH-SFG-113.
10	On page 6: IRH-SFG-135.
11	On page 7: IRH-SFG-139.
12	On page 9: IRH-SFG-163.
13	On page 18: IRH-SFG-164 through 166.
14	On page 11: IRH-SFG-169 through 171.
15	And then there are also a few exhibits which
16	the parties stipulate to, except that Consumer Watchdog
17	preserves its objection with respect to RBC; and this
18	includes on page 1: IRH-CDI-001.
19	On page 2: IRH-SFG-103.
20	And on page 12: IRH-SFG-175.
21	I think the remaining exhibits, if any, need
22	to be admitted would be moved in by the respective
23	parties.
24	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
25	So for the Department, does that accurately

1	describe the conditions and represent the exhibits to
2	which they're you have agreed to
3	MS. McKENNEDY: Yes, Your Honor.
4	THE COURT: Stipulated to their admission.
5	MS. McKENNEDY: Yes, Your Honor. We do want
6	to say thank you for State Farm's Counsel for doing an
7	admirable job of corralling all these exhibits and
8	getting the agreements from the parties.
9	THE COURT: Yes. It saves us a considerable
10	time.
11	MR. PLETCHER: We would also join that
12	appreciation.
13	THE COURT: So stipulated?
14	MS. McKENNEDY: And for Consumer Watchdog?
15	MR. PLETCHER: Yes, Your Honor.
16	THE COURT: All right. So the
17	stipulations because there's more than one they
18	are confirmed as having as represented, and the
19	exhibits are so received.
20	(State Farm General's Exhibits 101 and
21	102, 104 through 107, 108 and 109, 112,
22	114 through 134, 136 through 138, 140
23	through 162, 167 and 168, 172 through
24	174, 176 through 179 were received into
25	evidence.)

1	(Consumer Watchdog's Exhibits
2	201 through 205, 207 through 223, 225 and
3	226, 230 through 239, 242 and 243, 245
4	through 250, 252 through 254 were
5	received into evidence.)
6	THE COURT: So we have what remaining that is
7	going to be moved in independently that she just
8	mentioned; anything?
9	MS. PRESSLEY: Your Honor, I believe she went
10	through all of our exhibits that are currently have
11	been currently lodged with the AHB.
12	THE COURT: All right.
13	MS. WELLINGTON: Nothing further from State
14	Farm.
15	THE COURT: Is there anything further or on
16	request for notice or anything that I'm missing in that
17	regard?
18	MR. MADDIGAN: No.
19	MS. McKENNEDY: I don't believe so,
20	Your Honor.
21	THE COURT: The record will so reflect that
22	the parties are indicating in the negative.
23	Other pieces that I'm and I could have
24	missed this because there's a lot of paper the two
25	previous the proceedings before the Commissioner.

1 The transcripts. 2 MS. McKENNEDY: Yes, Your Honor. I did lodge 3 those as part of an opposition to a motion in limine. 4 Would you like the complete documents as part of the 5 record? I want to have them in the record. 6 THE COURT: 7 So we just have to -- they can -- we can refer to them, and we have copies of them, of course. I'd have to take 8 9 a minute to get them, and either party can bring them in 10 or, you know, you can do is as a joint stipulation or you can even be ALJ exhibit. It doesn't really matter. 11 12 MS. McKENNEDY: Would you like me to read a 13 description of those documents into the record, Your 14 Honor? 15 THE COURT: As long as we can have something 16 sufficient to mark it. We're going to have to mark some 17 things. 18 MS. McKENNEDY: I have a the exerts, 19 Honor. Do you want the entirety? 20 Yeah. We're going to need the THE COURT: 21 whole transcript. So why don't we just take a quick 22 break in order to sort out the papers for that.

Do you have a full copy of that, Counsel? Or

then before we do, let me see what else I have to be

23

2.4

25

reminded of.

1 does someone have it with you? 2 MS. McKENNEDY: I have it electronically, Your 3 Honor, and we're having printing difficulties, so I'm 4 scrambling right now. Surprise with all this paper. 5 THE COURT: Let's go off the record for a few 6 minutes -- about five-minute break. 7 Off the record. 8 9 (Break) 10 THE COURT: Back on the record in -- for a longer expected break so we can do some printing -- on 11 the matter of State Farm General Insurance Company and 12 13 the stipulation in evidentiary hearing in Case Nos. PA-2024-00011, 12, and 13. 14 15 Thank you for your patience while we got that coordinated. And I understand that Counsel's going to 16 offer additional exhibits I solicited now. 17 18 MR. MADDIGAN: Yes. Thank you, Your Honor. 19 Off the record, as you said, the parties were 20 discussing the transcripts for two dates of proceedings 21 in this matter. Partial transcripts of these 22 proceedings already were included on the exhibit list, 2.3 but we now want to amend the exhibit list to add the 2.4 full transcript, so I'll do that. And we'll make them

25

State Farm's exhibits.

1 So Exhibit IRH-SFG-180 will be the 2 transcript -- transcription of proceedings from Wednesday, February 26, 2025, in the State Farm 3 4 Emergency Interim Rate Approval matter. 5 And Exhibit IRH-SFG-181 will be the transcript from the March 11th, 2025, proceeding in the this 6 7 matter. All right. 8 THE COURT: Any questions about the identification? 9 10 MS. McKENNEDY: No, Your Honor. 11 MR. PLETCHER: No questions regarding 12 identification, and we have no objections to the full 13 transcripts coming in. 14 Just, with respect to what I was just handed, 15 I don't know if this is a full transcript. I know it seems to end with a question that was directed towards 16 17 I don't recall if there was any more discussion 18 after that point, so I'm just not sure if this 19 particular paper copy, that I've been given, is the full 20 transcript. But we do have --21 THE COURT: Does somebody else have a copy to 22 compare again to see if that particular copy is missing 23 a page. 2.4 MR. PLETCHER: That may not matter because we

don't object to the full transcript coming in.

1	THE COURT: Okay.
2	Counsel, is your last page on the February 26
3	Official Court Reporters is that the one you were
4	referring to, Mr. Pletcher?
5	MS. PRESSLEY: The March 11.
6	MR. PLETCHER: The March 11th.
7	THE COURT: The March 11th
8	MR. MADDIGAN: The printout of the March 11th
9	one, that I have, is it also ends with a question.
10	MR. PLETCHER: And maybe that's how it is.
11	THE COURT: That's all we had, so I
12	will what we'll do is we'll just receive it as is and
13	if there's some reason to amend the record, it will have
14	to be dealt with then, if that's what we have.
15	As being for that it looks like
16	somebody's looking for something. We'll give them an
17	extra moment or two to see if they have a different
18	thing.
19	MR. MADDIGAN: I think we have the last page
20	here.
21	THE COURT: Okay. I wonder why I'm missing
22	the last page isn't that interesting. Maybe what you
23	could do is maybe share that last page, and we can all
24	agree that that's the complete
25	MR. MADDIGAN: Okay. I'm sharing.

1	THE COURT: Okay. Just share with Counsel,
2	and then we'll make copies.
3	Thank you for pointing that out, Mr. Pletcher;
4	and thank you, Counsel, for facilitating in making sure
5	we have it complete.
6	MR. MADDIGAN: There you go. I can hand it to
7	you with this page.
8	THE COURT: That would be great. I
9	actually I would need the page, and I'll give you
10	back the page in a few minutes.
11	MR. MADDIGAN: Okay. There's the missing
12	page.
13	THE COURT: That's what I need. Okay. All
14	right.
15	So as so identified and marked, stipulate that
16	I placed it into evidence.
17	Department?
18	MS. McKENNEDY: Yes, Your Honor.
19	THE COURT: Consumer Watchdog?
20	MS. PRESSLEY: Yes, Your Honor.
21	THE COURT: State Farm General.
22	MR. MADDIGAN: Yes.
23	THE COURT: All right. As received. Thank
24	you.
25	Is there anything that you've added to the

1 trailing things before I jump into what I want -- it's 2 mostly recap. Anything to add that we need to deal 3 with? 4 MS. WELLINGTON: Your Honor, the only thing we 5 wanted to add is that we are planning to do a closing. Yes. We'll get to that. 6 THE COURT: 7 MS. WELLINGTON: Thank you. THE COURT: We'll absolutely get to that. 8 Once we do the closings, it's often hard to 9 10 hold onto, so I don't want to let this run. 11 We have filings in this case -- both prehearing as well as continuing with in limine and 12 13 during hearing -- so just as a short recap and make sure 14 that we're all on the same page with regard to that. 15 We had the motion to strike by State Farm General, which was filed on April 3rd, 2025. Note that 16 17 all these dates that I'm about to mention may not be 18 your lodging date. You may have filed it on the following date. 19 20 There was an opposition to it on April 4th, 2025, from Consumer Watchdog. It was withdrawn on April 21 22 8th during the hearing by the movement. So no action 23 was taken on that. 2.4 There was the matter of the Department's

motion to limit or exclude the witness, Nancy Watkins,

filed on April 7th, 2025, pertaining to the declaration that was filed with AHB on April 2nd, 2025.

State Farm General filed a response in part one of their April 8th, 2025, filing. There was also a declaration -- subsequent declaration filed April 9th, 2025. I granted this motion on the exclusion basis disqualifying the witness and striking the declarations to the extent they were in the record but allowing them for purposes of offers of proof if -- together with any others that might be requested.

And I understand that, earlier, with regard to the conditional stipulations, is to preserve that status. And that's already been confirmed, as not adjusted, by virtue of my recap here.

So amongst the other filings, we have some traditional filings that are, for example -- or more traditional in an untraditional situation. The Consumer Watchdog's initial March, 24th, 2025, objections and the defense from State Farm General on April 2nd, 2025.

There was also a State Farm General response to the objections on April 3rd, 2025. There was a letter addressed to me from Consumer Watchdog on March 28th, 2025; and in addition to that, we had the -- we had some motion where, which I indicated on the record earlier in the week, we have overlap as to some of the

arguments that had either been repeated or expanded upon.

2.4

But among other things that have been filed are a motion to strike in sanctions by Consumer Watchdog on April 7th, 2025, to which State Farm General filed an opposition on April 8th, 2025.

Consumer Watchdog had also brought in limine motion No. 1 on April 7th, 2025, which targeted precluding financial information as to financial conditions being introduced as evidence, and State Farm General responded at that in their parts two and three in their April 8th, 2025, filling.

This also -- this also -- this response also responded to Consumer Watchdog's other in limine motions in part; mainly in limine motion No. 2, which was filed also on April 7th, 2025, to exclude the supplemental stipulation as to the stipulation from the -- between the Department and the State Farm General.

And Consumer Watchdog's third in limine motion, which is also filed on April 7th, 2025, targeting the RBC calculations. It was also additional filing for Consumer Watchdog to exclude and to provide further briefing on the RBC calculations filed on April 8th, 2025, to which State Farm General responded on April 9th, 2025.

Wow, that was a lot to try to get out. But I try to actively display that we have these things that I realize are there. They are -- there is some overlap as between them, and I have indicated that I will address the matters in it in the various filings in connection with the decision that was also repeated when it came to preserving Consumer Watchdog's objections accordingly on the record.

I understand you've done the hard work with regard to the exhibits, and I greatly appreciate that because it helps. As I repeated over and over again during this proceeding to make sure our record is clean as we can make it when other people are looking at it. It's -- things that seem clear in the room are not clear later, so I try to -- even if it's a weird bread-crumb trail to give them, as best I can, a complete one.

With regard to the transcript, however, when it comes to identifying the aspects that would be subject to strike, if Consumer Watchdog's position was maintained, I want to turn to the parties to see how they might suggest handling that. I have ideas, but I'd like to know from you first how you would go about that.

Do you want to proffer something in a filing that identifies that? Do you want to try to stipulate with the parties as to which aspects would be

attributable to that? Again, it also goes for complete record even if I rule ultimately not in your favor.

MR. PLETCHER: Your Honor, we're happy to -- once we have the transcript -- to go through it and identify lines that discuss the RBC issue and identify that, you know, line-by-line.

We'll certainly take an attempt to agree with Counsel that, you know, not that they would agree that the information should come out, but that is the universe of information that discusses RBC.

If we could do it by stipulation, we'll do it that way; otherwise, we'll submit it and let you know that Counsel don't agree, but this is our position.

THE COURT: The only thing I'll ask for the other Counsel to be reviewing that, and that sounds like a good approach and the most expedient one because it is up to Consumer Watchdog to try and identify what it is that they have a problem with if there's an issue or whoever's looking at it, but please don't unreasonably withhold consent as to agreeing to what that is.

I mean, I'm not saying to not make your -argue your case and represent. But if it's apparent,
then please don't make it harder than it has to be so
that we can get through it because we're all on a tight
timeframe, which also turns to briefing.

1 If you want to talk about this now or if you 2 want to have closing remarks first, either is fine. Your Honor, just while we're 3 MR. PLETCHER: 4 still on the RBC issue, as you were going through your list -- and I apologize if I misunderstood you -- but I 5 think there's been additional briefing this morning both 6 7 from the Department and for Consumer Watchdog the opposition that the Department had discussed, and then 8 9 we got a reply. 10 THE COURT: I do have those, and those are 11 both filed today -- those two documents. And I 12 apologize I admitted them from my comments there, but 13 both are -- we have both, and they'll both will be considered in connection with those matters. 14 15 MR. PLETCHER: That's fine. I just wanted to double check on that. C. 16 17 THE COURT: Thank you very much. 18 MS. PRESSLEY: And, Your Honor, just to 19 clarify -- you did also mention the motion that we filed 20 on April 9th; correct? 21 THE COURT: I did. 22 MS. PRESSLEY: Okay. Thank you. 23 THE COURT: Yeah. That's the motion --2.4 MS. PRESSLEY: To exclude the testimony. 25 THE COURT: -- to exclude the testimony, yeah.

1 But it still goes to the -- to the initial complaints. 2 That's why I'm trying to consolidate the handling of 3 them and nevertheless give everybody some kind of 4 response to their concerns. 5 MS. McKENNEDY: Your Honor, I have a small In terms of the parties trying to meet infer 6 7 on the portions of the RBC testimony that CW would request be stricken specifically, do you have a timeline 8 9 for that? How does that impact your ability to issue a 10 proposed decision? I was going to ask the guestion in 11 THE COURT: 12 connection with briefing, because ideally, it would sort 13 it out --14 MS. McKENNEDY: Sorry, Your Honor. 15 THE COURT: Sort of speak close to the 16 briefing because I think that everybody realizes I'm 17 going to be working on this immediately, so it's not going to be waiting, but I also will be looking for 18 19 whatever else you have to include so I can make that 20 careful consideration. 21 And I, too, have to wait for the transcript 22 for that part. So if we're talking about that, we might 23 as well get into that. 2.4 Have you all placed orders for transcripts?

MS. PRESSLEY: No, Your Honor.

1 THE COURT: What's happened with -- are you --2 Don't know yet. no? 3 Your Honor, I believe the MS. McKENNEDY: 4 Department automatically gets a transcript. 5 THE COURT: Yeah, we get them. 6 MS. McKENNEDY: Thank you. 7 THE COURT: Do you know when you're going to 8 get the transcript? 9 MR. TETI: No. 10 MS. PRESSLEY: When I spoke --11 MR. MADDIGAN: We haven't placed an order yet, 12 I don't think. 13 THE COURT: It still can be. 14 Go ahead, Ms. Pressley. 15 MS. PRESSLEY: When I spoke to the court reporter yesterday, he had said that on an expedited 16 basis, the earliest would be the Tuesday transcript he 17 18 could have by Friday, but we decided not to order the 19 expedite. So I think the regular turnaround is 10 days. 20 They have various times, so I THE COURT: 21 can't speak to what you were told or what their offer 22 We may have the condition -- we may have to ask you 23 to indicate when the transcript's been received so we 2.4 can time when briefing is due. I hate to do it that way because it doesn't 25

give us a date on the calendar. It's like this floating day. But I realize that we have to get the transcript in order for you to finish your work.

MS. PRESSLEY: And I would just want to point out that our original motion that we filed on the 9th -- the motion to exclude testimony -- did identify by page and line number -- the Shaw declaration.

THE COURT: Yes. And I appreciate it, but those pieces are not as concerned -- I'm concerned about the transcript. So what I would envision you doing, if we're going to go back to that, is you will review that in order to determine what parts of it you find are attributable to your standing objection -- excuse me -- yeah, your standing objection on financial matters.

But on RBC matters that you have motion in limine on, if you have additional briefing on -- and I told you you would preserve your rights on to identify what parts of the transcript -- just as Mr. Pletcher indicated -- are a problem or somehow.

I don't think you have to traditionally meet and confer, unless you get into a dispute, you know, communicate that to the other parties to see whether they consent, and if they don't, then by all means try to talk in some manner to resolve whatever that

outstanding problem is.

2.4

But I try not to get into mechanics too much because I find that the parties sometimes have their own mechanics, and if that works for you, I want you to do it. But I would envision you do something like that because there's no -- if there's no impetus for them to identify what parts of the transcripts you have a problem with --

MS. PRESSLEY: Right.

THE COURT: -- it would be subject to strike. So it's really on you. I know it's more work for Consumer Watchdog.

MS. PRESSLEY: Your Honor, I appreciate that.

And I do note that -- because Ms. Shaw went today, she's more fresh in my mind -- so I don't think there's extensive portions because most of the discussion on that issue was asking for -- it was more to go towards what she did for in terms of the motions.

THE COURT: Sure. Which saves us a lot of time, which will be nice. So hopefully it will be small.

Okay. So I guess we're beating around the bush about how we structure briefing, you know, it has to be pretty tight. So do I have some suggestions as to how tight is not too tight?

1	MS. WELLINGTON: Your Honor, we wanted to ask
2	what you were envisioning on post-hearing briefing. I
3	don't know if you're necessarily intending the
4	post-hearing briefing unless Your Honor wants to hear on
5	specific issues, although we certainly reserve the
6	right, if that's whatever else we're going to do.
7	THE COURT: I welcome the briefing, and I
8	would expect some sort of briefing in this case. Even
9	if it's to highlight, you know, your points. But if you
10	don't want to brief, it's not a mandatory brief.
11	I think that you can, by the nature of things
12	you've already been addressing, you have worked hard to
13	be comprehensive, so I'm not trying to suggest that
14	there's a gap in in your arguments or analysis that I
15	don't understand and won't study more. But I'd like to
16	give you the opportunity to do it.
17	MS. McKENNEDY: Your Honor, the Department
18	would recommend briefing just because the issues in this
19	matter are pretty complicated.
20	THE COURT: Other people might be looking at
21	this well, they will be. How far, I don't know.
22	MR. PLETCHER: Your Honor, we would happy to
23	take option to briefing.
24	THE COURT: So everybody else will brief, so I

expect you to follow -- jump in that bandwagon and do a

1 | little bit of briefing too, but it's up to you.

How many days after receiving the transcript do you think you need? Can you do it in five? I was going to say five.

MR. PLETCHER: We was hoping for 10, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I know five is hard, but we really have to move it along.

MS. McKENNEDY: I think -

THE COURT: This is the thing when you don't know exactly when you're going to get the brief -- the transcripts and so. It's subject to these different times because you can order expedited transcripts, so I'm going to get them in five days. I can tell you right now. I actually don't know if they count weekends. I never asked that question, but I get mines by five weekdays. So that will be some time next week.

MS. McKENNEDY: Your Honor, if I may, the transcripts are one thing, but Your Honor's rulings on some of the admissibility of evidence and declarations and testimony might really impact the briefing.

THE COURT: That was -- that's expecting you to do that in advance and not in the PD. So doing it in the PD is very typical in administrative law, and that's what I was planning to tackle.

1 I won't promise to do that in advance. 2 you'll have to operate outside of that, unless we'll 3 alert you that I'm going to be able to do that -- in 4 which case I will follow the same rule of fairness that 5 I ask the parties to. We'll alert you in some way so 6 that you'll know, and you can manage that accordingly. 7 MS. McKENNEDY: Thank you, Your Honor. MS. PRESSLEY: Your Honor --8 9 THE COURT: So I -- I think that because, you 10 know, it's not like we excluded people. 11 didn't -- except for the one disqualified witness, and that wouldn't be something that we would come back to 12 revisit at this stage, so I think we can do it 13 14 adequately with the decision in addressing the 15 outstanding matters. 16 MS. McKENNEDY: Your Honor, CW's motion to 17 exclude Ms. Shaw's declaration is basically all the 18 substance of paragraphs, so if that is excluded, then 19 the Department has very little left. 20 THE COURT: Yeah, but I didn't exclude 21 Ms. Shaw. MS. McKENNEDY: 22 But you asked us to meet and 23 confer -- I'm sorry, Your Honor, maybe I misunderstood. 2.4 I thought CW and the Department were to meet and confer

over the portions to be excluded or stricken.

THE COURT: Well, that was -- I mean, I wanted to know if there was -- if that happened, but I didn't -- right now we've taken her testimony. The declarations are in. Even if the declarations fell in the PD, they would still be treated as office of proof in that context, so they'd still go up.

MS. McKENNEDY: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.

MS. PRESSLEY: Your Honor, my understanding was the meet and confer is over the portions of the transcripts. We already identified the portions of the declaration.

THE COURT: Yes. The part earlier today is about the transcript, the other day, we were talking other parts of it. But today is the transcript, when we receive it, as to the RBC material. You know, they can literally point to what it is that would then subject to be struck.

MS. McKENNEDY: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: So that's on the them to start that process. I would like to except be contemporaneous with the briefing even though it's more work, if all possible, because otherwise it holds up closing the record out even if I don't know what -- I don't have the piece clear or it's left for me to decide.

MS. PRESSLEY: Yeah.

1	THE COURT: I think we would not rather do
2	that. We handle it this way as an expediency measure
3	because otherwise we would've had to nitpick a little
4	early.
5	MS. PRESSLEY: I think the missing piece is we
6	just need to figure out the timelines for getting the
7	transcript.
8	THE COURT: So we can do a couple of things.
9	We can set it as the floating, which I've already said a
10	couple of times, which is based on when the transcript
11	is received. You can expedite it, I think, real fast.
12	There's just a couple of stages; but, unfortunately, the
13	way that it comes here, sometimes somebody has it or
14	earlier than another depending on their order.
15	MS. WELLINGTON: Your Honor
16	THE COURT: If you're going to get it go
17	ahead.
18	MS. WELLINGTON: I just had one question. We
19	had read the orders set in this hearing as requiring a
20	decision within 10 days of the conclusion of the
21	hearing, so I don't know if that is accurate, but we
22	should take that into account. I assume that
23	THE COURT: I did. We don't consider it
24	closed until we have closed record.
25	MS. WELLINGTON: I see. Okay. So it would be

1 10 days after the close of the record? 2 THE COURT: Yes. But I'm still trying to hold 3 your toes to the time, that's why we'll talking about 4 I realize that I want to adhere to a expedited 5 process, so I don't want to flaunt the expectation by basically everybody who's looking at this matter to, you 6 7 know, just to see something soon. So that's why I'm thinking five days to receive the transcripts, but I 8 9 don't want it to be, you know, so detractive that we're 10 pushing it so far out that it's a problem. MS. PRESSLEY: Are you asking for five days to 11 identify the material? Or five days for the briefing? 12 13 THE COURT: Well, they can both run 14 contemporaneously with one another. I don't 15 know -- which is why I asked you to do that. Let's stick with one thing. You know, if you say you can't -- that 16 17 you can't do two things then, you know, I appreciate 18 that. We'll manage it some way, but let's stick with the 19 briefing right now. 20 I think we're -- I'm going to ask the parties 21 to stick with five days to receive the transcript. 22 we're going to have to set a -- let's set it. 23 MS. McKENNEDY: Your Honor, how about April

2.4

25

21st.

That's 11 days.

Kennedy Court Reporters, Inc.

800.231.2682

THE COURT: Is that where I was going?

1 is it a no later than date. 2 MS. McKENNEDY: Correct, Your Honor. It's 11 3 days, but it's a Monday. So that seems much more humane 4 than Sunday. 5 MS. WELLINGTON: Are we talking about the 21st 6 of April? 7 MS. McKENNEDY: Yes. 8 MS. WELLINGTON: 20th is Easter Sunday, just for the record. 9 10 MS. PRESSLEY: And I'm unclear because if it's taking us until at least five days to get the 11 12 transcript, then that's not 11 days. It's only one 13 business day and a weekend. 14 MR. MONTGOMERY: What we're talking about now 15 is briefing, right? 16 THE COURT: Yes. They're studying the 17 calendar cautiously and see what it is that they don't 18 inadvertently consent to something that becomes too 19 burdensome -- it's all burdensome, but -- because it's 20 an expedited process. 21 MS. PRESSLEY: Again, it depends on where the 22 transcripts falls because there's going to be a couple 23 of days that fall on that week that --2.4 THE COURT: Well, no matter how we do it, we 25 land in that week. Because it's just when we're -- you

1 know, it's the time now and today. 2 MS. McKENNEDY: How about April 22nd, Your 3 Honor. 4 MS. PRESSLEY: I would say -- I mean, two 5 weeks from today is the 24th, right? It's going to take us at least -- I mean, assuming that we even get the 6 transcript by the 17th, that's only one week. 7 that's only one to five working days, excluding the 8 Easter weekend. 9 10 THE COURT: Easter is -- let me look. Just a 11 second. 12 MS. PRESSLEY: I just know, from the experience that we just had, that we don't want just, 13 14 like, two business days and then two weekend days. 15 will not work. 16 MS. McKENNEDY: Your Honor, it may be helpful 17 to the parties if you limit the page number of the 18 briefs, because in some ways, maybe that helps break 19 this job down to manageable size. 20 I appreciate the suggestion. I THE COURT: 21 usually don't because the other side of it is, you know,

or felt it was unfairly restricted. And we have lots of

22

23

2.4

withstanding that you might not realize that I'm doing that.

Okay. So today's date is the 10th.

MS. WELLINGTON: Your Honor, we had one clarifying question when you have a moment.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MS. WELLINGTON: When is the end of the hearing proceeding for purposes of the 10-day clock? Is it when we receive the transcripts, or is it when this briefing is submitted? We would just like to know --

THE COURT: We typically issue a notice that the record has been closed, so it's not often. But sometimes something happens that requires coming back to the parties. I don't anticipate that happening in this case, so if you're trying to stab at the idea that we move quickly, that's my intention, which is why I'm pondering this as long as I am because I'm sensitive with the fact that we have to have the transcript, but at the same time want to bring this to conclusion.

So to answer you more of that, this ends the evidentiary presentation phase unless something causes us to reopen it which I do not anticipate happening. But nevertheless, the record remains open if, for nothing else, the transcripts come into it after, which provided, I concluded there's a complete record upon

which a decision can be rendered, I issue you a notice indicating that.

2.4

And then -- even the 10-day thing is in dispute because of the procedure being in dispute, but whatever clock starts first then -- unless there's some other statutory or regulatory basis upon which to say that it doesn't or that there's a different timeline so that's basically how it plays out.

MS. WELLINGTON: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: But I think what we'll do

is -- we'll -- so we're not being too disruptive to the
sensitivity around the time and the Easter weekend,
which falls in an unfortunate period for our process.

I'll set them as due on the 23rd -- April 23rd. And I really don't want to see a request to have to change that, but if something weird happens with, you know, receiving the transcripts or something -- you know the process needs to come to play to deal with that.

I don't -- I think that that's going to give you extra time, frankly, because in my experience, they're usually very, very good about getting them to us. But I can't promise that'll happen -- you know there's the Murphy's Law. This is the one case rule where it won't.

MS. PRESSLEY: Your Honor, can I make a

1 suggestion?

2.4

THE COURT: Sure.

MS. PRESSLEY: So I would request that we have at least five business days, working days, from when we get the transcript. So Your Honor's suggestion of the 23rd would work if we get the transcript by the 16th -- which is one, two, three, four business days from today, which I'm assuming that they're not going to start working on it until tomorrow. So.

THE COURT: They usually always start it, actually.

MS. PRESSLEY: I think we just have to confirm if we can get the transcript by the 16th, then the 23rd works. But if we're going to be getting the transcript later than that, then we're getting into a crunch.

THE COURT: We can't confirm it because we have no way no way to do it. But what we can do is if there's a problem, you can alert me.

Like I said, you could bring -- my intention is to give you five days to work on it. Let's just put it that way. If something derails that, bring it to my attention. Hopefully we'll have more, and, you know, we'll have a little cushion there.

Let's try to stick with this schedule unless there's reasons that make it not doable.

MS. WELLINGTON: One final question, if I may, Your Honor. Is there a page limit?

THE COURT: I didn't set a page limit, not withstanding the suggestion from Ms. McKennedy, which is a quick one and many people appreciate, but I'm not going to do that, and I'm not going -- we just don't have time to entertain reply briefs, so I'm not planning to solicit that to the extent that, you know, I think that you know what the other parties are arguing.

So to the extent you speak to them, this is -- I've been extra -- I've done all the extra things I could do during the proceeding to allow ongoing motion practice, you know, the whole thing. You should know what we're dealing with.

And so, if you're going to tackle those things again in your briefing, you know how to tailor it there and, to your discretion, how much attention you give at any particular issue. Okay. And I think that's more than fair.

MS. WELLINGTON: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: If it ends up being disputed,
you'll have another opportunity to convince somebody
else. The only wrinkles -- let's not stay with wrinkles.
But you're probably all aware of the subsection if I
were to decide that there's, for some reason, something

else to suggest, I have to give you three days. I think it's very correctly to look at it. So not saying that's happening, that's not a premonition. I'm just saying that -- deal with it as things come, but for now, briefing is due then and the 23rd.

You can even file them after hours. In other words, if it doesn't make the 4/30 deadline, you can lodge it after hours, and that will be accepted. So we'll make it as late as 11:59 on April 23rd.

All right. With that, are you ready for closing remarks or do you need to take a drink of water? Everybody seems ready.

(Reporter interruption)

THE COURT: Sure. We'll just go off for five minutes. Five-minute recess.

(Recess)

2.4

THE COURT: All right. Back on the record after our break in State Farm General Insurance Company in the matter pertaining to the stipulation. This is case number PA-2024-0011, 12, and 13.

After having handled our administrative tasks following the receipt of evidence, we are now at the stage where we're looking at closing remarks from each of the parties. And so with that, I understand everybody's ready to go, and I will turn to State Farm

1	General to begin.
2	MS. WELLINGTON: Just to clarify, you would
3	like us to go first? I think we would typically go
4	last, but we're also happy to go first, if you'd like.
5	THE COURT: It's your case, Deponent. How
6	would you I mean, I don't know that it matters.
7	MS. WELLINGTON: I agree, Your Honor. If you
8	would like us to go, we can.
9	THE COURT: Does the Department have a
10	different preference on order?
11	MS. McKENNEDY: Your Honor, we're the mutual
12	parties, here, so.
13	THE COURT: The middle person.
14	MS. McKENNEDY: We are the middle person,
15	but
16	THE COURT: I mean, we can look to I mean,
17	was CW planning to go first?
18	MR. PLETCHER: No preference, Your Honor.
19	THE COURT: Okay. Well, since you're the
20	objecting party, you know, I guess we can turn to you
21	and ask you to make your closing first, I guess.
22	
23	CLOSING STATEMENT
24	MR. PLETCHER: All right. Thank you, your
25	Honor.

Your Honor, first I wanted to extend my thanks to all the parties here and the Court and the staff and everyone's hard work and commitment to hold this hearing on an emergency basis to present these issues in a public hearing.

2.4

And I think this hearing shows that Proposition 103, these rate hearings, aren't a source of delay; there are source of process, and we've seen here that process can be adapted to urgent circumstances when required.

Your Honor, this case comes down to the same clear question we started this hearing with: Has State Farm justified an emergency rate increase under California law? The answer is clearly no.

First, I want to be clear about what State
Farm is not arguing. We're not saying that State Farm
can't ever get a rate increase or that the Commissioner
can never grant a request for interim rate relief, and
the legal standard for such relief has been met.

All we are arguing is that all rate increases must be legally justified and factually supported with the burden on the insurer to prove that support of actuary analysis.

If an interim rate is requested, the insurer must first show actuarially that the rate is plainly

filed under California law. That's it. That's how we prove up rate in rate proceedings; through actuarial work, not through appeals to financial conditions or risks.

Now, I'd like to be clear about what I think

State Farm wants this Court to do; approve an interim

rate hike now, and then prove up it's rates later due to

State Farm's financial pressures and market concerns,

not because of a demonstrated need under the rate

formula.

What State Farm is really saying is, they're saying they're too big to fail. They're arguing their size and market position exempt them from the usual rules. We're not disputing State Farm's importance. Millions of Californians relay on State Farm.

And State Farm has every right to ask for rate increases. But under Proposition 103, California's Insurance Consumer Protection Law, they must prove those increases are justified before they take effect.

Too big to fail is not a legal argument; it's a scare tactic of a parade of speculative horribles. We apply it to serious, systemic issues. Here, we've heard about insurance market stability, or breakdown in the availability, or threats about 680,000 mortgages suddenly needing new policies.

We say these issues aren't relevant here. We are not saying they aren't important; we're just saying these broader systemic problems, like other too-big-to-fail problems, need a whole government response. They belong with the legislature with the governor, the Insurance Commissioner with his conduct powers, but they don't belong and can't be allowed to be relevant here in a rate hearing.

2.4

Now I'd like to turn to the actual legal standard that we think you must apply, and that's the plainly invalid standard under Cal Farm. This standard does not involve any determination on State Farm's overall financial condition or marketplace conditions.

The Supreme Court has set a clear standard for interim rate relief. The Insurance Commissioner under Cal Farm can adjust plainly invalid insurance rates through interim -- through these interim rates, the interim processes.

Now, in this proceeding, just like in Cal Farm at page 824, we've used "plainly invalid" to refer to rates that are inadequate because Proposition 103 prohibits both rates that are excessive and rates that are inadequate, both the high and low balance of the allowed rates.

The ratemaking formula -- and this is a quote

from 20th Century Insurance -- "The ratemaking formula is used to fix" -- here's an ellipses in the quote -- " that continuing the range of rates within the balance of the excessive and the inadequate." That's 20th Century Insurance, page 285.

2.4

And under Proposition 103's prior approval regulations, a rate is inadequate if it is lower than the minimum permitted earned premium. That's at 10 CCR section 2644.3. And this is the same analysis we see in 20th Century.

State Farm has provided no actuarial analysis to justify their request. They've relied on financial conditions and market data, which we argue are factors that should be strictly insulated in a hearing proceedings, both based on the Insurance Code and for important public policy reasons.

Now, only Consumer Watchdog has presented a thorough actuarial analysis here, and that analysis shows even when we use State Farm's assumptions and calculations, it shows that State Farm's rates aren't inadequate or plainly invalid because the minimum rate change shown in State Farm's February 5th prior approval rate template, as Mr. Armstrong discussed in his five scenarios, the minimum rate change there is a negative value, and that means the rate isn't inadequate and it's

not invalid, so State Farm doesn't clear their first legal hurdle.

2.4

Abandoning Cal Farm to determine if an insurer is eligible for interim rate relief doesn't leave us with any workable standard to evaluate interim rate relief requests.

Every mudslide, wildfire, major atmospheric river event -- and as much as we all enjoy living in California, some area or another of California faces these things regularly -- all of these events could open the floodgates to insurers demanding an emergency interim rate hike based solely on temporary financial setbacks or market fears.

State Farm is the largest insurer, but the second, the third, the fourth largest insurers are also impacted by the LA wildfires. They should not qualify for emergency increases without actuarial support, either. Without a clear standard, the Commissioner can't evaluate -- this Court can't evaluate interim rate reports.

Now, the second question that Your Honor has to consider, because this is a stipulation on a settlement, is whether the stipulation as a whole is fundamentally fair, adequate, reasonable, and in the interest of justice. That's the standard for approving

a settlement at 10 CCR section 2656.1.

2.4

Fundamental fairness has to mean, at the very least, evaluating a deal from both sides, including from the viewpoint of consumers. But Dr. Appel, State Farm's expert on market conditions, said that the interim rate increase poses no risk to policyholders. He declared there's an absence of risk to policyholders.

That statement completely ignores what it truly means for a family sitting at their kitchen table struggling to budget their monthly bills to suddenly see their insurance increase by \$40 or \$50 a month based on the average increase of \$470 or \$600 a year. An extra \$40 or \$50 a month can be a significant hardship for many California families.

For many, this increase could mean choosing between paying for groceries, for medication, for childcare, or even just keeping the lights on. It's simply not credible to dismiss this hardship as no risk or an absence of risk.

It's clear Dr. Appel evaluated the settlement solely from State Farm's perspective and the market's perspective. He said the interim rate increase is not only reasonable and justified but, in fact, critical to the health of State Farm and the California homeowners insurance market.

Now, we assume the settlement is good for State Farm because they have agreed to it. Perhaps it's good for the market. But this entirely disregards consumer hardships and realities. This isn't fairness, and that's one-sided advocacy for Dr. Appel.

2.4

There are multiple risks that policyholders face here. They can be forced to find more affordable coverage. They'll have to immediately begin higher rates. And there's no guarantee in any of the stipulations that they won't be dropped the next time their policies come up nonrenewable after the nonrenewal periods end.

Dr. Appel also thinks the Insurance

Commissioner's main job is to protect insurance

companies from going broke. He totals that the

Commissioner's primary roll is maintaining the solvency

of insurers.

Commissioner Lara himself disagrees. In his letter of February 14th in this matter, he stated clearly, "My primary responsibility is to the people of California." That's at Exhibit 149, page 3. The Commissioner's letter also emphasizes that Proposition 103 expressly precludes him from proving rates that are excessive, inadequate, unfairly discriminatory, or otherwise in violation of the Insurance Code.

And he emphasizes the burden is on the insurer to demonstrate and support its rate requests. All of this -- prior approval, demonstrated support for requests, all of this -- State Farm is asking you to disregard and just to look at financial condition in a one-sided way because they've asked for this rate increase.

2.4

Now, part of State Farm's argument about the fundamental fairness of this stipulation is that even if the stipulations aren't fair, that's okay because refunds will fix it. But refunds at some unknown future date, after State Farm exhausts all of it its legal remedies, that if it doesn't think the final rates ordered are too low -- I'm sorry -- is does think the final rates ordered are too low, it won't fix the immediate harm that families here face.

Families must rearrange their budgets now or have to cut back on essentials. Refunds will also help families who need money now who are still recovering from the recent fires. This is what the Proposition is supposed to do: Protect consumers to justify their rates first before they take effect to prevent this kind of immediate, irreversible harm.

Now, there's evidence here on adequacy and reasonableness, which are the next two factors that you

have to consider. There's only one actuary for the proponent of the two-way stip, and that's Ms. Shaw. She's the only expert who testified on the numbers of the interim rate proposal.

2.4

She thinks than an increased rate is unlikely to be sufficient by itself to affect long-term improvement to State Farm's financial condition. She further explained here in testimony that State Farm needed to look at its other rates.

And now -- and State Farm is, because there are other rates involved in here; there's the renters and the rental property. Homeowners is the driver here as far as numbers go, and that's the number we've looked at most frequently here in this proceeding because there's no argument that homeowners is the driver as far as the costs go.

So if the homeowners rate increases alone, well, it wouldn't help improve the number one problem that State Farm's witness, Dr. Appel, and the Department's witness, Ms. Shaw, identified, which is financial condition.

Then why are we considering taking money from consumers and giving it to an insurer before a rate hike is justified when the Department's own witness doesn't think a higher homeowners rate alone is a long-term fix?

Why implement a cure that won't work? That's not adequate. That's not reasonable.

2.4

Shaw also says that she needs information.

She says this is very early stage preliminary analysis.

She says she intends to further investigate and develop, during discovery as part of the full rate hearing process, because she believes there are other steps that State Farm should be encouraged and required to take to improve its financial condition.

It's fine to be need more information to better understand State Farm's rate increases. Consumer Watchdog needs that information, too, but this is a request to go to a full rate hearing with full discovery, not a reason that a stipulation is adequate or reasonable.

And, again, refunds can't make the stipulation adequate or reasonable, just like they can't cure the stipulations to make them fair. It's not reasonable to take money from someone in violation of the law here, Proposition 103, with a future conditional promise to maybe pay someone back.

The last factor this Court must consider under section 2656.1(c) is the interest of justice, which invokes many concepts of equity, and justice, and the rule of law, but all of these revolve at the core of not

respecting following the law.

Here, we think the law is clear. It's Cal Farm that gives the standard to see if the interim rate is warranted in the first instance, or if the Applicant should proceed to a full rate hearing. If the current rate is plainly invalid through actuarial analysis and it's inadequate under the regs, then an interim rate should be considered.

But as we've seen, Mr. Armstrong, the only actuary who ran through these calculations, doesn't find that the rate is inadequate, that it's not plainly invalid even using all State Farm's assumptions. This is all clearly defined in the regulations; the Commissioner has a duty to prevent inadequate rates, just as much as he must prevent excessive rates.

The interest of justice also must be following the regulatory standards for approving settlements, and we explained here that the proponents of the stipulation, their witness, and evidentiary support, they don't meet these standards.

Mr. Ehrhart talked about reinsurance, which brought some criticism that Consumer Watchdog raised about a State Farm's business decisions, but he doesn't address the fundamentally fair, adequate, reasonable, or interest of justice standards at all. Dr. Appel, he

dismisses the risk to consumers. That -- that's not fair.

2.

2.4

Ms. Shaw doesn't speak to the adequacy or reasonableness. She's taking numbers that were given to her, acknowledging that more parental support will be better; more money would help more from the parent. She also doesn't think a homeowners rate increase by itself would help in the long term, and she wants more information through a full rate hearing.

Ms. Shaw raises significant issues, and all of these issues need a full rate hearing. We think
Ms. Shaw is correct wanting more information in a full rate hearing so that a determination can be made on the appropriate rate based on a full record.

State Farm can and should make its case fully in that setting. But granting an unjustified interim increase now undermines Proposition 103 and hurts California families.

We respectfully ask you to reject the two-way stipulations, the proposed interim rate increases, and send this matter to proceed to a full evidentiary hearing that's already noticed on this expedited notice as the parties and Court can accommodate. That ensures fairness, accountability, and adherence to California law. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you.

2.4

## 3 CLOSING STATEMENT

MS. McKENNEDY: Thank you, Your Honor.

I think it's really important to remember this is not a final rate hearing or full rate hearing. This is an interim rate hearing on a stipulation on CW's objections to that stipulation.

As I said at the beginning of this week, the Department -- I said we would show, and I believe we have shown, that State Farm General is currently in an emergency situation. State Farm General needs help now, not 12 to 15 months from now after a full rate hearing.

We're not trying to execute a long-term fix here. We're trying to buy time so that the Department, State Farm General, and, hopefully, consumer representatives including Consumer Watchdog, can work together collaboratively to execute broader fixes so that State Farm General doesn't continue to have these problems.

But we can't do that if State Farm General goes bankrupt in the meantime. State Farm General doesn't have 12 to 15 months, so this is why the Commissioner has already provisionally approved State Farm General's request for emergency relief in the form

of an interim rate increase subject to refunds with interest following the full rate hearing process.

2.4

The hearing this week was to ensure that

Consumer Watchdog had a full and fair opportunity to

raise any and all objections it may have to this interim

rate increase stipulation. But now having heard

extensively from CW and its expert witness, Department

staff reiterate our recommendation that this Court

propose, and the Commissioner adopt, the emergency

interim rate increase subject to refunds with interest.

Nothing that CW has raised this week has caused the Department to change our recommendation. CW attacks the interim rate as being unsupported by the Prop 103 ratemaking formula, but as we've -- as Ms. Shaw testified, there are allowable exceptions built into the ratemaking formula.

CW -- CW's expert did not take into consideration the Variant 6. CW argues that State Farm abandoned Variant 6. Your Honor, it is still in the rate applications. The Department is still having to consider it.

We have a company before us that has told us its solvency is at stake. They have publicly said this. We have to take this seriously. I agree that Dr. Appel might have spoken a little more narrowly --

narrowly than I agree with when he said that the

Commissioner's primary duty is to protect the solvency

of insurers.

2.4

That's only partially correct. The

Commissioner's overall primary duty is to protect

Californians. Keeping insurers solvent is but one part

of achieving that goal. Other factors include

maintaining the overall health of the market place in

order to ensure that insurance is available to

Californians who want to buy it.

Consumer Watchdog assumes that insurance is a given that consumers can get anywhere, anytime they want at any price, but this is just not true in today's market unfortunately.

The Commissioner's already executed his sustainable insurance strategy in an attempt to begin to address the fundamental problems underlying the California insurance market right now, but it needs time, again, to fully -- for the fixes to fully be realized.

We also heard a lot about how this interim rate increase might make State Farm General insurance unaffordable for a few of its policyholders, and, of course, we regret that.

But if State Farm proves it's entitled to this

interim rate increase, if it proves it's entitled to as much as a 30 percent increase after a full rate hearing, those same policyholders would be faced with the same or greater difficulties. It's just a reality.

2.4

The price of eggs is going up, and, unfortunately, the price of insurance is also going up. Again, Department staff and State Farm General have agreed that the interim rate increase will be subject to full investigation and proof as part of the complete rate hearing process.

If the interim rate increase turns out to be excessive, State Farm General has agreed and stipulated that it will pay refunds plus interest to its California policyholders. This is not a rate hearing; this is a hearing on CW's objections under Regulation 2656.1.

The legal standard that should be applied is whether the stipulation is fair, adequate, reasonable, and in the interest of justice. We have heard nothing from CW that calls that into question.

The problem with ratemaking regulations expressly allow the Commissioner to apply exceptions to the ratemaking formula. Based upon State Farm's showing of emergency circumstances, the Commissioner should grant State Farm General the emergency relief it requests.

THE COURT: Thank you. Thank you very much.

You ready, Counsel?

2.4

2.

## CLOSING STATEMENT

MS. WELLINGTON: I am. Thank you. And I want to just start by saying thank you to Your Honor and the courtroom staff and the security team here. We very mush appreciate all of your time.

Your Honor, we're here to address an emergency situation. The testimony you've heard confirms that the emergency is real and that it urgently needs a solution. Across four witnesses and three days of testimony, no one disputes that State Farm General's financial condition is seriously deteriorating. That's a direct quote from Consumer Watchdog's actuary yesterday.

No one disputes that State Farm General's surplus has decreased from about \$4 billion ten years ago to about \$600 million after the Los Angeles wildfires. No one disputes that over the past decade, State Farm General has paid \$1.26 in claims for every \$1.00 in premiums.

No one disputes that the risk of catastrophic wildfires in California is increasing or that another catastrophic wildfire like the one in Los Angeles could happen at any time. And no one disputes that State Farm

General's financial strength rating is at risk of imminent downgrade, which could impact hundreds of thousands of people in California who may suddenly lose their homeowners insurance and likely have to go on the Fair Plan with less coverage and more premium.

2.4

We're here today because the California

Department of Insurance and State Farm General both
agree that the interim rate increases are warranted.

Both parties accordingly entered into the supplemental
stipulation which is fundamentally fair, adequate,
reasonable, and in the interest of justice.

Consumer Watchdog claims that this is an issue for the governor or the legislature, but Proposition 103 was adopted by the people, and a central objective of Proposition 103 is to keep insurance available. The stipulation does just that.

We accordingly Your Honor to recommend that the Commissioner approve the supplemental stipulation with the full interim rates. That result is completely consist with Prop 103 and Cal Farm. We heard on Tuesday and Wednesday from Mr. Appel, who is a renowned economist with over four decades of experience and who has been familiar with Prop 103 from its inception.

As Mr. Appel explained, the interim rate increases will help support State Farm General's

financial strength, which will in turn help prevent a ratings downgrade.

This benefits consumers because it helps them keep their insurance, and it doesn't create a risk for consumers because if the interim rates are later found to be too high, consumers will be entitled to a refund with interest for the difference.

The supplemental stipulation will also improve State Farm General's financial condition because if the Commissioner improves the full interim rate increases in the supplemental stipulation of 17 percent for the homeowners line and the other stipulated rate increases, State Farm General has stipulated that it will obtain capital from its parent company, State Farm Mutual, in the form of a \$400 million surplus note.

Ms. Shaw is the chief actuary of CEI's rate regulation branch and expert on financial risk management. As Ms. Shaw has explained, the \$400 million surplus note, combined with the full interim rate increases, will affect a substantial improvement in State Farm General's financial condition, and that this is in the public interest.

Ms. Shaw testified that State Farm General's surplus has declined to a level that is alarming to State Farm General's Illinois solvency regularity. She

further testified that the stipulated 17 percent increase together with the \$400 million surplus note will help improve State Farm General's financial condition.

2.4

She testified that if the Commissioner does not approve the interim rate increase, State Farm General's policyholders could potentially have to go on the Fair Plan and obtain wraparound insurance, which she testified would likely cause the consumer more than the 17 percent stipulated rate increase for the homeowners line.

The alternative, which is what Consumer
Watchdog is asking for, is to do nothing. But if the
Commissioner does not act, State Farm General's
financial strength rating may be downgraded at any time,
which could negatively impact hundreds of thousands of
State Farm General's policyholders and send shock waves
through the entire California insurance market.

We had the opportunity to hear yesterday from one of the foremost reinsurance experts in the world with over forty years of experience. And as Mr. Ehrhart explained, hundreds of thousands of State Farm General policyholders lose their insurance. There simply isn't enough insurance available in California to find new insurance outside of the Fair Plan.

I want to address the RBC issue, which the parties also addressed in briefing to the Court. Your Honor has not yet ruled on Consumer Watchdog's objections to Mr. Appel and Ms. Shaw's testimony on State Farm General's RBC ratios.

2.4

As State Farm General has explained by its plain text, section 730.8 doesn't apply to RBC ratios. It applies to the specific reports and documents that were not used in this proceeding; rather, Mr. Appel and Ms. Shaw made a simple calculation of a ratio based on two lines in State Farm General's annual statement, which is all publicly available, so we don't think that testimony should be excluded.

But more importantly, Your Honor, even if Your Honor were to exclude this testimony, there is plenty of other evidence that State Farm General is in a weakened financial condition and that Your Honor should recommend that the Commissioner approve the supplemental stipulation.

That evidence is described by Mr. Appel and includes State Farm General's declining surplus, the amount State Farm General has paid in claims compared to the amount that is collected in premiums, State Farm General's IRS ratios, the financial strength rating downgrade by A Invest, and the negative rating watch by

SFP, among other evidence.

2.4

I want to emphasize, Your Honor, that Consumer Watchdog does not dispute this evidence. Even Mr. Armstrong agreed that State Far, General's financial condition is weekend, and if the ratings downgrade occurs, State Farm General policyholders with mortgages may lose their insurance.

Instead, Consumer Watchdog argues that the Commissioner should deny an interim rate increase despite all of this evidence for two reasons; first, Consumer Watchdog argues that State Farm General's weakened financial condition is the result of its reinsurance contracts with State Farm Mutual.

But that doesn't make any sense. As

Mr. Ehrhart explained, based on his extensive knowledge

of the reinsurance market, State Farm General's decision

to buy reinsurance from affiliated companies was prudent

and highly beneficial to State Farm General's

policyholders, particularly in light of the Los Angeles

wildfires.

Mr. Ehrhart testified that State Farm General cannot buy the reinsurance it needs from nonaffiliated reinsurers on the open market at any price. There is simply not enough reinsurance (indiscernible), which means that not enough reinsurers are willing to assume

many billions of dollars of exposure to California property by reinsuring State Farm General.

2.4

And even if State Farm General could buy that much insurance from nonaffiliated reinsurers, Mr.

Ehrhart calculated they would be four times more expensive. Consumer Watchdog did not rebut this testimony.

Mr. Appel explained that State Farm General's declining surplus is not the result of its reinsurance contracts but is instead because State Farm General has paid in more claims than has collected in premiums for many years. That's basic math, and we're trying to fix the equation through the interim rate increases.

Consumer Watchdog implies that State Farm

General should have sought rate increases at certain

points in the past which would have led to a higher rate

now. But if that's true, it suggests Consumer Watchdog

believes that State Farm General's rates should be

higher now.

That's an argument in favor of an interim rate increase, not against it. And, to be frank, a significant reason State Farm General has not been able to obtain the rate increases that is needed in years past is because of the inherent challenges with obtaining rate increases in California.

The litigation over State Farm General's 2014 rate application was not resolved for eight years. And during that period State Farm General's rates were incorrectly reduced until the California Court of Appeals sided with State Farm General in 2022.

2.4

For the past ten years, State Farm General's market share has remained stable, and Consumer Watchdog has not presented any evidence of predatory pricing.

Consumer Watchdog's second argument is a technical one.

It claims that the Commissioner can approve an interim rate only if State Farm General's current rates are plainly valid.

To be clear, that's not the standard in section 2656, which permits the Commissioner to approve a stipulation that is fundamentally fair, adequate, reasonable, and in the interests of justice. This is not a rate hearing. It's a hearing on a stipulation.

In section 2656, a standard is plainly met here where the stipulated rate increase is crucial to both State Farm General's policyholders and the California insurance market as a whole. CDI agrees with this analysis.

And even if plainly invalid is the right standard, it doesn't mean what Consumer Watchdog says.

A rate is plainly valid if it violates Proposition 103,

which means that if a rate is not sufficient to maintain insurance availability in California, an interim rate increase, pending a full rate hearing, is warranted.

2.4

The plainly invalid standard is thus met here where an interim rate increase will help preserve insurance availability in California. Consumer Watchdog rejects that straightforward conclusion and instead myopically focuses on its inhouse actuary's rate template calculations that are riddled with errors.

But Consumer Watchdog's actuary reached completely unreasonable conclusions about the lawful range of rate indications including the view that reducing State Farm General's current rates by as much as 25 percent would be lawful and within the zone of reasonableness.

That cannot possibly be right. There is no universe in which a 25 percent rate decrease is appropriate given the circumstances here. Instead, Your Honor should conclude that the people of California, under Proposition 103, gave the Commissioner the authority to address emergencies like this one by approving the stipulated interim rates.

As Mr. Armstrong's testimony demonstrated, this actuarial analysis simply cannot be relied on.

Mr. Armstrong agrees this analysis was rushed, and he

freely admitted that he made multiple mistakes.

2.4

In his testimony yesterday, Mr. Armstrong agreed that he didn't follow applicable regulations in the loss development factor, and he admitted that he made a plain old mistake on the AYI trend factor. Those are two major errors in Mr. Armstrong's declaration.

Correcting for those errors, under Mr.

Armstrong's approach, the maximum rate indication

changes from negative 0.1 percent to plus 7. That's a

big change. And those aren't the only problems with

Mr. Armstrong's analysis.

As Mr. Armstrong's testimony yesterday demonstrated, he didn't show his work or explain his conclusions as to several important parts of his model, such as the net trend and his waiting scheme.

Surprisingly, Mr. Armstrong complains that

State Farm General refreshed Exhibit 9 with new quarter

2025 data for its catastrophe ratio to support the

interim rate. But that update accounts for the Los

Angeles fires, and is consistent with the Commissioner's

request to include updated data in this proceeding.

Ms. Shaw testified on that issue today, and she said that State Farm General made a preliminary showing that the Los Angeles wildfires were catastrophic.

The full rate hearing will involve a further developed set of cat and non-cat data through the end of the first quarter of 2025 when the full data becomes available as Mr. Armstrong and Ms. Shaw acknowledged.

2.4

Mr. Armstrong admitted that there his actuarial analysis was preliminary and not intended to reflect Consumer Watchdog's position regarding the appropriate maximum and minimum permitted rates. And in general, Mr. Armstrong's pattern of errors calls into question the reliability of his analysis as a whole, and his testimony should not be entitled to any weight in these proceedings.

More fundamentally, Mr. Armstrong agrees that his analysis didn't take into account State Farm General's financial condition or the risk of a financial strength rating downgrade to State Farm General's policyholders or to the California insurance market as a whole.

Consumer Watchdog didn't present any testimony to dispute or minimize the seriousness of these issues, which are the key reasons why State Farm General and CDI entered into the supplemental stipulation in the first place.

State Farm General acknowledges that the Court has excluded Ms. Watkinson's testimony, but that does

not change the outcome here. Whether to approve the stipulated interim rates is ultimately about Proposition 103 and the availability of insurance.

2.4

Ms. Shaw's testimony today further supports the conclusion that the Commissioner's approval of the stipulation will support the continued avail ability of insurance in California. I want to add for the record, and as Ms. Shaw confirmed, that State Farm General has not abandoned Variant 6.

It's still part of State Farm General's rate application which will be analyzed during the full rate hearing. But that's not what this hearing is about.

This hearing is about the stipulated interim rates.

Your Honor, Consumer Watchdog simply hasn't presented any credible evidence that the stipulated rate increases are not fundamentally fair, adequate, reasonable, and in the interest of justice.

If the Commissioner approves the full interim rates in the supplemented stipulation, State Farm

General will obtain a \$400 million surplus note from

State Farm Mutual.

As the stipulations states, the \$400 million surplus note is contingent on the Commissioner approving the full interim rate of 17 percent for the homeowners line and the other rates in the supplemental

stipulation.

2.4

To conclude, Your Honor, State Farm General asks this Court to recommend that the Commissioner approve the supplemental stipulation. All of the parties have had a full and fair opportunity to present their positions over this three-day hearing.

And as the testimony and evidence presented demonstrates, it is fundamentally fair, adequate, and reasonable to approve the stipulated rate increases in this moment of crisis. The stipulated rate increases will help improve State Farm General's financial strength and help prevent an imminent ratings downgrade.

Approving the stipulation is in the interest of justice because it will help State Farm General's policyholders and the California insurance market as a whole. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you very much. With that, is there anything else? All right. That concludes the evidentiary presentation phase of this proceeding.

And with there being nothing further, I thank the parties and their counsel for their work in connection with this hearing and for your advocacy. It's greatly appreciated. I will consider these very important matters incredibly carefully in rendering a decision.

1	There being nothing further, that concludes
2	these proceedings that I set for today, and we are going
3	off the record in the matter of State Farm General
4	Insurance Company as to the stipulation and the
5	evidentiary hearing in connection with its approval
6	request in case numbers PA-2024-00011, and PA-024-00012,
7	and PA-2024-00013. Thank you again. And we're all
8	done.
9	(Off the record)
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

## 1 HEARING REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 I, Christina L. Rodriguez, Hearing Reporter in 3 4 and for the State of California, do hereby certify: 5 That the foregoing transcript of proceedings 6 was taken before me at the time and place set forth, 7 that the testimony and proceedings were reported stenographically by me and later transcribed by 8 computer-aided transcription under my direction and 9 10 supervision, that the foregoing is a true record of the 11 testimony and proceedings taken at that time. 12 I further certify that I am in no way interested in the outcome of said action. 13 I have hereunto subscribed my name this 15th 14 15 day of April. 16 17 18 19 20 Hearing Reporter 21 2.2 CHRISTINA L. RODRIGUEZ 23

24

25

Index: #134139850..2.2

#	1	<b>11,000</b> 86:15	<b>167</b> 110:23
		87:10	<b>168</b> 110:23
<b>#134139850</b> 108:15	<b>1</b> 32:8 44:5 105:21 107:20 109:18	<b>11,016</b> 86:10 <b>111</b> 14:3,7,9,12,	<b>16th</b> 137:7,13 <b>17</b> 74:11 75:20,25
<b>#134139896</b> 108:14	119:8 <b>1.2</b> 88:6	14,17,19,21,24 15:4,7,8,9,11,17, 19,22,24 16:5,8,	76:4 77:21 78:3 80:4,9,23 81:4
<b>#134139931</b> 108:14	<b>10</b> 15:11 18:1 28:17 35:8,14 51:7 65:12 93:3	10,13,15,17,19, 21,23,24 17:3,6, 10 109:8	86:4 87:2,7 90:20 91:2,12 92:12,23 93:3 107:18 159:11 160:1,10
\$	107:4 124:19 128:5 131:20	<b>112</b> 110:21	168:24
<b>\$1.00</b> 157:21	132:1 144:8 146:1	<b>114</b> 110:22	<b>17%</b> 31:16,19 34:7,9 44:22,25 45:7,11,23,24 46:15 47:5 48:5
<b>\$1.26</b> 157:20	<b>10-day</b> 135:8 136:3	<b>11:30</b> 65:13	
<b>\$3</b> 33:8,10	<b>100</b> 94:16	<b>11:59</b> 139:9	63:7
<b>\$4</b> 157:17	<b>100</b> % 59:13	<b>11th</b> 114:6 115:6, 7,8	<b>17.0</b> 30:13,19
<b>\$40</b> 146:11,13	<b>101</b> 66:5,8,12	<b>12</b> 52:17 65:20	43:15 74:10
<b>\$400</b> 49:19 74:7	78:22 82:16,17	93:25 94:2 103:3	<b>17.8%</b> 44:22
78:3 100:23	110:20	107:6 109:20 113:14 139:20	<b>171</b> 109:14
101:5,9,12,17 159:15,18 160:2	<b>102</b> 69:10 72:17	153:13,23	<b>172</b> 110:23
168:20,22	74:24 75:16 76:6 81:10,11 82:16,17	<b>12,677</b> 86:9 87:8	<b>174</b> 107:6 110:24
<b>\$470</b> 146:12	83:8 84:9,18 88:13 110:21	<b>12:30</b> 102:15	<b>176</b> 110:24
<b>\$50</b> 146:11,13	<b>13</b> 65:20 103:4		<b>179</b> 107:7 110:24
<b>\$500</b> 48:10 49:18 50:6 101:8,12,15	<b>103</b> 23:25 30:24 35:20 141:7	107:8 113:14 139:20	<b>17th</b> 66:10 134:7
<b>\$530</b> 93:9,18	142:17 143:21 147:23 150:20	<b>134</b> 110:22	<b>18</b> 88:14 90:16 109:13
<b>\$600</b> 33:11,15	152:17 154:14	<b>136</b> 110:22	<b>19</b> 28:9
52:15 146:12	158:13,15,20,23 164:25 165:20	<b>138</b> 110:22	<b>1988</b> 55:23
157:18	168:3	<b>14</b> 28:8 107:11	<b>1995</b> 38:10
	<b>103's</b> 144:6	<b>140</b> 110:22	<b>1998</b> 38:10
	<b>104</b> 110:21	<b>147</b> 106:22	<b>1:30</b> 102:17,22
<b>(f)(10)</b> 50:15 51:21	<b>107</b> 110:21	<b>149</b> 147:21	<b>1A</b> 16:23
<b>(f)(6)</b> 50:15 51:8	<b>108</b> 15:6 110:21	<b>14th</b> 147:19	<b>1st</b> 90:2,4,7,10
	109 110:21 10:08 18:2 10th 18:7 105:16	<b>13</b> 23:23 57:4,8,12	
		65:13 85:15 100:4 107:13 153:13,23	2
<b>0.1</b> 166:9	135:3	<b>16</b> 107:16	<b>2</b> 15:17 16:24 51:4
<b>00012</b> 18:10	<b>11</b> 107:5 109:14	<b>162</b> 106:22 110:23	76:14,18 105:25
<b>00013</b> 18:10	11 107.3 109.14 115:5 132:24 133:2,12	<b>166</b> 109:13	109:19 119:15 <b>2.2</b> 88:5

Index: 20..9s

<b>20</b> 23:24 25:3 76:15 86:25 88:14	<b>226</b> 111:3	<b>2656.1</b> 146:1 156:15	<b>5th</b> 41:22 44:8 144:22
	<b>22nd</b> 134:2		144.22
<b>2001</b> 37:25	<b>23</b> 76:15	<b>2656.1(c)</b> 150:23	6
<b>201</b> 82:16 111:2	<b>23,000</b> 88:10	<b>28.1</b> 86:25	
<b>2014</b> 16:16 164:1 <b>2017</b> 60:21 61:6	<b>23,500</b> 87:10,16 88:1	<b>285</b> 144:5	6 31:5 32:11,13 35:14 46:1,4,8,11, 12 51:13,16 53:1 88:13 96:19 109:10 154:18,19 168:9 6.9 61:21,22 62:5
		<b>28th</b> 118:23	
<b>2018</b> 16:18 <b>202</b> 82:16 <b>2022</b> 60:21 164:5	23-1271/SERFF 108:13 23-613 15:15	<b>29</b> 15:23	
		<b>2nd</b> 66:15 67:2 69:21 71:24 72:3 118:2,19	
37:25	<b>238</b> 107:15		3
<b>2024</b> 15:11 37:24 61:6 81:18 85:19	<b>239</b> 53:24 111:3	<b>3</b> 15:0 91:0 15 20	<b>680,000</b> 142:24
86:8,17 87:11	<b>23rd</b> 136:14,15 137:6,13 139:5,9	<b>3</b> 15:9 81:9,15,20 84:1,5,6 85:13,14 86:13 106:2 109:7	
89:10 93:11,13	<b>24</b> 93:25 94:2		7
<b>2025</b> 14:23 15:4 18:1,7 31:22 32:8	24-1273/SERFF	147:21	<b>7</b> 46:2 57:13 89:21
42:3,15 52:8,12	108:14	<b>3.2</b> 88:4	106:11 109:11
69:18,21 85:25	24-1330/SERFF	<b>30</b> 16:3 24:24 156:2	166:9
88:20 89:5,16 90:2,6,13 97:14,	108:15	<b>3rd</b> 117:16 118:21	<b>70</b> 74:6,10
21 98:1,11 105:16	<b>240</b> 55:18	31 <b>u</b> 117.10 110.21	<b>730.8</b> 161:7
108:17 114:3,6 117:16,21 118:1,	<b>242</b> 111:3	4	<b>7th</b> 63:20 66:11
2,4,6,18,19,21,23	<b>243</b> 107:17 111:3		69:23 72:10 79:8 84:9 118:1 119:5,
119:5,6,8,12,16, 20,24,25 166:18	<b>245</b> 111:3	<b>4</b> 52:17 85:5,8 88:14 106:3 109:9	8,16,20
167:3	<b>249</b> 107:17	<b>4/30</b> 139:7	
<b>2026</b> 90:4,7,10	<b>24th</b> 118:18 134:5	<b>400</b> 49:6 101:18	8
<b>205</b> 111:2	<b>25</b> 90:3 165:14,17	<b>400-million</b> 58:13	<b>8</b> 14:23 15:4 57:10
<b>207</b> 111:2	<b>250</b> 111:4	4th 44:23 63:13,22	12 96:9,18 106:14
<b>20th</b> 133:8 144:1, 4,10	<b>252</b> 111:4	69:8,18,25 72:4,8 79:9 81:8 84:11, 18 117:20	<b>824</b> 143:20
	<b>254</b> 107:19 111:4		8th 108:17 117:22
<b>21</b> 90:19	<b>26</b> 14:6 52:2 114:3	10 117.20	118:4 119:6,12,24
<b>21.8</b> 42:1 87:1,2	115:2	5	9
90:20 93:8,17	<b>26.27</b> 51:21		
<b>21.8%</b> 41:20,25 43:3,15,23 44:3	<b>2644.2</b> 52:22	<b>5</b> 28:8 35:14 78:20, 25 83:25 84:3,4,8	<b>9</b> 41:20 44:13 109:12 166:17
45:22 51:15	<b>2644.27</b> 35:9,12	85:1,2,4 94:18	
<b>21st</b> 132:24 133:5	50:14 51:8	96:20 106:6	<b>9%</b> 45:16
<b>223</b> 111:2	<b>2644.3</b> 144:9	<b>500</b> 49:5 101:18	<b>9A</b> 15:10
<b>225</b> 111:2	<b>2656</b> 164:14,18	<b>53</b> 16:7 54:2,10,14	<b>9s</b> 44:9

9th 118:5 119:25 122:20 125:5

Α **a.m.** 18:2 25:10 **AA** 15:18 abandoned 32:11 154:19 168:9 **Abandoning** 145:3 **ability** 52:7,9 123:9 168:6 absence 146:7.19 absolutely 27:8 83:3 103:25 117:8 accept 75:18 accepted 139:8 accessible 83:8 accommodate 152:23 accomplish 104:15 account 55:9 87:2 90:21 131:22 167:14 accountability 152:24 accounts 166:19 accrue 33:8 accurate 25:22 26:5 131:21 accurately 109:25 achieving 155:7 acknowledged 167:4 acknowledges 167:24

acknowledging

152:5 act 160:14 action 31:9 57:24 117:22 actively 120:2 actual 24:2,11 91:5 92:19 143:9 actuarial 15:23 16:3,6 24:1,8,20, 23,25 25:23 29:5 30:22 42:21 54:2, 3,5,10,14 55:23 142:2 144:11,18 145:17 151:6 165:24 167:6 actuarially 41:25 42:23 43:3 44:3,4, 13 141:25 actuaries 23:23 actuary 23:20 28:23 34:18 38:9 39:4 64:17 141:23

149:1 151:10 157:15 159:16 165:10 actuary's 165:8 adapted 141:9 add 64:8 97:17 113:23 117:2,5 168:7 added 116:25 108:11,22 118:23 69:24 71:24 72:1,

addition 100:1 additional 66:22 10 76:8 80:4 86:10,15 87:9 98:14 108:19 113:17 119:21 122:6 125:17 address 104:18, 20 120:4 151:24 155:17 157:9 161:1 165:21

addressed 53:1 118:22 161:2 addressing 127:12 129:14 adequacy 148:24 152:3 adequate 47:5 145:24 150:2,14, 17 151:24 156:17 158:10 164:15 168:16 169:8 adequately 129:14 adhere 24:1 132:4 adherence 152:24 adjust 143:16 adjusted 29:23 118:14 administrative 18:6 20:16 23:10 38:16 48:22 128:24 139:21 admirable 110:7 admissibility 128:20 admission 105:2, 22 106:25 109:1 110:4

admissions 104:21

108:2 admitted 71:3 104:21 108:17,20 109:22 122:12 166:1,4 167:5 adopt 26:10 154:9 adopted 55:22

admit 107:24

Adopting 16:14 **advance** 128:23

158:14

129:1

**advise** 38:19 advised 39:5 advocacy 147:5

169:22 advocate 38:24

affect 149:6 159:20

affiliated 162:17 affiliations 41:10 affirmative 71:14

**afford** 63:3,4,9 75:25 76:3 80:10

84:22

affordable 147:7

afforded 108:9 afternoon 37:11, 14,19 102:24

agency 25:10

agree 21:18 32:17 44:11,22 57:21 71:7 79:5,7,19 81:16 85:17 88:17 94:12 101:7 115:24 121:7,8,13 140:7 154:24 155:1 158:8

agreed 49:6 80:5 91:13 105:2 110:2 147:2 156:8,12 162:4 166:3

agreed-upon 49:19

agreeing 86:14 87:3 121:20

agreement 79:14 80:1,6

agreements 72:25 110:8

agrees 164:21 165:25 167:13

**AHB** 66:10 69:8 111:11 118:2

Index: ahead..assumptions

**ahead** 40:13 24 **applies** 51:13 139:9 55:12 89:22 90:12 124:14 131:17 Arancibia 16:9 **annual** 26:18 135:6 161:8 27:22 93:12.14 area 145:9 alarming 31:8 **apply** 33:9 49:23 95:1 161:11 33:4 98:23 159:24 61:10 93:8 142:22 areas 24:25 annunciate 74:11 143:10 156:21 alert 129:3,5 argue 47:3 121:22 anticipate 161:7 137:18 144:13 135:14,22 appreciated **ALJ** 14:22 15:3,5 argues 154:18 169:23 **anytime** 155:12 112:11 162:8,11 **Apologies** 71:21 appreciation **Allan** 15:8 arguing 138:9 110:12 apologize 81:13 141:16,20 142:12 allegedly 57:16 approach 20:15 85:12 122:5,12 59:19 62:18 97:1 argument 142:20 31:2 53:14 103:24 148:8 149:15 apparent 121:22 allegedly-121:16 166:8 163:20 164:9 appeals 142:3 insufficient 57:7 approaching 164:5 **Argumentative** 53:18 alleges 79:1,21 80:12 87:20 99:11 appearances approval 14:3,14, allowable 154:15 18:11 arguments 108:8 16,19 39:6 114:4 allowance 39:7 119:1 127:14 144:6,22 148:3 Appel 28:1 146:4, 168:5 170:5 allowed 49:1 89:6 20 147:5,13 **Armstrong** 15:7 17:5,8 144:23 149:19 151:25 143:7,24 approve 142:6 154:25 158:21,24 151:9 162:4 158:18 160:6 allowing 118:8 161:4,9,20 163:8 165:25 166:2,16 161:18 164:10,14 alternative 20:3 167:4,5,13 168:1 169:4,9 Appel's 20:9 160:12 **Armstrong's** approved 63:7 applicable 166:3 165:23 166:6,8, amend 113:23 75:22 76:9 78:14 11,12 167:9 Applicant 57:18, 115:13 153:24 22 85:15 86:7 arrive 93:8 amendments approves 168:18 87:7 88:17 90:5. 38:24 39:5 asks 169:3 22 96:25 98:18 approving 60:4 amount 161:22, 100:5,15 151:4 71:8 145:25 aspects 21:23 23 Applicant's 151:17 165:22 120:18,25 168:23 169:13 52:17 57:6,16 analysis 14:5 assigned 19:25 59:19 62:17 25:17 30:22 38:5 approximately assist 53:14 43:22 45:2,11,13, **Applicants'** 86:8 15.21 46:18 48:4. 94:25 associate 29:4 **April** 14:23 15:4 7,8 52:24 81:3 18:1,7 44:23 application 35:20 Association 96:22 98:17,19 63:13.22 66:14 42:14 60:25 62:3 99:20 127:14 16:11 100:10 164:2 67:2 69:8,18,21, 141:23 144:9,11, **assume** 94:15 168:11 25 71:24 72:3,4,8 18 150:4 151:6 131:22 147:1 79:9 81:8 84:10, 164:22 165:24,25 applications 162:25 18 105:16 108:17 166:11 167:6,10, 32:14 65:19 81:19 117:16,20,21 **assumes** 155:11 14 85:20 88:19 92:18 118:1,2,4,5,19,21 analyzed 32:17 103:1 108:13 assuming 134:6 119:5,6,8,12,16, 154:20 168:11 137:8 20,23,25 122:20 132:23 133:6 **applied** 156:16 **Angeles** 157:18, assumptions 134:2 136:14 24 162:19 166:20, 31:23 144:19

Index: atmospheric..buy

151:12 102:16,24 107:20 belong 88:6 113:7,9,11 134:18 143:5,7 113:10 116:10 139:18 atmospheric 125:11 129:12 **Ben** 15:7 breakdown 145:7 135:13 139:17 142:23 beneficial 162:18 attacks 154:13 148:18 150:21 briefing 119:23 attempt 121:7 background benefits 159:3 121:25 122:6 28:12 37:20 39:24 155:16 Benjamin 17:4,8 123:12,16 124:24 **balance** 143:23 attendance 14:23 125:17 126:23 bet all 31:23 15:4 144:3 127:2,4,7,8,18,23 128:1,21 130:21 **big** 142:12,20 bandwagon attention 137:22 132:12,19 133:15 166:10 138:17 127:25 135:10 138:16 **bill** 34:1 139:5 161:2 bankrupt 153:22 attorneys 47:19 billion 33:9,10,12, based 32:16,22 **briefly** 55:13 attributable 15 157:17 34:4,5,16 35:13 19:23 121:1 **briefs** 134:18 125:13 45:1 46:17 47:6, billions 163:1 138:7 11 48:4 50:17 authority 165:21 **bills** 146:10 bring 25:13 112:9 51:9,11 56:6 61:4 Authorize 57:24 68:6 87:6 91:14 135:19 137:19,21 binder 53:8 66:2 94:25 95:9 96:21 69:11 81:25 82:9, broad 21:9 55:10 authorized 29:13 99:19 131:10 12,13,15 83:9,14 144:15 145:12 broader 143:3 auto 23:24 binders 53:11 146:11 152:14 153:18 automatically 156:22 161:10 bit 20:23 37:20 **broke** 147:15 124:4 162:15 57:9 73:5,6 128:1 brought 119:7 avail 168:6 134:23 **basic** 64:15 151:22 163:12 availability 79:3, **block** 87:4 88:18, 23 80:16 81:5 **budget** 146:10 24 89:4.13.22 basically 129:17 87:17 88:2 142:24 90:1,6,9 132:6 136:8 **budgets** 148:17 165:2,6 168:3 **blow** 92:23 basis 52:18 89:16 **build** 75:12 average 146:12 93:7 118:6 124:17 **Board** 54:3 55:22 Builders 16:12 136:6 141:4 avoid 22:8 book 33:15 34:15 **built** 154:15 **batches** 106:15 aware 59:7,10,13 books 88:5 99:23 **burden** 141:22 60:19 64:13,24 beat 101:23 148:1 66:19 67:8,13 borne 77:11,21 **beating** 126:22 69:2,7 72:19 burdensome **bottom** 94:17 75:16 101:11 begin 140:1 147:8 133:19 138:24 **branch** 23:21 155:16 bush 101:24 24:2 159:17 **AYI** 166:5 beginning 21:6 126:23 bread-crumb 153:9 business 16:9 120:15 В **behalf** 19:5 38:17, 33:16 34:15 47:2 breadcrumbs 23 105:10 59:24,25 88:9 73:17 back 32:3 42:24 99:23 100:1,10 belief 46:15 56:11 50:13 51:12 59:16 133:13 134:14 break 64:1 65:9, 62:8 63:14 65:12. **believes** 79:1,21 137:4,7 151:23 11,13,15 67:11 16 67:17 76:7,14 80:15 150:7 76:13 91:18 buy 80:24 81:1 78:19 81:10 83:2 163:18 102:3,12,14,17,25 153:15 155:10 90:15 98:22 103:5 112:22

162:17,22 163:3 70:9 71:4 **caused** 57:16 circular 73:6 59:19,23 62:18 called 54:2.6 circumstances 97:1 99:2 154:12 C 55:20 74:24 104:8 141:9 156:23 causing 59:25 165:18 calling 19:12 22:2 **Cal** 143:11,16,19 cautiously civil 38:17 calls 22:15 34:11 145:3 151:2 133:17 64:9 156:19 167:9 **claim** 31:6 158:20 CCR 51:7 144:8 Canadian 16:12 **claims** 52:7,9,11, calculate 28:2.21 146:1 13 157:20 158:12 29:10 92:12 95:22 capacity 39:4 CDI 14:22 15:3,11 161:22 163:11 calculated 29:18 17:5 23:19 29:13 164:10 capital 15:19 16:4 30:5 55:7 61:20 37:23 38:20 39:1, 25:1,9,11 31:10, clarification 48:3 62:4 93:11 94:25 13 36:3 38:5 4,5 40:18 60:2 71:10 74:10 95:19 163:5 54:18.19 57:22 61:20 63:20 91:15 58:3 101:2 159:14 108:13 109:2 clarify 43:18 45:5 calculating 46:9 164:21 167:21 70:4 82:7 122:19 career 25:3 calculation 41:16 140:2 cease 81:16 85:17 42:12 44:11.17.24 careful 104:4 clarifying 135:5 47:11 48:4 49:15, 123:20 **CEI's** 159:16 16,23 50:5 51:14 clean 21:23 central 158:14 carefully 169:24 52:21 91:14 92:8 120:12 161:10 **Carlos** 16:9 Century 144:1,4, clear 20:22 24:8 calculations 44:9 10 case 33:24 65:18 27:8 32:5 35:4 45:21 48:16 49:3 103:3 113:14 certifications 36:4 49:22 92:2 95:9,13 96:3 41:10 120:14 130:24 117:11 121:22 119:21,23 144:20 127:8 129:4 141:12,15 142:5 challenges 151:10 165:9 134:23 135:15 143:14 145:1,18 163:24 146:20 151:2 calendar 89:5 136:23 139:20 140:5 141:11 **change** 136:16 164:13 125:1 133:17 152:15 170:6 144:22.24 154:12 clock 135:8 136:5 California 15:13. 166:10 168:1 cases 18:10 21 16:16,18,20 **close** 52:14 characterize 17:3 18:23 23:24 123:15 132:1 Cassel-walker 58:9 98:17 34:17 35:8 38:13 105:9,10,15,21 closed 131:24 59:6 66:9 79:4,24 charged 34:7 106:21,23 107:3 135:12 81:6 87:18 88:3 109:6 charging 46:22 141:14 142:1 **closing** 117:5 casualty 24:22,23 145:9 146:14,24 chart 93:2,5 122:2 130:22 29:5 54:7 55:21, 147:21 152:18,24 139:11,23 140:21, 155:18 156:13 23 95:4 **charts** 107:22 23 153:3 157:4 157:23 158:3,6 cat 44:12,18 98:15 check 122:16 closings 117:9 160:18,24 163:1, 167:2 25 164:4,21 chief 23:20 39:4 coaching 68:14 catastrophe 36:6 159:16 165:2,6,19 167:17 166:18 **Code** 35:8 144:15 168:7 169:15 chief-risk 38:6 147:25 California's catastrophes childcare 146:17 collaboratively 142:17 33:5 **choose** 45:16 153:18 **Californians** catastrophic 44:16 97:11 colleague 105:2 142:15 155:6.10 **choosing** 146:15 157:22,24 166:25 collected 161:23 call 22:13 63:12 **chosen** 61:10

163:11 159:14 170:4 135:19 165:7 51:25 168:5 column 93:4 company's confused 72:12 35:17,25 36:15 conclusions combination confusing 84:15 165:11 166:14 61:19 62:1,2 59:25 94:10 confusion 71:21 **compare** 114:22 condition 32:17 combine 71:23 34:3,19 35:13,21 connection compared 161:22 combined 87:11 48:9 49:4,17 19:20 22:21 26:25 94:16 159:19 complains 52:18,23 54:12, 120:5 122:14 166:16 16,22 55:10 56:2, 123:12 169:22 comfortable 32:6 13,18 57:19 58:3, 170:5 complaints 123:1 comments 25 59:22 60:1,4 **consent** 121:20 100:6.19.25 122:12 complete 85:24 125:24 133:18 112:4 115:24 101:9,16 124:22 commercial 116:5 120:16 143:13 148:5 considerable 99:24 121:1 135:25 149:7,21 150:9 110:9 157:14 159:9,21 Commissioner 156:9 consideration 160:4 161:17 14:5,8,13,15,18, completed 103:6 31:16 35:3 52:23 162:5,12 167:15 21 31:21 32:18,25 53:6 54:11,15 completely 47:19 42:2 48:11,17 conditional 56:3 123:20 59:13 78:5 146:8 52:3 57:21 97:15 108:23 118:12 154:18 158:19 165:11 111:25 141:17 150:20 considered 143:6.15 145:18 complicated conditions 98:23 147:18 151:14 54:19 63:2 122:14 127:19 107:21 110:1 153:24 154:9 151:8 156:21.23 158:18 complies 44:13 119:10 142:3 consist 158:20 143:13 144:13 159:10 160:5,14 component 55:2 146.5 161:18 162:9 consistent 95:23 164:10,14 165:20 166:20 comprehensive conduct 143:6 168:18,23 169:3 127:13 consolidate confer 125:22 commissioner's 123:2 concepts 150:24 129:23,24 130:9 27:23 147:14,16, concern 43:6.15 constitutes conference 14:7 22 155:2,5,15 108:5 166:20 168:5 52:3 concerned 21:10 125:9 consult 24:2 conferred 105:1 commitment 141:3 concerns 21:16 consumer 14:4,5, confidences 35:21 40:11,12 8,18 15:3,5 17:5,8 48:23 communicate 19:3,5,7 20:2 21:7 72:23 73:9 88:9 125:23 confidential 123:4 142:8 37:4 53:9,20,23 29:9,14,15 companies 55:17 104:12 conclude 20:24 147:15 162:17 109:16 110:14 confirm 25:19 165:19 169:2 111:1 116:19 108:20 137:12,16 **company** 15:13, 117:21 118:17,22 concluded 19:9 15 17:3 30:7 31:9 confirmed 119:4,7,14,19,22 135:25 34:19,25 35:19 110:18 118:13 120:7,19 121:17 36:2 38:3 44:12 concludes 168:8 122:7 126:12 47:2 49:17 56:10 169:18 170:1 142:18 144:17 58:23 59:8,11 **confirms** 157:10 147:4 150:11 concluding 61:17,21 65:20 confiscation 151:22 153:16,17 36:17 85:16 101:17 50:16 51:20 154:4 155:11 103:2 113:12 conclusion 44:21 157:15 158:12 139:18 154:22 confiscatory 64:9 96:5 131:20 160:9,12 161:3

162:2,8,11 163:6, 14,17 164:7,9,24 165:6,10 167:7,19 168:14 **consumers** 33:23 146:4 148:21 149:23 152:1 155:12 159:3,5,6 **contact** 38:13

**contained** 69:24 95:20

contemporaneo us 130:20

contemporaneo usly 132:14

contents 64:7

**context** 28:12 30:17 45:7 46:21 49:12 64:22 130:6

Contingency 16:4

contingent 168:23

**continue** 21:15 33:17 59:5 71:18 153:19

**continued** 14:1 15:1 16:1 17:1 168:6

continuing

65:17,21 90:22 117:12 144:3

continuously 101:24

**contracts** 162:13 163:10

contrary 85:16

**contribute** 48:10 100:23

**convince** 138:22

coordinated 113:16 **copies** 112:8 116:2

**copy** 25:20 26:1 27:10,11 64:5 83:14 112:25 114:19,21,22

core 150:25

corralling 110:7

**correct** 24:9,10 28:9,19,20 32:23, 24 35:5,9,10,22, 23 36:7,8 38:1,11 50:18 51:10,25 52:19,20 54:9 55:7,8,10 56:13 61:11 66:15 67:22,23 71:17 72:17 75:25 78:16 79:14 84:12 87:4, 12 89:11,12,21,23 90:13 92:11,20 98:20 100:13 106:23 122:20 133:2 152:12 155:4

Correcting 166:7

**correctly** 20:18 139:2

correspondence 14:20

**cost** 16:4 39:22,23 54:7,19 77:8,9

costs 16:7 149:16

counsel 23:1 30:20 43:5,9 45:25 68:12 73:7 81:24 82:5,11 85:7 92:13 94:20, 23 110:6 112:25 115:2 116:1,4 121:8,13,15 157:2 169:21

**Counsel's** 113:16

**count** 128:15 **couple** 104:16 131:8,10,12 133:22

**court** 18:5,20 19:2,8,18 20:10, 12 21:7,14,22 22:4,6,13,17 23:6 26:25 27:4,7,15 36:19 37:3,6,14 39:12,19 40:8,14 41:2,4 42:19 43:5, 17,25 47:15,23 48:20 49:7,11,25 50:22 53:16 56:25 58:5,7,14,17,19 60:12 62:13 64:11,15,23 65:6, 9,16,23 66:18 67:6,16 68:19,22 69:3 70:2,4,13,19 71:1,12,18 73:1,4, 15,19 74:15,18 75:9 76:17 77:14 80:17 82:4,20 83:4,12,18 84:21, 25 85:7 86:20 87:21 91:19,23,25 92:2,5,10 95:16 96:4,13 97:8 98:5 99:5,14 101:20,23 102:2,8,11,16,21, 24 103:14,18,25 104:3,12,14 105:6,11,19 106:18,22,24 107:2 109:24 110:4,9,13,16

111:6,12,15,21 112:6,15,20 113:5,10 114:8,21 115:1,3,7,11,21 116:1,8,13,19,21, 23 117:6,8 121:14 122:10,17,21,23, 25 123:11,15 124:1,5,7,13,15, 20 125:8 126:10, 19 127:7,20,24 128:7,10,22 129:9,20 130:1, 12,19 131:1,8,16, 23 132:2,13,25 133:16,24 134:10, 20 135:6,11 136:10 137:2,10,

16 138:3,21 139:14,17 140:5, 9,13,16,19 141:2 142:6 143:14 145:19 150:22 152:23 153:1 154:8 157:1 161:2 164:4 167:24 169:3,17

courtesy 82:5

**courtroom** 60:22 157:7

**cover** 34:1,3 52:11

**coverage** 63:4,9, 24 75:24 76:3 147:8 158:5

**covered** 40:22 91:20 92:3 98:13

created 38:5

**credible** 146:18 168:15

**Credit** 15:19 **crisis** 34:17

169:10 **critical** 146:23

**criticism** 151:22 **cross** 21:25 47:20 49:1 65:10 102:1

crossexamination 20:5 37:9 103:7

cross-examining 36:25

cross-talk 22:8 crucial 164:19 crunch 137:15

cure 150:1,17

**current** 25:20 27:12 30:18 31:3 32:16 46:10 51:24 57:23 59:22 60:1

Index: curriculum..develop

151:5 164:11 134:8,14 137:4,7, declared 146:6 department's 20 139:1 157:12 165:13 20:7 27:5 52:22 declined 159:24 65:18 76:21 103:7 deadline 139:7 curriculum declining 62:9,21 117:24 149:20,24 25:16,19,20 27:12 deal 21:16 117:2 161:21 163:9 **depend** 34:24 **cushion** 137:23 136:18 139:4 decrease 165:17 59:2 89:17 146:3 customer 34:7,8 decreased 31:7 depending 21:23 dealing 92:8 131:14 customers 34:2 157:17 138:14 63:8 76:7 88:6 depends 34:21 **defense** 118:19 dealt 115:14 cut 45:3 148:18 59:1 63:1 133:21 define 52:9 77:25 decade 157:19 CV 15:7,8 Deponent 140:5 **defined** 151:13 **decades** 158:22 CW 123:7 129:24 **Deputy** 14:13,21 definition 55:13 140:17 154:7,11, decide 130:24 derails 137:21 89:1 12,17,18 156:19 138:25 describe 26:15 definitive 36:14 **CW's** 129:16 decided 63:15 110:1 124:18 153:7 154:17 degrees 41:9 156:15 description 14:2 decision 16:15 delay 141:8 15:2 16:2 17:2 **CWD** 109:2 21:16 120:6 24:20 112:13 deliberations 123:10 129:14 39:9 40:23 131:20 136:1 **desire** 47:18 D 162:16 169:25 demanding detail 36:20 72:19 145:11 decisions 151:23 **Daily** 16:9 **details** 31:23 demonstrate declaration 17:4, dangerously deteriorated 148:2 7 25:16,25 26:2,4, 45:9 31:13 98:22 7,14 27:11 28:5,7, demonstrated data 15:9 26:19 22 29:16,19 142:9 148:3 deteriorating 31:21 32:8 42:3, 41:13,15,24 42:9 52:17,22 54:11, 165:23 166:13 14,17 44:5 45:18 43:6,14,16,22 15.21 55:10 56:2 demonstrates 93:24 97:14 46:2,16 48:14,19 60:3 62:11 157:14 169:8 144:13 166:18,21 52:16 56:9,16,23 deterioration 167:2,3 57:3,4,25 62:16 deny 162:9 57:5,15 59:17,18 64:20 66:15,20 date 68:17 69:16, 62:17 96:25 98:18 department 67:1,14,21,25 19 84:10 93:16 99:1,21 18:21,23,25 19:12 69:20,23 70:7,14, 98:15 117:18.19 22:15 25:18,25 22 71:3,7,25 determination 125:1 133:1 135:3 26:14,23 28:18,24 72:16 73:25 143:12 152:13 148:12 31:8,22 32:7 76:14,15,16 77:3, determine 30:10. dated 68:3,16 36:17 38:4,13 17 78:18 86:4,5, 40:4 60:11 61:11 13 97:17 125:12 21,24 87:6 90:16 **dates** 72:13 145:3 66:9 70:16 75:21 93:1,3,10,22 94:4, 113:20 117:17 78:25 79:20 80:2 19,24 96:18 determined 85:7 91:4,13 day 18:8 96:5 108:24 118:1,5 62:25 103:10 104:9 125:2 130:13 125:7 129:17 determining 109:25 116:17 133:13 130:11 166:6 119:18 122:7,8 108:9 days 64:18 124:19 declarations 124:4 127:17 detractive 132:9 128:2,14 131:20 118:7 128:20 129:19,24 140:9 132:1,8,11,12,21, 130:4 153:10,15 154:7, develop 96:23 24 133:3,11,12,23 12,20 156:7 158:7 150:5

developed 25:4 display 120:2 28:4 electronic 83:4,6 167:2 108:16 **dispute** 125:22 drink 139:11 development 136:4 162:3 electronically driver 149:12.15 167:20 166:4 113:2 drop 63:4,9 75:24 deviate 35:17 disputed 138:21 eligible 145:4 76:3 difference 34:2 **disputes** 157:13, ellipses 144:2 dropped 63:24 159:7 16,19,22,25 147:10 **Email** 14:20 difficult 73:11 disputing 142:14 due 35:20 124:24 emergencies difficulties 113:3 disqualified 136:14 139:5 165:21 156:4 129:11 142:7 emergency 14:3, disqualifying duly 23:9 digital 83:14 11,14,16,19 118:7 31:17,20 42:1 dire 20:15 **Duncan** 18:25 97:10 114:4 disregard 148:5 direct 23:14 26:8 duty 151:14 141:4,13 145:11, 36:18 39:18 68:23 disregards 147:3 155:2,5 17 153:12,25 154:9 156:23,24 73:13 83:19 86:19 **disrupt** 33:19 103:6 157:14 157:9,11 Ε disruptive 136:11 emphasize 162:2 directed 68:4 114:16 distinction 71:2 Eaglesham 15:20 emphasizes distinctive 47:17 147:22 148:1 directly 62:22 earlier 75:22 94:20,23 118:11, Directors 55:22 doable 137:25 employed 37:23 25 130:12 131:14 40:18 disagrees 147:18 docket 100:11 earliest 124:17 encourage 57:18 document 41:23 discerning 21:11 100:9 early 36:11 96:21 54:1 68:18 73:5 discovery 49:1 98:18 131:4 150:4 encouraged 74:5.23 75:3 96:23 150:6,14 100:5.8.15 150:8 83:19,23 **earned** 42:13 discretion 138:17 144:8 **end** 89:5 114:16 documents 135:7 147:12 discriminatory 25:15 67:12,13,15 **easier** 72:21 167:2 147:24 71:22 72:24 74:5 **Easter** 133:8 79:14 112:4,13 **discuss** 48:25 ends 115:9 134:9,10 136:12 122:11 161:8 135:20 138:21 54:11,15 121:5 economist dollar 33:15 93:7 enforce 23:25 discussed 40:25 158:22 52:17 89:10 122:8 **dollars** 33:12 **enjoy** 145:8 **effect** 63:25 144:23 93:23 163:1 142:19 148:22 **ensure** 45:16 discusses **double** 122:16 154:3 155:9 effected 93:16 121:10 downgrade **ensures** 152:23 effective 98:15 discussing 158:2 159:2 113:20 161:25 162:5 **entered** 70:8,15 effects 90:21 167:16 169:12 158:9 167:22 discussion eggs 156:5 114:17 126:16 downgraded enterprise 25:2 **Ehrhart** 151:21 160:15 38:7 **dismiss** 146:18 160:21 162:15,21 draft 29:15 entertain 138:7 163:5 dismisses 152:1 entire 33:19 64:18 drafting 26:14

Index: entirety..faced

160:18 148:24 161:16,20 exerts 112:18 24:20,24,25 25:23 162:1,3,10 164:8 28:23 34:5 40:9, exhausts 148:12 entirety 42:4 168:15 169:7 17 46:13 94:6 112:19 **exhibit** 15:10.17 134:13 136:20 evidentiary 18:8 entitled 40:2 62:5 26:19 41:20 44:9, 158:22 160:21 78:15 103:2 155:25 156:1 13 53:24 55:18 113:13 135:21 experienced 159:6 167:11 61:1 66:5,7,12 151:19 152:21 104:4 69:10 72:17 74:24 169:19 170:5 envision 125:10 expert 24:13,16 75:16 76:6 78:22 126:5 exam 39:18 82:7 83:8 84:9,18 26:10 27:11,13,19 33:2 40:14 49:23 envisioning 88:13 98:15 examination 105:17 107:23,24 104:5 146:5 149:3 127:2 20:3 23:14 29:3 108:2,4,5,22,25 154:7,17 159:17 65:18 equation 33:13 109:3 112:11 expertise 25:4 163:13 examined 23:10 113:22,23 114:1,5 147:21 166:17 **experts** 160:20 equity 150:24 excellent 22:9 **exhibit's** 108:8 explain 35:15 errors 165:9 exceptions 105:3 43:21 166:13 166:6,7 167:9 exhibits 15:16 154:15 156:21 Escapes 16:10 26:23 27:5 53:9, explained 149:8 excerpts 26:17 20 66:3 82:10,12, 151:18 158:24 essentially 78:7 13,14 104:21,23 159:18 160:22 excess 32:3 78:17 97:18 105:3 108:11,23 161:6 162:15 essentials 109:15.21 110:1. 163:8 148:18 excessive 32:2 7,19,20 111:1,10 establish 67:13 42:6 46:18 47:7, explains 43:24 113:17,25 120:10 10 62:25 77:1 explanation estimate 33:10 **exist** 46:14 143:22 144:4 49:13 93:18,19 147:24 151:15 expanded 119:1 exposure 40:10 156:12 estimated 29:10 **expect** 64:21 163:1 93:4,5,9 94:9 **exclude** 117:25 127:8,25 119:16,22 122:24, expressly 147:23 estimating 16:7 expectation 25 125:6 129:17, 156:21 54:6 20 161:15 19:11 132:5 **extend** 141:1 **evaluate** 145:5,19 excluded 20:14 expected 113:11 extensive 126:16 evaluated 146:20 129:10,18,25 expecting 128:22 162:15 161:13 167:25 evaluating 34:20 146:3 expediency extensively excluding 89:9 131:2 105:1 154:7 134:8 event 97:22 98:1, expedient 106:20 extent 20:5 60:9 11 145:8 exclusion 118:6 121:16 64:9 118:8 138:8, events 145:10 **excuse** 125:13 10 expedite 124:19 everybody's **excused** 103:20 131:11 extra 82:9 115:17 139:25 136:20 138:11 **execute** 69:19 expedited 124:16 146:12 everyone's 141:3 128:13 132:4 153:14,18 133:20 152:22 evidence 20:14 executed 66:10 F 21:1 22:19 26:24 68:1,17 72:10 Expense 15:23 27:6 65:7 104:17 155:15 expensive 163:6 110:25 111:5 face 147:7 148:16 **exempt** 16:12 116:16 119:10 experience faced 156:3 142:13 128:20 139:22

Index: faces..fine

faces 145:9 46:3,8 48:9 49:18 33:3,8 41:19 27:21 30:6 31:6, 52:7,13 56:10,12, 44:11 45:21 48:9 15,24 50:16 51:9, facilitating 116:4 17,18 58:1,2,22, 49:4,17 51:24 11,12 61:1,13,18 facing 25:6 23,24 59:4,5,7,10, 52:4 62:10 78:2 108:16 118:4 81:4 110:6 113:25 14,22 60:3,7,19 119:12,22 120:23 fact 87:3 135:18 61:2,5,9,15 62:20 142:8,14 143:12 filings 39:2 61:14 146:23 63:14,21,23 65:19 144:19,20,22 108:12 117:11 66:3,5,7,9 70:16 146:4,21 148:8 factor 55:11,14,15 118:15,16 120:5 150:22 166:4,5 75:18,21 76:6 149:7,19 150:11 78:8,12,21 80:2,9, 151:12,23 156:22 final 76:9 78:13 factors 59:2 10,22,25 81:15,25 138:1 148:13,15 Farmers 25:4 144:13 148:25 82:1,4 86:14 87:3, 153:6 155:7 37:24 38:6,10,12, 15,25 88:4,7 89:3, 17,19 finance 41:10 15,17 90:2,8 factually 141:21 Farmers' 39:2 91:14 92:14 97:9, financial 19:22 fail 142:12,20 21,25 98:10 99:23 21:8 25:5,12 fast 87:13 131:11 100:18,22,24 fair 33:24,25 40:8 28:24 31:4,6 101:3,7 103:1,16 favor 121:2 43:25 45:14,20 32:17 34:19 105:10 109:2 163:20 35:13,21 36:15 46:17 47:5 62:23 110:20 111:14 38:5 46:21 48:9 68:22 138:19 fears 145:13 113:12 114:3 49:4,17 52:18,23 145:24 148:10 116:21 117:15 February 14:6 54:11,15,21 55:10 150:18 151:24 118:3,19,20 41:21 44:8 52:2 56:2,13,18 57:19 152:2 154:4 119:5,10,18,24 63:20 66:11 69:23 58:3.24 59:22 156:17 158:5,10 139:18,25 141:13, 60:4 78:2 93:19 160:8,25 164:15 72:10 79:8 84:9, 16 142:6,11,15,16 168:16 169:5.8 13 114:3 115:2 97:12 98:22 143:11,16,19 144:22 147:19 100:6,19,24 fairness 129:4 144:11 145:1,3,14 101:9,16 119:9 feels 37:19 146:2 147:4 148:9 146:24 147:2 125:14 142:3,8 152:24 148:4,12 149:8,10 fell 130:4 143:13 144:12 150:8 151:3 145:12 148:5 fall 133:23 fellow 24:21,23 152:15 153:11,12, 149:7,21 150:9 **falls** 133:22 29:4 16,19,21,22,25 157:13 158:1 136:13 154:18 155:22,25 felt 134:22 159:1,9,17,21 156:7,12,24 160:3,15 161:17, familiar 28:13 fifteen-minute 157:13,16,20,25 24 162:4.12 39:25 54:1 55:23 65:17 158:7,20,25 167:15 169:11 61:1 88:21 158:23 159:9,13,14,21, figure 39:16 131:6 financially 45:17 **families** 146:14 23,25 160:3,6,14, 78:8,12 148:16,17,19 file 15:16 16:15 17,22 161:5,6,11, 152:18 65:20 100:9 16,21,22,23 **find** 32:1 34:9,12 108:13 139:6 162:6,11,13,16, 35:7 40:2 65:5 **family** 146:9 18,21 163:2,3,8, 83:6,23 85:2 filed 31:5,15 **Farm** 14:3,6,11, 10,14,18,22 125:12 126:3 66:10,19,25 13.15.21 15:12. 164:1,3,5,6,11,20 147:7 151:10 67:14,24 68:17 18,21 16:15,22,24 165:13 166:17,23 160:24 69:8 70:14 71:24 17:3,9 18:9,12,14, 167:14,16,21,24 72:3,7 79:9 **finding** 26:20 16,18 19:10 24:18 168:8,10,19,21 117:16,18 118:1, 46:5,12 108:7 29:11 30:10,21,23 169:2,11,14 170:3 2,3,5 119:3,5,15, 31:5,19,25 32:2,7, findings 24:1 20,23 122:11,19 **Farm's** 14:14,16, 10,16 33:17 34:7 125:5 142:1 19 17:6 24:14 fine 102:19 122:2, 36:23 39:23 42:6 26:19 27:21.22 15 150:10 44:15 45:8,17 **filing** 15:14,16 28:2 30:18 31:3

Index: finish..grant

finish 125:3 55:2 61:20 62:5 146:2 148:9 169:2 170:3 95:2,12 142:10 155:17 fire 34:1 General's 30:10 143:25 144:1 fundamentally 32:16 56:13.18 **fires** 15:22 31:12 154:14,16 156:22 46:17 47:5 62:23 58:2.24 59:22 52:8 98:2.12 formulas 95:21 145:24 151:24 60:3 62:21 66:3 148:20 166:20 158:10 164:15 82:1 87:16,25 forty 160:21 fiscally 85:17 167:13 168:16 100:24 109:2 forward 21:1 169:8 110:20 153:25 five-minute 157:13,16 158:1, found 42:6 84:25 future 16:7 54:7 113:7 139:15 25 159:9,21,23,25 148:11 150:20 159:5 five-year 26:18 160:3,7,14,17 foundation 64:15 161:5,11,21,24 **fix** 144:2 148:11, 68:23 70:23 72:23 G 162:4,11,16,18 15 149:25 153:14 163:8,18 164:1,3, 163:12 fourth 145:15 6,11,20 165:13 gain 94:11 167:15,16 168:10 fixes 153:18 frank 163:21 **gallery** 103:20 169:11,14 155:19 frankly 136:20 gap 31:17 127:14 generally 40:9 flaunt 132:5 freely 166:1 **gauge** 36:2 get all 97:14 flip 33:13 frequently gave 32:22 37:20 **give** 49:5,18 55:13 floating 125:1 149:14 101:8,17 165:20 61:18 73:7,17 131:9 fresh 126:15 83:25 85:4 115:16 general 15:12,18 floodgates 116:9 120:16 Friday 124:18 17:3,9 18:9,12,14, 145:11 123:3 125:1 16,19 19:10 22:7 **front** 53:9,11 64:5 127:16 136:19 flow 94:17 29:11 30:23 31:19 66:2 68:4 69:11 137:20 138:17 32:7,10 36:23 focus 23:1 72:16 74:25 75:16 139:1 52:7 56:11 58:23 77:4 81:14 82:1 **focuses** 43:14 59:5,7,10 60:7,20 giver 83:24 84:23 86:5 101:4, 165:8 61:2,5,9,15,17 giving 21:7 62:20 63:14,21,23 **follow** 48:2 73:16 149:23 frustration 73:6 65:19 66:7,10 127:25 129:4 70:16 75:18,22 **Global** 15:18 full 23:17 32:20 166:3 76:6 78:21 80:3,9, 16:13 42:2,16 65:13 Follow-up 14:11 10,22,25 81:15,25 76:23 88:25 91:6 **goal** 155:7 82:4 89:3,15 90:8 forced 63:4 75:18 96:24 102:3 91:14 97:25 98:10 **good** 18:5,17,22 76:3 147:7 112:25 113:24 103:1,16 105:10 19:4,6,8 22:9 114:12,15,19,25 **foremost** 160:20 113:12 116:21 23:16 37:6,11,12, 150:6,13 151:5 117:16 118:3,19, 13 47:19 71:13 152:9,11,12,14,21 form 77:10 153:25 20 119:5,11,18,24 74:21 102:24 153:6,13 154:2,4 159:15 139:18 140:1 103:18 121:16 156:2,9 158:19 formed 46:3 153:11,12,16,19, 136:21 147:1,3 159:10,19 165:3 51:23 21,22 155:22 167:1,3 168:11, government 156:7,12,24 18,24 169:5 forming 27:13,19 143:4 157:20 158:7 fully 31:23 42:13 forms 108:16 159:13 160:22 governor 143:6 78:9 91:5 92:19 161:6,16,22 158:13 **formula** 30:10 97:15 152:15 162:6,21 163:2,3, 35:13,16 41:17 grant 32:18,25 155:19 10,15,22 164:5 45:6,12 46:19 141:18 156:24 166:17,23 167:9, fundamental 47:8 48:6 53:3,5 21,24 168:8,20

Index: granted..Honor

granted 20:13 **hard** 57:9 73:16, 134:16 87:17 88:2,5,6 118:6 17 83:15 117:9 97:1 99:2,17,24 helping 56:12 120:9 127:12 100:2,12 146:24 granting 152:16 58:24 128:7 141:3 149:12,15,17,25 great 47:17 58:19 helps 85:6 120:11 152:7 158:4 harder 121:23 83:12 106:21 134:18 159:3 159:12 160:10 116:8 hardship 146:13, 168:24 high 143:23 159:6 18 honest 31:25 greater 88:10 high-level 93:19 101:12,18 156:4 hardships 147:4 honesty 37:16 high-lever 94:8 harm 148:16,23 greatly 120:10 **Honor** 18:13,17, 169:23 high-risk 33:6 hate 124:25 22 19:4.6.15 20:4 groceries 146:16 higher 16:10 34:6 21:4,21 22:3,15 **head** 84:22 61:21,22 62:5 26:22 27:2,3,16 Gross 93:14 105:20 147:8 149:25 36:17 37:2,5,17 39:8,14 40:2,13, health 146:24 gross-earned 163:16,19 21,24 42:8,25 93:11,14 155:8 highlight 127:9 43:12,21 48:18,24 **ground** 91:20 hear 26:25 39:19 49:21 53:14 56:22 highly 162:18 49:7 97:23 127:4 60:9 64:4,8,16 Group 37:24 160:19 hike 44:22 63:5,7 65:8,22 66:16 **grumbly** 96:15 75:20 76:4 77:11, 67:4 68:2,7,10,11, heard 60:22 73:2. 21 78:9 93:24 15 70:21 71:9 guarantee 147:9 4 84:13 142:22 142:7 145:12 72:4.22 73:10 154:6 155:21 **guess** 63:12 149:23 156:18 157:10 74:9,13 80:14 66:22 126:22 158:20 historical 26:19 81:24 82:3,6 140:20,21 83:10.21.22 hearing 14:24 history 15:16 84:14,17 85:5 15:4 18:8 32:20 61:2 86:18 91:16,21 Н 42:4,17 76:23 99:4 102:7,20 **HO** 15:16 78:14,15 80:3 103:13,17 104:2, **Haden** 14:9 91:6 96:24 97:16 HO-45657 15:15 10,11,13 105:1,9, 103:2 105:17 hand 116:6 15 110:3,5,15 Hogan 18:13,15, 107:25 108:18 111:9,20 112:2, 18 handed 114:14 113:13 117:13,22 14,19 113:3,18 131:19,21 135:8 hold 23:19 36:3 handle 20:19 114:10 116:18,20 141:3,5,6,12 48:20 117:10 131:2 117:4 121:3 143:8 144:14 132:2 141:3 122:3,18 123:5, **handled** 139:21 150:6,13 151:5 14.25 124:3 **holds** 130:22 152:9,11,13,22 126:13 127:1,4, handling 120:21 153:6,7,13 154:2, holistic 31:2 17,22 128:6,18 123:2 3 156:2,10,14,15 129:7,8,16,23 home 16:11 23:24 happen 33:2 164:17 165:3 130:7,8,18 131:15 35:11 136:22 167:1 168:12,13 Homebuilding 132:23 133:2 157:25 169:6,22 170:5 16:9 134:3,16 135:4 136:9,25 138:2,20 happened 124:1 hearings 141:7 homeowners 140:7,11,18,25 130:2 15:13 16:17,19,21 hearsay 108:6 141:1,11 145:21 34:17 57:7,17 happening 153:4 154:19 heart 56:7 59:20,23 60:24 135:14,22 139:3 157:6,9 158:17 62:18 77:11,21 held 52:3 161:3,14,15,17 **happy** 121:3 79:3.23 80:9.10. 162:2 165:19 127:22 140:4 helpful 21:25 23,24,25 81:4,6 168:14 169:2

Index: Honor's..instance

**Honor's** 128:19 92:19 93:5,9 94:2, inadvertently 13 137:5 8,13 97:6 98:21 133:18 independently 123:9 128:21 94:25 111:7 hoping 128:5 inception 158:23 158:2 160:16 horribles 142:21 include 51:20 indicating 106:19 impacted 97:12 123:19 155:7 111:22 136:2 hour 102:17 145:16 166:21 indication 41:16, hours 139:6,8 impacts 25:6 included 36:20 20 43:3 45:1 **impetus** 126:6 44:8 113:22 46:18 47:7 51:17 housekeeping 61:19,23 62:6 104:15 includes 63:19 implement 150:1 78:13 92:24 166:8 109:18 161:21 **humane** 133:3 implemented indications 91:15 81:16 85:18 hundreds 158:2 including 25:1 165:12 160:16,22 42:14 80:4 94:15 implementing indiscernible 146:3 153:17 89:4 90:8 hurdle 145:2 165:12 162:24 implied 54:17 **hurts** 152:17 individual 89:16 incorporates **implies** 77:23 72:9 hypothetical individually 163:14 49:24 incorrectly 164:4 106:17 importance increase 17:10 industry 24:24 142:14 30:11,14,19,23 33:4 32:6,19 34:13 important 143:2 infer 56:4 89:1 idea 135:15 144:16 153:5 44:3 46:13 51:24 123:6 166:14 169:24 52:19 57:23 ideally 20:1 61:10,15 62:24 informal 14:6 123:12 importantly 63:9 77:23 78:3 52:2 161:14 ideas 120:21 93:17 94:16 information 14:6 improper 108:4 141:13,17 146:6, identification 19:22 21:8,20 11,12,15,22 148:7 114:9,12 26:18 27:23 28:1 **improve** 56:12,18 152:7,17 154:1,6, 29:10,15 37:22 57:19 58:24 94:2 identified 116:15 10 155:22 156:1, 39:10 40:5 44:7 100:6.18.24 130:10 149:20 2,8,11 160:2,6,10 92:17 95:1 98:9, 101:9,16 108:10 162:9 163:21 identifies 120:24 149:18 150:9 14 119:9 121:9,10 164:19 165:3,5 159:8 160:3 150:3,10,12 identify 121:5,6, increased 149:5 152:9,12 169:11 17 125:6,18 126:7 132:12 increases 60:4,8, improvement infusion 57:22 58:3 101:2 94:1 149:7 159:20 20 61:5 141:20 identifying 142:17,19 145:17 120:18 **improves** 159:10 **inherent** 163:24 149:17 150:11 ignores 146:8 152:20 158:8,25 **inhouse** 165:8 improving 58:2 159:10,12,20 Illinois 31:8 **initial** 82:15 **in-** 14:23 163:13,15,23,25 159:25 118:18 123:1 168:16 169:9.10 in-person 15:4 immediately initiate 88:18 89:8 increasing inadequate 46:19 123:17 147:8 90:5 157:23 47:1,3,9,12 48:5 imminent 158:2 143:21,23 144:4, initiated 81:17 incredibly 169:24 169:12 7,21,25 147:24 85:19 86:16 89:10 independent 151:7,11,14 impact 48:9 49:3, instance 151:4 41:15 42:12 45:1, 16 50:5,10 91:3,5

28:4,9,13,16 29:19 60:12 95:3, 10,11,12,12,44  insufficient 79:2,22 80:6 57:17 59:20 62:18 97:18 102:3 97:1 99:2,17 145:25 150:23 insulated 144:14 10 156:13,18 insurance 15:12, 158:11 159:7,22 13,18 16:5 17:3 18:10,24 19:1 18:10,24 19:1 23:25 24:18 25:4 30:6 31:8 33:18 34:9 35:17 37:24 38:4 93:517 37:24 38:4 95:21 59:5 60:25 65:19 66:9 75:21 76:25 77:11,22 79:3,23 163:1 42:18,23 46:9,10 50:16 144:1,5,15 146:11,25 147:13, 14,25 155:9,11, 16,18,22 156:6 144:1,5,15 146:11,25 147:13, 146:21 158:3,7 168:3,7 169:15 168:3,7 169:15 168:17,7 169:15 168:3,7 169:15 168:17,7 169:15 168:3,7 169:15 168:17,7 169:15 168:3,7 169:15 168:17,7 169:15 168:3,7 169:15 168:17,7 169:15 168:3,7 169:15 168:17,7 169:15 177:10,18,20 80:7 169:15 177:10 178:10	16:11
28:4,9,13,16 29:19 60:12 95:3, 10,11,12,21,24 insufficient 57:17 59:20 62:18 97:18 102:3 97:1 99:2,17 insulated 144:14 insurance 15:12, 13,18 16:5 17:3 18:10,24 19:1 23:25 24:18 25:4 30:6 31:8 33:18 34:9 35:17 37:24 38:14 55:21 59:5 60:25 66:19 66:9 77:11,22 79:3,23 88:2 91:13 95:4 103:1 113:12 139:18 142:18,23 143:6 15,16 144:1,5,15 146:11,25 157:13 17:25 105:16 188:2 156:6 198:2 143:25 100:16 144:11 100:16 143:11,16, 20 144:21 145:1 151:6,12 164:23 151:6,12 150:3 Invest 161:25 Investigate 91:5 92:19 96:23 97:16 98:19 150:5 Investigate 91:5 92:19 96:23 97:16 98:19 150:5 Investigate 91:5 92:19 96:23 97:16 98:19 150:5 Investigate 91:5 166:416 189:13 150:5 Investigate 91:5 92:19 96:23 97:16 169:13 Investigate 91:5 92:19 96:23 97:16 169:13 investigation 76:23 77:19 156:9 Investor 16:9 Investigate 91:5 92:19 96:23 97:16 98:19 150:5 Investigate 91:5 92:19 96:23 97:16 Investigate 91:5 92:19 96:23 97:16 Investigate 91:5 92:19 96:23 97:16 Investigate 91:5 165:4 Investigate 91:5 92:19 96:23 97:16 Investigate 91:5 92:19 96:23 97:16 Investigate 91:5 Investigate	IRH-CWD-243
28:4,9,13,16 29:19 60:12 95:3, 10,11,12,21,24 42:7 68:22 78:17 165:47 57:17 59:20 62:18 97:18 102:3 97:19 90:2,17 145:25 150:23 151:16,25 154:2, 151:16,25 154:1, 151:16,25 154:1, 151:16,25 154:1, 151:16,25 154:1, 151:16,25 154:1, 151:16,25 154:1, 151:16,25 154:2, 151:16,25 154:2, 151:16,25 154:2, 151:16,25 154:2, 151:16,25 154:2, 151:16,25 154:2, 151:16,25 154:2, 151:16,25 154:2, 151:16,25 154:2, 151:16,25 154:2, 151:16,25 154:2, 151:16,25 154:2, 151:16,25 154:2, 151:16,25 154:2, 151:16,25 154:2, 151:16,25 154:2, 151:16,25 154:2, 151:16,25 154:2, 151:16,25 154:1, 151:16,25 154:2, 151:16,25 154:1, 151:16,25 154:	<b>IRH-CWD-242</b> 16:9 107:17
28:4,9,13,16 29:19 60:12 95:3, 10,11,12,21,24 42:7 68:22 78:17 79:2,22 80:6 57:17 59:20 62:18 97:18 102:3 97:1 99:2,17 145:25 150:23 Insulated 144:14 151:16,25 154:2, 10 156:13,18 Insurance 15:12, 13,18 16:5 17:3 18:10,24 19:1 23:25 24:18 25:4 30:6 31:8 33:18 34:9 35:17 37:24 38:4 95:17 37:24 38:4 95:17 37:24 38:2 91:13 95:4 103:1 113:12 39:2 176:25 77:11,22 79:3,23 80:2 81:2,6 87:18 80:2 91:13 95:4 103:1 113:12 139:18 142:142,23 143:6,15,16 144:1,5,15 146:11,25 147:13, 14,25 155:9,11, 16,18,22 156:6 158:4,7,15 159:4 168:3,7 169:15 170:4 168:42:1 44:7,19 179:10,18,20 80:7 180:14 14:18,24 164:21 165:2,6 167:17 168:3,7 169:15 170:4 181-02-05 164:10,19 17:6,10 170:10 170:10 170:10 170:10 170:10 170:10 170:10 170:10 170:10 170:10	<b>IRH-CWD-239</b> 16:6 107:16
28:4,9,13,16 29:19 60:12 95:3, 10,11,12,21,24 42:7 68:22 78:17 79:2,22 80:6 57:17 59:20 62:18 97:18 102:3 97:1 99:2,17 145:25 150:23 insulated 144:14 151:6,25 154:2, 10 156:13,18 16:10 156:13,18 16:10 156:13,18 18:10,24 19:1 23:25 24:18 25:4 30:6 31:8 33:18 34:9 35:17 37:24 38:14 55:21 59:5 60:25 65:19 66:9 77:11,22 79:3,23 80:2 81:2,6 87:18 88:2 91:13 95:4 103:1 113:12 139:18 142:18,23 143:6,15,16 144:1,5,15 146:11,25 147:13, 14,25 155:9,11, 16,18,22 156:6 158:4,7,15 159:4 160:8,18,23,24,25 160:25 61:10 63:4 160:8,18,23,24,25 160:15 170:4 169:15 170:4 169:15 170:4 169:16 20 169:17 169:13 170:11 102:3 170:11	<b>IRH-CWD-238</b> 16:3
28:4,9,13,16 29:19 60:12 95:3, 10,11,12,21,24  insufficient 79:2,22 80:6 57:17 59:20 62:18 97:18 102:3 97:1 99:2,17  insulated 144:14 10 156:13,18 10:10,24 19:1 23:25 24:18 25:4 30:6 31:8 33:18 34:9 35:17 37:24 38:14 55:21 59:5 60:25 65:19 66:9 77:11,22 79:3,23 88:2 91:13 95:4 103:1 113:12 139:18 142:18,23 143:6,15,16 144:1,5,15 144:1,5,15 16,18,22 156:6 158:4,7,15 159:4 169:15 170:4 169:17 170:8 100:11 107:8 100:11 107:8 100:11 107:8 100:11 107:8 100:11 107:8 100:11 107:9 100:11 107:9 100:11 107:9 100:11 107:9 100:11 107:9 100:11 107:9 100:11 107:9 100:11 107:9 100:11 107:9 100:11 105:13, 150:14 151:10,11,12,13, 10,18 62:24 63:3, 7,25 76:20,25 158:4,7,15 159:4 107:9 107:9  107:9  108:14:14,15,15 10,18,23,24,25 107:25 108:10,18 107:9 107:9  108:14:12,24 145:3, 153:7 154:1,5,10, 107:9	<b>IRH-CWD-237</b> 15:23
28:4,9,13,16 29:19 60:12 95:3, 10,11,12,21,24	<b>IRH-CWD-236</b> 15:20
28:4,9,13,16 29:19 60:12 95:3, 10,11,12,21,24 insufficient 79:2,22 80:6 57:17 59:20 62:18 97:18 102:3 97:19 99:2,17 insulated 144:14 insurance 15:12, 13,18 16:5 17:3 18:10,24 19:1 23:25 24:18 25:4 30:6 31:8 33:18 34:9 35:17 37:24 38:14 55:21 59:5 60:25 65:19 66:9 75:21 76:25 77:11,22 79:3,23 80:2 81:2,6 87:18 88:2 91:13 95:4 103:1 113:12 139:18 142:18,23 143:6,15,16 144:1,5,15 146:11,25 147:13, 14,25 155:9,11, 16,18,22 156:6 158:4,7,15 159:4 160:3,18,23,24,25 162:7 163:4 103:14 143:11,16, 20 144:21 145:1 151:6,12 164:23 165:4 Invest 161:25 investigate 91:5 92:19 96:23 97:16 98:19 150:5 investigation 76:23 77:19 156:9 Investor 16:9 invoked 46:8 invoked 46:8 invoked 46:8 invoked 46:8 invoked 46:8 invoked 46:8 involve 38:13 143:12 167:1 IRH-245 107:17 IRH-CDI-001 26:1 27:5,10 109:18 IRH-CDI-002 25:18 27:11 105:24 IRH-CDI-003 26:15 27:6,12,25 105:24	1 <b>RH-CWD-235</b> 15:18
28:4,9,13,16 29:19 60:12 95:3, 10,11,12,21,24  insufficient 57:17 59:20 62:18 97:18 102:3 97:1 99:2,17  insulated 144:14 insurance 15:12, 13,18 16:5 17:3 18:10,24 19:1 23:25 24:18 25:4 30:6 31:8 33:18 34:9 35:17 37:24 38:14 55:21 59:5 60:25 65:19 66:9 75:21 76:25 77:11,22 79:3,23 80:2 81:2,6 87:18 88:2 91:13 95:4 103:1 113:12 139:18 142:18,23 143:6,15,16 144:1,5,15 146:11,25 147:13, 14,25 155:9,11, 16,18,22 156:6 158:4,7,15 159:4	<b>IRH-CWD-234</b> 15:12
28:4,9,13,16 29:19 60:12 95:3, 10,11,12,21,24  insufficient 79:2,22 80:6 57:17 59:20 62:18 97:18 102:3 97:1 99:2,17 145:25 150:23 insulated 144:14 insurance 15:12, 13,18 16:5 17:3 18:10,24 19:1 23:25 24:18 25:4 30:6 31:8 33:18 34:9 35:17 37:24 38:14 55:21 59:5 60:25 65:19 66:9 75:21 76:25 77:11,22 79:3,23 80:2 81:2,6 87:18 88:2 91:13 95:4 103:1 113:12 139:18 142:18,23 143:6,15,16 144:1,5,15 146:11,25 147:13, 142:5 155:9 11	<b>IRH-CWD-233</b> 15:10
28:4,9,13,16 29:19 60:12 95:3, 10,11,12,21,24	<b>IRH-CWD-232</b> 15:9
28:4,9,13,16 29:19 60:12 95:3, 10,11,12,21,24  insufficient 57:17 59:20 62:18 97:18 102:3 97:1 99:2,17  insulated 144:14 insurance 15:12, 13,18 16:5 17:3 18:10,24 19:1 23:25 24:18 25:4 30:6 31:8 33:18 34:9 35:17 37:24 36:2 81:2,6 87:18 88:2 91:13 95:4 10,11,12,21,24  interest 32:4,19 42:7 68:22 78:17 151:16,25 18:17 151:16,12 164:23 165:4  Invest 161:25 Investigate 91:5 92:19 96:23 97:16 98:19 150:5  investigation 76:23 77:19 156:9 Investor 16:9 Investor 16:9 Invoked 46:8 Invoked 46:8 Invoked 46:8 Invokes 150:24  Investor 16:9 Investor 16:9 Invoked 46:8 Invoked 46:8 Invoked 46:8 Invoked 46:8 Invoked 149:11 Invoked 149:11 Involved 149:11	<b>IRH-CWD-231</b> 15:8
28:4,9,13,16 29:19 60:12 95:3, 10,11,12,21,24  insufficient 57:17 59:20 62:18 97:18 102:3 97:1 99:2,17  insulated 144:14 insurance 15:12, 13,18 16:5 17:3 18:10,24 19:1 23:25 24:18 25:4 30:6 31:8 33:18 34:9 35:17 37:24 38:14 55:21 59:5 60:25 65:19 66:9 77:11,22 79:3,23  interest 32:4,19 42:7 68:22 78:17 79:2,22 80:6 97:18 102:3 165:4  Invest 161:25 Investigate 91:5 92:19 96:23 97:16 98:19 150:5  investigation 76:23 77:19 156:9 Investor 16:9 Invoked 46:8 invoked 46:8 invokes 150:24 interim 14:11,14, 16,19 17:6,10 24:14 27:23  143:12 167:1	18H-CWD-230 15:7 107:14
28:4,9,13,16 29:19 60:12 95:3, 10,11,12,21,24 insufficient 57:17 59:20 62:18 97:1 99:2,17 insulated 144:14 insurance 15:12, 13,18 16:5 17:3 18:10,24 19:1 23:25 24:18 25:4 30:6 31:8 33:18 34:9 35:17 37:24 38:14 55:21 59:5 60:25 65:19 66:9	18H-CWD-227 15:5 108:21
28:4,9,13,16 137:19 invalid 143:11,16, 29:19 60:12 95:3, 10,11,12,21,24 42:7 68:22 78:17 151:6,12 164:23 165:4 165:4 165:4 165:4 179:2,22 80:6 97:18 102:3 Invest 161:25 150:23 151:16,25 154:2, 10 156:13,18 16:5 17:3 168:17 169:13 investigate 91:5 18:10,24 19:1 23:25 24:18 25:4 30:6 31:8 33:18 investigate 16:9 investigate 16:9 investigate 16:9 investigate 16:9	15:3 107:13
28:4,9,13,16 29:19 60:12 95:3, 10,11,12,21,24 insufficient 57:17 59:20 62:18 97:1 99:2,17 insulated 144:14 insurance 15:12, 13,18 16:5 17:3 18:10,24 19:1 23:25 24:18 25:4  invalid 143:11,16, 20 144:21 145:1 151:6,12 164:23 165:4  Invest 161:25 Investigate 91:5 92:19 96:23 97:16 98:19 150:5  investigation 76:23 77:19 156:9	14:22 107:12 IRH-CWD-226
28:4,9,13,16 137:19 invalid 143:11,16, 29:19 60:12 95:3, 10,11,12,21,24 42:7 68:22 78:17 insufficient 79:2,22 80:6 57:17 59:20 62:18 97:18 102:3 Invest 161:25 97:1 99:2,17 145:25 150:23 insulated 144:14 151:15:16,12 143:11 insurance 15:12, 158:11 159:7,22 invalid 143:11,16, 20 144:21 145:1 151:6,12 164:23 165:4 Invest 161:25 92:19 96:23 97:16 98:19 150:5	IRH-CWD-225
28:4,9,13,16 29:19 60:12 95:3, 10,11,12,21,24 insufficient 57:17 59:20 62:18 97:1 99:2,17 insulated 144:14  137:19 invalid 143:11,16, 20 144:21 145:1 151:6,12 164:23 165:4 Invest 161:25 investigate 91:5 92:19 96:23 97:16	IRH-CWD-223 14:20 107:11
28:4,9,13,16 137:19 invalid 143:11,16, 29:19 60:12 95:3, 10,11,12,21,24 insufficient 79:2,22 80:6 57:17 59:20 62:18 97:18 102:3 invalid 143:11,16, 20 144:21 145:1 151:6,12 164:23 165:4 invest 161:25	IRH-CWD-222 14:18
28:4,9,13,16 137:19 <b>invalid</b> 143:11,16, 29:19 60:12 95:3, 10,11,12,21,24 <b>interest</b> 32:4,19 42:7 68:22 78:17 20 144:21 145:1 151:6,12 164:23	<b>IRH-CWD-221</b> 14:15
	<b>IRH-CWD-220</b> 14:13
instructions intention 135:16	<b>IRH-CWD-219</b> 14:10
instructed 97:15 150:5 109:5 139:13 instruction 55:6 intent 86:24 introduced	<b>IRH-CWD-218</b> 14:8

<b>IRH-CWD-245</b>	<b>IRH-SFG-114</b>	<b>IRH-SFG-135</b>	<b>IRH-SFG-176</b>
16:14	106:3	109:10	107:7
<b>IRH-CWD-246</b>	IRH-SFG-115	<b>IRH-SFG-136</b>	<b>IRH-SFG-180</b>
16:16	106:4	106:10	114:1
<b>IRH-CWD-247</b>	<b>IRH-SFG-116</b>	<b>IRH-SFG-137</b>	<b>IRH-SFG-181</b>
16:18	106:4	106:10	114:5
IRH-CWD-248	IRH-SFG-117	IRH-SFG-138	IRIS 36:9,11
16:20	106:4	106:10	
			irregular 74:15
<b>IRH-CWD-249</b>	<b>IRH-SFG-118</b>	<b>IRH-SFG-139</b>	irresponsible
16:22	106:4	109:11	85:17
<b>IRH-CWD-250</b>	<b>IRH-SFG-119</b>	<b>IRH-SFG-140</b>	irreversible
16:24 107:18	106:5	106:11	148:23
IRH-CWD-252	IRH-SFG-120	IRH-SFG-141	IRS 161:24
17:3 107:18	106:6	106:11	
IRH-CWD-253 17:4	<b>IRH-SFG-121</b> 106:6	<b>IRH-SFG-142</b> 106:12	<b>issue</b> 19:19 39:15 62:8 121:5,18 122:4 123:9
<b>IRH-CWD-254</b>	<b>IRH-SFG-122</b>	<b>IRH-SFG-143</b>	126:17 135:11
17:7	106:7	106:12	136:1 138:18
IRH-SFG-101	<b>IRH-SFG-123</b>	IRH-SFG-144	158:12 161:1
105:25	106:7	106:12	166:22
IRH-SFG-102	IRH-SFG-124	IRH-SFG-145	issued 88:8
105:25	106:7	106:12	issues 20:6,8
<b>IRH-SFG-103</b> 109:19	<b>IRH-SFG-125</b> 106:7	<b>IRH-SFG-146</b> 106:13	39:25 40:18 127:5,18 141:4 142:22 143:1
<b>IRH-SFG-104</b>	<b>IRH-SFG-126</b>	<b>IRH-SFG-147</b>	152:10,11 167:20 <b>items</b> 66:22
106:1	106:8	106:16	
IRH-SFG-105	IRH-SFG-127	IRH-SFG-162	
106:1	106:8	106:16	
IRH-SFG-106	IRH-SFG-128	IRH-SFG-163	J
106:1	106:8	109:12	January 31:12
IRH-SFG-107	IRH-SFG-129	IRH-SFG-164	52:8 90:1,3,4,7,10
	106:8	109:13	97:21 98:11
IRH-SFG-108	IRH-SFG-130	IRH-SFG-167	<b>Jean</b> 15:20
106:2	106:9	107:4	<b>job</b> 110:7 134:19
IRH-SFG-109	<b>IRH-SFG-131</b>	<b>IRH-SFG-168</b>	147:14
106:2	106:9	107:5	<b>Johannah</b> 105:10
IRH-SFG-110	IRH-SFG-132	IRH-SFG-169	<b>join</b> 64:10 110:11
109:7	106:9	109:14	joint 105:17
IRH-SFG-112	IRH-SFG-133	<b>IRH-SFG-172</b>	112:10
106:3	106:9	107:6	
			<b>Jordan</b> 18:13
<b>IRH-SFG-113</b>	<b>IRH-SFG-134</b>	<b>IRH-SFG-175</b>	Journal 15:21
109:9	106:10	109:20	

**Juan** 16:9 lack 72:23 **letter** 14:4,8,13, 112:15 135:17 15,18,22 15:3,5 152:8 Judge 18:6 23:10 land 133:25 118:22 147:19,22 35:7 long-term 149:6, **landing** 31:19 level 15:16 31:7 25 153:14 July 15:11 78:4 33:3 45:9 57:24 **longer** 91:17 **Lara** 14:5,8,16,18 159:24 jump 117:1 102:18 113:11 147:18 127:25 levels 25:9 33:11 looked 27:22 June 26:20 27:21 large 25:3 leverage 54:18 41:23 44:10 45:7 31:15 51:12 60:24 55:1,5,14,15 61:3 74:5 149:13 largely 19:23 98:22 liability 99:25 **Los** 157:18,24 largest 145:14,15 **justice** 145:25 162:19 166:19,24 150:23,24 151:16, late 139:9 **light** 162:19 25 156:18 158:11 lose 158:3 160:23 Latimer 14:22 lights 146:17 164:16 168:17 162:7 15:3 169:14 limine 19:20 losing 64:25 latitude 48:25 112:3 117:12 justified 141:13, **loss** 94:6,10,13 119:7,14,15,19 21 142:19 146:23 law 18:6 20:16 125:17 97:11,22 98:1,11 149:24 23:10 48:22 166:4 limit 117:25 128:24 136:23 justify 144:12 134:17 138:2.3 losses 98:11 141:14 142:1,18 148:21 150:19,25 151:1,2 **limited** 14:23 15:4 lot 111:24 120:1 152:25 33:25 126:19 155:21 Κ lawful 165:11,14 line-by-line 121:6 lots 134:22 Karl-fredric 18:5 lawyer 104:2 lines 33:5 36:6 Lovells 18:14,16, 59:24,25 76:15 lay 22:10 18 Katherine 18:15 81:18 85:19 **laying** 64:15 **low** 33:4 45:9 keeping 20:21 88:14,19 100:1, 143:23 148:14,15 146:17 155:6 10.12 121:5 lead 80:9,24 161:11 lower 76:11 144:7 **key** 16:10 36:22 leading 65:1 167:21 list 52:22 61:1 Lucy 14:13,21 67:11 105:4,17 107:23 kind 19:18 20:17 learned 29:1 **Lumber** 16:12 113:22,23 122:5 83:1 96:15 123:3 lunch 91:18 leave 145:4 148:22 listed 108:11 102:23,25 103:5 led 105:1 163:16 kinds 104:5 lists 104:16 left 38:6 129:19 Kirkpatrick 14:9 literally 130:16 М 130:24 kitchen 146:9 litigation 164:1 **legal** 64:9 68:18 Maddigan 18:17, knowledge 32:12 living 145:8 72:24 141:19 18 104:25 111:18 50:17 51:19,22 142:20 143:9 113:18 115:8,19, load 44:12,18 67:25 162:15 145:2 148:12 25 116:6,11,22 locate 85:9 156:16 124:11 L lodge 112:2 139:8 legalese 74:6 made 14:9 20:20 43:13 44:15 45:22 lodged 111:11 legally 141:21 **LA** 31:12 44:16 91:2 94:5 97:9 46:13 52:8,12 legislature 143:5 **lodging** 117:18 152:13 161:10 145:16 158:13 166:1,5,23 long 21:18 76:12

Index: main..misspoke

**main** 32:22 market 16:16,18, 56:20,22 58:4 **mention** 117:17 147:14 20 34:17 142:8, 60:9 62:12 64:4, 122:19 10 65:8 66:16 13,23 144:13 **maintain** 79:2.22 mentioned 22:24 145:13 146:5,25 67:4 68:2,9,15,20 36:6 50:14 78:1 80:16 81:5 87:17 147:3 155:8,14,18 70:1,3,21 71:9 88:1 165:1 111:8 160:18 162:16,23 72:2,22 73:3,10 maintained 164:7,21 167:17 74:9 75:8 77:13 met 105:1 141:19 120:20 169:15 80:12 82:3 83:10, 164:18 165:4 17,20 84:3,5,14 maintaining market's 146:21 methodology 85:5 87:19 91:16 147:16 155:8 31:24 95:5,14 97:7 98:3 marketplace 33:17,20 34:10 maintains 85:15 99:3,11 102:7,10, mic 24:3 103:9,11 14 103:12 104:1,9 143:13 major 145:7 166:6 Michael 18:18 110:3,5,14 111:19 material 16:10 112:2,12,18 113:2 microphone make 21:5,23 25:6 106:19 114:10 116:18 22:10,17,22 23:25 97:24 130:15 132:12 123:5,14 124:3,6 24:7 31:17,24 middle 140:13.14 127:17 128:9,18 materials 25:16 32:5 104:17 129:7,16,22 26:13,15 27:13, million 33:12,15 113:24 116:2 130:7,18 132:23 117:13 120:12,13 18,25 48:10 49:5,6,18, 121:21,23 123:19 133:2,7 134:2,16 19 50:6 52:15 **math** 87:13 138:4 140:11,14 136:25 137:25 74:7 78:3 88:4,5,6 163:12 153:4 139:7,9 140:21 93:9.18 100:23 150:16,18 152:15 matter 16:15 18:7, 101:5,8,10,12,13, means 33:14 155:22 162:14 9 24:15 26:2,8 15,17 157:18 125:24 144:25 27:20 70:17 159:15.18 160:2 146:9 162:25 makes 106:14 102:12,25 112:11 168:20,22 165:1 113:12,21 114:4, **making** 20:25 **Millions** 142:15 meant 35:4 88:24 30:10 39:7 116:4 7,24 117:24 127:19 132:6 mind 86:3 126:15 meantime 153:22 manage 96:6 133:24 139:19 129:6 132:18 mindful 20:21 measure 31:17 147:19 152:21 73:9 170:3 35:25 36:1,3,9,12 manageable 77:10,25 78:6,7, mines 128:16 134:19 **matters** 24:2,8 11 131:2 120:5 122:14 **minimize** 167:20 management measures 76:22 25:2,5 38:7 85:16 125:15,16 129:15 **minimum** 47:12 159:18 140:6 169:24 77:18,20 62:4 144:8,21,24 mechanics **maximum** 41:19 mandatory 167:8 126:2,4 42:12 44:25 45:11 127:10 minute 65:4 112:9 46:19 47:7 51:16 medication manner 20:20 61:19,22 62:3,6 minutes 65:12.13 146:16 60:5 84:22 125:25 79:2,22 81:5 96:12 102:7 113:7 meet 123:6 87:17 88:1 91:15 **March** 66:10 116:10 139:15 125:21 129:22,24 92:23 166:8 167:8 81:18 85:19 86:8. missed 111:24 130:9 151:20 17 89:10 114:6 Mckennedv 115:5,6,7,8 missing 83:9 Meeting 14:11 18:22,23 19:14,15 118:18,22 111:16 114:22 22:15 23:15 24:6 meetings 41:1 115:21 116:11 27:10,16,17 37:16 **mark** 112:16 131:5 39:8,17 40:2,12, memorized 54:24 **marked** 25:18 21 42:8 43:12 misspoke 85:12 memory 68:6 26:1,14 116:15 48:18 49:21 50:19

Index: Misstates..objections

**Misstates** 50:19 56:23 58:4 66:17 72:2 75:8 87:19 98:3

misstating 43:16

mistake 166:5

mistakes 166:1

misunderstood 122:5 129:23

model 166:14

**modeling** 25:1 38:5

moderate 22:23

moment 42:19 82:18 115:17 135:5 169:10

**Monday** 133:3

**money** 101:21 148:19 149:22 150:19 152:6

Montgomery

18:25 22:3 82:6, 14,17 83:3 133:14

month 146:11,13

monthly 146:10

**months** 90:1 93:25 94:2 153:13,23

morning 18:5,17, 22 19:4,6,8,12 23:16 37:12,13 122:6

mortgages 142:24 162:6

motion 19:20 20:7,14 96:2 112:3 117:15,25 118:6,24 119:4,8, 15,20 122:19,23 125:5,6,16 129:16 138:12

**motions** 119:14 126:18

**move** 26:23 41:3 107:3 128:8 135:16

**moved** 38:4 43:9 109:22 111:7

movement 117:22

**moving** 41:12 96:9,16 105:25

mudslide 145:7

multi 99:24

multi- 99:24

**multiple** 16:17, 19,21 60:8 147:6 166:1

Murphy's 136:23

mush 157:8

mutual 48:10 49:18 56:10,17 58:1,22 59:4,14 100:23 101:3,8 140:11 159:14 162:13 168:21

myopically 165:8

Ν

**NAHB** 16:12

**NAIC** 25:10 95:3, 11,22,23

Nancy 117:25

narrative 43:8 47:18

**narrowly** 154:25 155:1

National 16:11

**nature** 127:11

navigate 57:9

navigated 19:19

necessarily

127:3

needed 31:9 52:18 149:9 163:23

needing 142:25

**negative** 15:19 111:22 144:24 161:25 166:9

negatively 160:16

net 33:8 166:15

**newly** 38:5

nice 126:20

night 134:24,25

Nikki 18:23

nitpick 131:3

non-cat 167:2

non-provision 64:25

**non-renew** 75:19 86:10 87:9 89:15

non-renewals

86:15 87:4 89:13, 22 90:1,22 91:6 92:20

non-renewed 33:23 86:8 87:8

nonaffiliated 162:22 163:4

Nonpayment 89:20

nonrenewable 85:18 147:11

nonrenewal

81:17 86:16 87:4, 12,16,25 88:18,24 89:4 90:6,9 91:4 147:11

**Nos** 103:3 108:13 113:14

**note** 36:14 49:5, 19,20 50:7 58:13 74:19 76:21 77:18 100:24 101:8,10, 16 107:23 117:16 126:14 159:15,19 160:2 168:20,23

noted 20:6 96:4

**notes** 31:18 48:10 78:4 101:5 105:13

**notice** 104:19 111:16 135:11 136:1 152:22

noticed 152:22

number 27:9 29:7 45:15 48:5 65:5 81:13 82:8 83:24 85:3 88:9 101:12 108:19,23 125:7 134:17 139:20 149:13,18

numbers 65:20 72:20 74:6 75:6 83:20 96:2 106:15 108:25 149:3,13 152:4 170:6

0

oath 23:4 65:11, 25

**object** 21:22 74:14 86:18 108:3 109:3 114:25

**objecting** 21:3 140:20

objection 19:19, 22 21:8 22:21 39:8 42:8,22 43:13 48:18 49:21 50:19 56:20,22 58:4 60:9 62:12 64:8 66:16 67:4 68:2,15 72:2 77:13 80:12 84:14 91:19 95:14 98:3 109:17 125:13,14

**objections** 15:11 17:5 19:21 21:5 26:22 68:13,14 73:12 108:5 109:3

Index: objective..past

114:12 118:18,21 120:7 153:8 154:5 156:15 161:4 objective 158:14 obtain 159:13 160:8 163:23 168:20 obtaining 163:25 occurs 162:6 offense 29:6 offer 19:16 20:15 30:21 101:11,14 109:2 113:17 124:21 **offered** 48:19 64:6,17 offering 31:18 33:18 offers 33:25 118:9 office 34:12 130:5 officer 38:7 Official 115:3 one-by-one 50:3 one-sided 147:5 148:6 one-to-one 33:7, 9 36:5 ongoing 81:17 85:18 88:9 91:3 138:12 oops 101:4 open 135:23 145:10 162:23 opening 34:14 operate 129:2

opinion 24:16

36:10 42:11

27:14 33:2 34:18

44:18,21,24 46:3,

17 47:4,6 51:23

52:6 59:21 62:24

77:6,8,9,20 87:15

92:16,22,25 100:22 101:15 opinions 27:19 opportunity 73:7 127:16 138:22 154:4 160:19 169:5 opposed 49:6 opposition 17:9 20:7 112:3 117:20 119:6 122:8

opposition 17:9
20:7 112:3 117:20
119:6 122:8

option 127:23

options 79:3,23
81:6 87:18 88:2

order 16:14 27:24
29:15 36:21 42:11

29:15 36:21 42:11 57:23 79:2,22 80:16 92:18 104:24 106:20 112:22 124:11,18 125:3,12 128:13 131:14 140:10 155:9

ordered 31:21 42:2 148:14,15

**orders** 123:24 131:19

organization 25:6 38:8

original 51:12 63:12,20 66:8 69:23 71:5,10 78:21 79:15,20 83:1 86:25 125:5

originally 31:5 70:8

outcome 168:1 outset 60:23

outstanding 103:15 104:18 126:1 129:15

**overlap** 118:25 120:3

overrule 91:25

**overruled** 41:5 50:23 58:7 77:14 86:20 87:22 95:16 97:8 98:6

overruling 80:17

oversee 23:23

overseeing 38:7

overview 25:22

owned 59:13

owners 23:24

Ρ

**PA-024-00012** 170:6

**PA-2015-00004** 16:15

**PA-2024-00011** 18:10 65:20 103:3 113:14 170:6

**PA-2024-00013** 170:7

**PA-2024-0011** 139:20

**paid** 62:19 157:20 161:22 163:11

Pamela 19:7

**paper** 111:24 113:5 114:19 134:23

papers 25:14 112:22

parade 142:21

paragraph 46:2 57:4,12,20 78:20, 25 81:9,15,20 83:25 84:3,8,24 85:1,2,3,13,14 86:4,13 87:7 88:13 89:21 90:16,18 91:1 92:7 93:2,3 100:4

paragraphs 129:18 parallels 34:3

**parent** 31:18 49:4, 17 56:10 58:12,22 100:22 101:17 152:6 159:14

parental 152:5

parol 99:24

part 15:9 16:22,24 26:10 29:3 30:9 40:19 42:1,16 43:15 48:19 57:3 76:23 86:24 87:11 96:23 99:20 109:1,3 112:3,4 118:3 119:15 123:22 130:12 148:8 150:6 155:6 156:9 168:10

Partial 113:21 partially 155:4

parties 20:24
48:21 66:11 67:2
71:6 88:17 105:1,
22 107:1,23,24
108:3,12,22
109:1,16,23 110:8
111:22 113:19
120:20,25 123:6
125:23 126:3
129:5 132:20
134:17 135:14
138:9 139:24
140:12 141:2
152:23 158:9
161:2 169:5,21

**parties'** 69:14 72:9 105:16 107:21 108:21

**parts** 21:2 119:11 125:12,19 126:7 130:14 166:14

**party** 61:9 112:9 140:20

pass 56:5,7

**past** 61:14 157:19 163:16,24 164:6

Index: patience..premium

patience 74:18 144:8 167:8 playing 58:2 88:16 113:15 plays 136:8 portions 123:7 **person** 14:23 pattern 167:9 140:13,14 126:16 129:25 plenty 161:15 130:9.10 pause 22:20 personal 23:24 Pletcher 19:4,5 86:15 poses 146:6 personally 60:15 53:14 82:19,21,24 pay 52:7,9 62:24 83:1 86:18 102:19 position 15:19 perspective 47:3 150:21 156:13 104:13 110:11,15 23:19 30:18 146:21,22 31:13,14,15 33:4 114:11,24 115:4, **paying** 39:23 36:15 38:2 46:23 pertaining 118:1 6,10 116:3 121:3 52:13 146:16 139:19 122:3,15 125:19 78:2 80:5 94:1 PD 128:23.24 127:22 128:5 120:19 121:13 **phase** 135:21 142:13 167:7 130:5 140:18,24 169:19 **PDF** 15:15 54:25 Pletcher's 53:17 **positions** 38:3,19 phonetic 54:25 169:6 Plug 15:22 penalty 64:20 99:25 possibility 63:10 **point** 41:4 43:23 pending 165:3 **phrase** 79:16 75:23 76:24 71:2,4 73:8 74:21 **people** 96:14 pick 43:17 47:21 76:8 83:19 114:18 **possibly** 165:16 120:13 127:20 125:4 130:16 picked 74:6 129:10 138:5 post-hearing 147:20 158:3.14 **piece** 21:24 pointing 116:3 127:2,4 165:19 130:24 131:5 points 21:20 **posted** 108:15 36:22 127:9 percent 74:7,10 piece-by-piece potential 90:21 163:16 75:20,25 76:4 49:8 91:3 94:6 77:21 78:3 80:4,9, policies 88:4 **pieces** 75:12 potentially 33:23 24 81:5 86:25 142:25 147:11 98:14 111:23 34:6 160:7 87:2 90:19,20 125:9 91:2,12 92:13,23 policy 33:25 34:3, **powers** 143:7 93:8,17 94:16 6 80:11,23,25 **place** 155:8 156:2 159:11 88:5,7 144:16 practice 15:23 167:23 160:1,10 165:14, 16:3,6 24:25 54:2, plain 161:7 166:5 policyholders 17 166:9 168:24 10,14,22 138:13 32:4 33:18 62:10, **plainly** 141:25 perform 41:15 19,24 63:2,14,24 precludes 147:23 143:11,16,20 42:12 44:24 45:13 75:18,23,24 76:2, precluding 119:9 144:21 151:6,11 7 77:12,22 80:23 performed 44:24 164:12,18,23,25 86:9,10 87:8,10, predatory 164:8 48:7,8 50:1,5 165:4 11,16 88:1,10 preference Peril 16:17,19,21 89:16 146:6,7 **plan** 19:14 30:1,3 140:10,18 147:6 155:23 31:9 33:24.25 period 60:21 61:6 156:3,14 160:7, 158:5 160:8,25 prehearing 136:13 164:3 17,23 162:6,19 117:12 planned 37:14 164:20 167:17 **periods** 147:12 preliminarily 169:15 planning 36:23 perjury 64:21 64:12 40:24 117:5 pondering **permits** 164:14 128:25 138:7 preliminary 135:17 140:17 44:16 96:22 97:10 permitted 41:19 poor 46:23,24 98:19 99:19 150:4 42:13 45:1,11 **plans** 29:14 166:23 167:6 portfolios 33:6 46:19 47:7,12 play 20:17 58:23 51:16 61:19,22 **premium** 42:13 portion 25:3 136:18 62:3,4 92:24 45:12 55:16 62:3

93:4,5,7,9,11,14, 22 94:9 144:8 158:5 premium-to**surplus** 33:6 36:5 premiums 62:20 157:21 161:23 163:11 premonition 139:3 preparation 41:12 prepared 20:19 105:4,5,8 preparing 41:14 60:25 present 141:4 167:19 169:5 presentation 20:25 22:19 135:21 169:19 presented 14:6 144:17 164:8 168:15 169:7 **preserve** 118:12 125:18 165:5 preserves 109:17 preserving 120:7 presiding 18:6 **Pressley** 19:6,7 20:4,11 21:4,13, 21 27:2 37:5,7,10, 18 39:13,14,20 40:16,24 41:3,8 42:10,25 43:1,10, 21 44:1 48:1.24 49:9,14,25 50:3,4, 21 51:1 53:13,19 56:24 57:1 58:6,8, 20 60:18 62:14

64:14,16 65:4,7,

18 68:7,11,25

22 66:1,24 67:10,

69:1,5,6 71:19,20

72:4,6 73:11,18,

20,21 74:13,17,

19,22 75:13,14 76:18,19 77:16 80:14,20,21 81:24 82:9,15,23,25 83:7,13,22 84:7, 17,24 85:1,11 86:22 87:24 91:20,21,24 92:1, 4,7,11,15 95:6,18 96:1,7,8,10,17 97:19 98:8 99:5,7, 12,15 101:25 111:9 115:5 116:20 122:18.22. 24 123:25 124:10, 14,15 125:4 126:9,13 129:8 130:8,25 131:5 132:11 133:10,21 134:4,12 136:25 137:3,12 pressures 142:8 pretty 21:9 22:9 126:24 127:19 prevent 90:8 148:22 151:14.15 159:1 169:12 previous 28:23 78:1 111:25 previously 26:2 36:5 **price** 155:13 156:5,6 162:23 pricing 25:1 164:8 primary 147:16, 20 155:2,5 principle 56:6 Principles 55:21, 24 56:2 **printing** 113:3,11 printout 115:8 prior 37:23 39:5 67:10 72:9 144:6,

22 148:3

privileged 39:10 problem 21:11 41:5 52:14 121:18 125:20 126:1.8 132:10 137:18 149:18 156:20 **problems** 143:3,4 153:20 155:17 166:10 procedure 136:4 proceed 20:5 37:6 99:14 103:9, 10,12 105:12 151:5 152:21 proceeding 28:8 39:11,21 40:6 114:6 120:12 135:8 138:12 143:19 149:14 161:9 166:21 169:19 proceedings 14:3,10 38:17,20 111:25 113:20,22 114:2 142:2 144:15 167:12 170:2 process 29:3 32:1 34:13 40:23 76:24 91:7 96:24 130:20 132:5 133:20 136:13,18 141:8,9 150:7 154:2 156:10 processes 143:18 produced 15:10 23:9 professional 41:10 **proffer** 120:23 proffered 40:14 71:3 Profit 16:3

87:4,12 90:10 91:4 **programs** 88:18, 24 89:4 90:6 progress 36:13 86:9 87:9 prohibition 89:21 prohibits 89:3 143:22 project 25:9 **promise** 129:1 136:22 150:20 **prone** 33:5 proof 19:16 20:16 76:23 109:2 118:9 130:5 156:9 **Prop** 23:25 30:24 35:20 154:14 158:20.23 property 24:21 54:7 55:21 95:3 149:12 163:2 Property/ casualty 15:24 16:5.7 proponent 149:2 proponents 151:18 proposal 149:4 propose 154:9 proposed 16:14 63:11.19 75:21 105:17 107:25 108:10,18 123:10 152:20 **Proposition** 141:7 142:17 143:21 144:6 147:22 148:20 150:20 152:17 158:13,15 164:25 165:20 168:2 prospective 15:24 16:7 54:7

program 81:17

85:18,25 86:16

**protect** 147:14 **put** 23:3 63:16 157:15 143:8,15 144:7, 148:21 155:2,5 67:17 137:20 21,23,24,25 145:4,5,12,19 R Protection **putting** 40:19 146:5,22 148:2,6 142:18 149:4,5,17,23,25 raise 154:5 prove 78:9 141:22 Q 150:6,11,13 142:2,7,18 151:3,5,6,7,11 raised 43:6 152:7,9,11,13,14, **Q1** 31:22 42:3,17 151:22 154:11 **proves** 155:25 20 153:6,7,13 45:18 156:1 raises 152:10 154:1,2,6,10,13, qualifies 46:3 20 155:22 156:1, **provide** 24:16,19 raising 80:8,22 30:17 47:18 51:2 2,8,10,11,14 **qualify** 145:16 81:4 94:21 158:8,24 159:10, 58:12 59:24 81:25 quantify 25:5 ran 48:13 50:11 12,16,19 160:6,10 119:22 151:10 162:9 163:13,15, quarter 32:8 provided 30:20 16,20,23,25 42:14 44:5 166:17 range 106:15 60:13 82:12 164:2,11,17,19,25 144:3 165:12 167:3 135:25 144:11 165:1,2,3,5,8,12, question 34:14 rate 14:3,12,14, 17 166:8,19 167:1 providing 50:6 40:7 42:21,24 16,19 15:13,16 168:10,11,15,24 56:17 43:2,11,20,25 17:6,10 23:20 169:9,10 **proving** 147:23 47:4,16 49:7,10, 24:14,18 27:24 rate-filing 61:2 11 50:25 54:13 30:9,11,14,19,23 provision 64:24 62:19 63:6 67:16, 31:3,20 32:1,2,3, rate-making provisionally 20 70:5 73:2,12, 6,13,18,20 33:1 30:24 35:12,16,20 153:24 13 77:15 79:11,18 34:11,12,13,20 52:21 53:3,5 55:2 87:23 91:21 92:5 39:6,7 40:6 41:15, 61:20 62:4 provisions 15:23 94:20,23 95:5,17 20,25 42:1,4,5,14, 16:4 35:11 54:20 rated 93:23 98:7 99:5,9,10,13 17 43:3 44:3,7,8, 63:22 114:16 115:9 12,19,22 45:1 ratemaking 16:5 prudent 85:16 123:6,11 128:16 46:9,10,13,19,21 41:16 45:6 47:1 162:17 131:18 135:5 47:3,7 51:2,7,9, 48:6 54:6,16 138:1 141:12 11,14,16,20,24 55:22 143:25 **public** 79:2,22 145:21 156:19 52:8,10,19,23 144:1 154:14,16 80:6,7,15 141:5 167:10 60:4,8,20,25 61:5, 156:20.22 144:16 159:22 8,10,13,14,15,18, questioned 65:3 rates 47:1 51:24 publicly 26:17 19,21,22 62:1,2,6, 57:7,17 59:20 28:1 95:1 154:23 questioning 24 63:3,5,7,9,25 62:18 80:9,23 161:12 21:24 36:21 67:7 65:19 75:20 76:4, 99:2,18 108:16 80:18 96:11 9,11,20,23,25 published 95:3, 142:7 143:16,17, 77:11,18,21,23 11,22 questions 23:2 21,22,24 144:3,20 78:3,9,13 80:3,7, 36:24 37:1,21 147:9,23 148:13, **pull** 83:13 23 81:4 90:19 58:15 67:10 70:25 15,22 149:9,11 91:2,3,5,6,12,15 **Pulled** 15:21 103:15 114:9,11 151:14,15 158:19 92:18,19,24 159:5 163:18 purpose 20:1 quick 102:8 93:17,20,23 94:2, 164:3,11 165:13, 71:12 112:21 138:5 8 96:24 97:2,11, 22 167:8 168:2. 13,16,17 98:21 purposes 96:10 quickly 22:8 13,19,25 100:9 103:1 118:9 135:8 135:16 108:1,10,12,18 rating 25:10,12 114:4 141:7,13, **push** 102:9 quote 81:14 85:1 158:1 160:15 17,18,20,24,25 90:21 92:18 161:24,25 167:16 **pushing** 132:10 142:2,7,9,16 143:25 144:2

Index: ratings..regulator

ratings 15:18 159:2 162:5 169:12

ratio 30:17 33:6 36:5,11 54:18 55:1,5,15 94:10, 16 161:10 166:18

ratios 28:2,21 29:2,10,18 30:5,9, 13,16 35:24 36:9, 13 53:6 57:6,23 94:22,25 95:19,22 96:25 161:5,7,24

**RB** 95:10

**RBC** 19:21 20:8 21:10 25:10 28:2, 4,9,13,15,21,25 29:2,10,14,15,18, 19,21,23 30:1,3,5, 9,13,16,17 35:24 53:6 57:6,23 94:21,25 95:2,19, 21,23 96:3,25 109:17 119:21,23 121:5,10 122:4 123:7 125:16 130:15 161:1,5,7

re-filing 42:4

reached 165:10

read 20:9 72:18 81:14 83:15 85:1 105:5,6 107:21 112:12 131:19 134:25

reading 74:23 75:3

readmission 27:1

**reads** 88:17 89:24 90:14

ready 37:4,5 65:10 103:9,10,12 105:12 139:10,12, 25 157:2

real 102:8 104:3 131:11 157:11 realities 147:4

reality 156:4

realize 120:3 125:2 132:4 135:1

realized 155:20

**realizes** 123:16

rearrange 148:17

reason 43:13 103:19 115:13 138:25 150:14 163:22

reasonable 46:17 47:6 145:24 146:23 150:2,15, 17,18 151:24 156:17 158:11 164:16 168:17 169:9

reasonableness

148:25 152:4 165:15

reasons 32:22 89:19 137:25 144:16 162:10 167:21

rebut 163:6

recall 61:4,7 77:2 100:21 114:17

recap 117:2,13 118:14

receipt 139:22

**receive** 115:12 130:15 132:8,21 135:9

received 14:2 15:2 16:2 17:2 27:4,6,8,15 110:19,24 111:5 116:23 124:23 131:11

receiving 128:2 136:17

recent 52:7 148:20 recess 65:17 102:21,23 139:15, 16

Reciprocal 16:13

recognize 21:15

recollection

50:10

recommend 127:18 158:17 161:17 169:3

recommendation 32:21 154:8,12

recommending 32:6 76:21

record 19:21

20:21 21:2,12,17 22:10 23:17 24:7 26:16 27:8 35:7 43:13 53:17 65:14,16 67:17 73:8 92:18 96:4 102:25 104:20 105:5,7 107:21,25 108:17,20 111:21 112:5,6,13 113:6, 8,10,19 115:13 118:8,24 120:8,12 121:2 130:23 131:24 132:1 133:9 135:12,23, 25 139:17 152:14

recovering 148:19

168:7 170:3,9

redirect 43:17 47:20 74:20 102:6 103:8,13

reduced 164:4

reducing 86:24 165:13

reduction 90:19 91:1

reductions 45:22

refer 64:11 73:4 112:7 143:20 referenced 28:9 46:1

referred 77:17 87:21 96:3

**referring** 55:3 84:17 88:7,11 98:6 115:4

refined 71:11

reflect 53:17 111:21 167:7

reflected 93:24 reflects 107:23

refreshed 166:17

**refund** 42:7 78:17 159:6

refunded 97:18 refunds 32:19 76:24 148:11,18 150:16 154:1,10

regard 21:16 51:2,7 111:17 117:14 118:11 120:10,17

156:13

regret 155:24

regs 151:7

regular 124:19

regularity 159:25 regularly 145:10

regulation 23:20 35:5,18 55:7 156:15 159:17

Regulation's 50:14

regulations 30:24 35:9,20 38:21 39:6 40:20 44:14 51:2,7 52:22 92:24 144:7

151:13 156:20 166:3

regulator 30:7

Index: regulators..reviewed

regulators 36:14 **remedies** 148:13 represent 110:1 respect 40:5 70:23 109:17 121:22 regulatory 136:6 remember 153:5 114:14 representatives 151:17 remind 65:24 153:17 respectfully reinsurance 68:12 152:19 39:7,22,25 40:5, represented reminded 112:24 18,20 151:21 81:18 85:20 88:19 respecting 151:1 160:20 162:13,16, reminder 22:7,20 110:18 respective 43:8 17,22,24 163:9 58:14 request 14:11,14, 109:22 reinsurers reminding 99:7, 17,19 15:3 17:9 **respond** 73:13 162:23,25 163:4 8,17 24:14,18 30:23 31:3.20 32:11.13. responded reinsuring 163:2 rendered 136:1 19 33:1 34:20 119:11,14,24 reiterate 154:8 rendering 169:24 35:1,17,19 42:2 response 23:5 44:8 51:10.20 reject 152:19 **renew** 96:1 67:19 94:22 52:4,10 62:6 63:3 118:3,20 119:13 rejects 165:7 82:7 97:11 104:19 renowned 158:21 123:4 143:5 111:16 123:8 related 42:8 43:13 rental 149:12 136:15 137:3 responses 15:11 54:5 99:9 108:24 141:18 144:12 renters 149:11 responsibility 150:13 153:25 relating 30:18 56:12 60:3 147:20 reopen 135:22 166:21 170:6 79:11 responsible repeat 27:7 42:24 requested 48:11 relation 20:8 59:21 60:7 62:10, 49:11 54:13 56:15 78:9 93:8.17 67:15 91:22 59:9 61:24 63:6, 118:10 141:24 relationship 16 67:17 70:11 rest 65:1,10 requesting 14:23 25:10 72:22 77:15 78:10 restate 93:13 87:23 95:17 requests 145:6 relay 142:15 148:2,4 156:25 repeated 119:1 restore 31:10 relevance 108:8 120:6,11 require 49:18 restricted 134:22 relevant 25:23 75:17 76:6 repeatedly 21:14 39:10 143:1.8 result 93:25 required 30:6,7 158:19 162:12 rephrase 56:25 reliability 167:10 32:2.3 35:18 42:7 62:13 75:19 163:9 49:5 57:18 58:11 relied 25:17 26:13 reply 17:8 122:9 resulting 25:11 100:5,16,17,18 27:13,19 144:12 138:7 141:10 150:8 165:24 retake 65:24 report 16:17,19, requirements Retention 15:24 relief 141:18,19 21 29:21,24 25:11 143:15 145:4,6 16:8 153:25 156:24 reported 86:7 requires 57:22 return 32:3 54:18 87:7 135:13 remain 65:11 63:15 reporter 22:4,5, requiring 131:19 remained 164:7 Reverse 16:10 11,12,23 23:3,5 reserve 127:5 remaining 104:15 review 28:4 41:19 24:3,5 51:5 105:14 109:5 109:21 111:6 45:3 46:6 61:4,13 reserving 25:1 125:11 124:16 139:13 38:4 **remains** 45:17 reviewed 27:19, 135:23 Reporters 115:3 resolve 125:25 21 28:15 60:24 **remarks** 122:2 reports 29:14 resolved 164:2 74:3.4 139:11,23 85:24 145:20 161:8

Index: reviewing..sitting

reviewing 121:15 sensitive 135:17 92:6 103:23 125:7 S 126:14 129:21 revised 16:14 sensitivity 149:2,20 150:3 30:3 105:17 136:12 152:3,10,12 **S&p** 15:18 25:11 revisit 129:13 sentence 100:14 154:14 159:16,18, **S-E-R-F-F** 108:14 23 161:10 166:22 revolve 150:25 separate 71:22 167:4 168:8 sanctions 119:4 **riddled** 165:9 sequence 67:14 **Shaw's** 19:16 satisfactory 43:9 **SERFF** 15:14 riders 23:24 20:8 27:10,11 saves 110:9 129:17 161:4 41:21,22 rights 125:18 126:19 168:4 seriousness risk 15:24 16:8 scare 142:21 She'll 104:5 167:20 25:2,5,6 38:7 54:7 scenario 34:10 **shock** 160:17 146:6,7,18,19 serve 26:7 59:5 48:13 152:1 157:22 **short** 117:13 served 20:7 68:17 158:1 159:4,17 scenarios 33:22 **show** 19:17 45:15 167:15 **set** 61:11 95:2 50:11 144:24 46:12 81:4 141:25 131:9,19 132:22 risk-free 54:8 schedule 15:9 153:10 166:13 136:14 138:3 16:22.24 137:24 risks 142:4 147:6 143:14 167:2 **showing** 44:9,16 170:2 **scheme** 166:15 river 145:8 97:10,20,25 98:9 setbacks 145:13 156:22 166:24 Schwartz 15:8 rodeo 58:18 **shown** 144:22 **setting** 152:16 **scope** 39:17 Rodriguez 22:6 153:11 48:21 68:9 80:13 settled 70:20 role 23:22 58:2,23 86:19,21 **shows** 62:3 141:6 settlement 144:19,20 roll 147:16 scrambling 145:23 146:1,20 113:4 sic 50:9 room 65:2 120:14 147:1 screen 23:1 71:13 settlements side 134:21 roughly 87:10 151:17 search 56:4 sided 164:5 rule 33:9 36:2,4 severity 97:12 42:17 56:17 121:2 **sec** 83:25 **sides** 146:3 129:4 136:23 **SFG** 15:9,10 section 16:24 sideways 36:13 150:25 35:9.12 51:8 SFG-102 82:7 signatures 69:14 ruled 161:3 144:9 146:1 **SFMA-** 15:14 150:23 161:7 **signed** 63:21 rulemaking 164:14,18 64:20 66:8 67:2 **SFP** 162:1 38:20,24 security 157:7 rules 23:25 35:18 shaking 84:21 significant 146:13 152:10 142:14 seeking 46:9 **share** 16:17,19,21 163:22 115:23 116:1 ruling 22:22 Seligman 15:6 **simple** 161:10 164:7 18:6 rulings 128:19 **simply** 43:18 **sharing** 115:25 sell 59:5 run 21:18 117:10 146:18 160:23 132:13 **Shaw** 22:16,18 send 152:21 162:24 165:24 23:8.16.18 24:12 160:17 168:14 rushed 165:25 27:18 29:9 36:16 **sense** 67:7 sit 50:9 37:11 41:9 42:11 106:14 162:14 47:15 49:15 52:16 **sitting** 103:20 65:24 66:2 83:17 146:9

Index: situation..statement

situation 31:4 124:21 138:10 54:2,10,14,22 70:16 75:17,21 45:8 46:21 58:12 152:3 55:2,10,11 61:20 76:6 78:2,8,12,21 118:17 153:12 141:19 143:10,11, 80:2,8,10,22,25 speaking 73:12 157:10 14 145:5,18,25 81:4,15,25 82:1,4 speaks 42:9 151:3 156:16 86:14 87:3,15,25 **size** 134:19 70:22 164:13,18,24 88:4,7 89:3,15,17 142:13 165:4 90:2,8 91:14 specific 21:20 **small** 123:5 92:14 97:9,20,25 standards 24:1 40:5 55:1,5,6 126:21 98:10 99:23 56:14 67:11 127:5 54:3,5 151:17,20, 100:18,22,24 smaller 75:12 161:8 25 101:3,7 103:1,16 Society 24:23 standing 19:22 specifically 105:10 109:2 110:6,20 111:13 29:5 55:23 26:18 39:6 49:25 21:8 125:13,14 113:12,25 114:3 67:21 92:8 103:2 stands 68:16 80:1 **soft** 31:19 78:4 123:8 116:21 117:15 **start** 18:11 19:16 118:3,19,20 **solely** 57:6,16 specificity 92:10 24:22 43:22 93:23 119:5,10,18,24 59:19,23 62:18 specifics 40:25 109:7 130:19 139:18,25 141:12, 97:1 99:2 145:12 15,16 142:6,8,11, 146:21 137:9,10 157:6 speculative 14,15,16 143:12 solicit 138:8 started 38:4 142:21 144:11,19,20,22 45:21 86:16 145:1,14 146:4, solicited 113:17 **speed** 22:23 141:12 21,24 147:2 solution 157:11 **spent** 25:4 148:4,8,12 149:7, starting 18:12 8,10,19 150:8,11 solvency 25:7 **spoke** 43:6 28:8 43:23 52:16 151:12,23 152:15 31:11,14 34:19 124:10,15 57:12 85:14 90:1, 153:11,12,16,19, 7,10 96:19 105:21 35:13,21,25 **spoken** 154:25 21,22,24 154:18 36:10,15 46:1,23 starts 66:4 136:5 155:22,25 156:7, 50:16 51:3,8 spot 78:4 12,22,24 157:13, **state** 14:3,6,11, 147:16 154:23 **SSI** 99:25 16,20,25 158:7,25 155:2 159:25 13,14,15,16,18,21 159:9,13,14,21, 15:12,14,18,21 **stab** 135:15 solvent 155:6 23,25 160:3,6,14, 16:15,22,24 17:3, stability 142:23 17,22 161:5,6,11, 6,9 18:9,12,14,16, somebody's 16,21,22,23 18 19:10 23:16 115:16 stable 45:17 78:8, 162:4,6,11,13,16, 24:14,18 26:19 13 164:7 something's 18,21 163:2,3,8, 27:21,22 28:2 58:17 **staff** 76:21 102:12 10,14,18,22 29:11 30:10,18, 141:2 154:8 156:7 164:1,3,5,6,11,20 21,23 31:3,5,18, **sort** 112:22 157:7 165:13 166:17,23 24 32:2,7,10,16 123:12,15 127:8 167:14,16,21,24 33:3,8,17 34:7 **stage** 96:21 97:5 sought 63:3 168:8,10,19,21 36:23 39:23 41:19 98:16,18 105:7 163:15 169:2,11,14 170:3 42:6 44:11,15 129:13 139:23 45:8,17,21 46:3,7 **sound** 41:25 150:4 **stated** 46:16 57:5 48:9 49:4,17,18 42:23 43:4 44:3,4, 59:17 75:22 76:20 **stages** 131:12 51:24 52:4,6,13 13 90:18 91:1 94:22 56:10,12,16,18 stake 154:23 99:17 106:19 **sounds** 121:15 58:1,2,22,23,24 147:19 **stand** 22:17 25:13 59:4,6,7,10,14,21 source 141:7,8 64:6 65:24 statement 26:18 60:3,7,19,23 61:2, speak 22:22 27:23 55:20,24 5,9,14 62:10,20 standard 15:23 43:19 97:24 56:1 77:2 79:5,7, 63:14,21,23 65:19 16:3,6 41:16 45:5 104:25 123:15 19 86:3,13 93:12, 66:3,5,7,9 68:13

48:6 52:21 53:2

Index: statements..supported

15 94:5 140:23 15,23 72:7,8,9,15, strictly 48:21 sufficiently 31:11 146:8 153:3 157:4 16,19 73:23 74:3, 144:14 suggest 120:21 161:11 25 75:7,15,17 **strike** 21:18 78:19 127:13 139:1 76:5 78:21,24 statements 14:9 96:2 117:15 119:4 79:1,8,9,10,15,20, suggestion 95:2 120:19 126:10 21 80:15 81:9 134:20 137:1,5 **states** 168:22 84:15,19 86:14 striking 118:7 138:4 88:16 89:3,15,22 stating 68:12 struck 130:17 suggestions 90:8 103:3 105:18 126:24 108:1,10,18,21 **status** 118:13 structure 126:23 112:10 113:13 suggestions/ statute 35:1 struggling 119:17 121:11 reminders 20:20 146:10 139:19 145:22,23 statutorily 30:6 suggests 84:22 148:9 150:14.16 **study** 127:15 statutory 136:6 163:17 151:19 153:7,8 **studying** 133:16 154:6 156:17 stay 134:24,25 summary 25:22 158:10,16,18 138:23 stuff 102:12 **Sunday** 133:4,8 159:8,11 161:19 103:24 **stays** 33:1 164:15,17 167:22 supplement Subdivision 168:6,19 169:1,4, step 57:21 44:23 63:13,21 50:15 51:8,21 13 170:4 71:5,11 74:25 **steps** 57:18 77:9 subject 21:18 76:5 79:8 80:5 stipulations 100:5,7,15,17 32:19 70:17 76:22 81:8 84:18 86:13 64:5,7 70:15 71:4 150:7 77:19 78:16,17 89:14 107:22 108:23 stick 104:22 80:3 120:19 110:17 118:12 supplemental 126:10 128:12 132:15,18,21 147:10 148:10 15:10 17:7 66:21, 130:16 154:1,10 137:24 150:18 152:20 23 67:2,22,25 156:8 168:22 **stip** 84:9 88:12 68:3,16,23 69:7, **submit** 30:7 105:4 149:2 16,25 70:5 72:7,8, stock 59:7,10 121:12 15.18 73:23 74:3 stipulate 81:16 **Stocks** 16:10 75:6,15 79:12 submitted 26:2 85:17 88:17 81:20 88:12 stop 31:17 42:19 31:22 41:21 42:3 108:12 109:1.16 119:16 158:9.18 87:3 116:15 120:24 44:7 51:15 62:2 159:8,11 161:18 63:22 66:15 71:22 stopgap 76:22 stipulated 32:7 167:22 168:25 135:10 77:10,18,20,24 72:25 105:22 169:4 78:6,7,11 subsection 106:25 107:24 supplemented 138:24 110:4,13 156:12 straightforward 70:9 71:6,11,16 159:12,13 160:1, 165:7 168:19 subsequent 10 164:19 165:22 118:5 strategy 155:16 168:2.13.15 **support** 17:5,8 substance 169:9,10 51:15 66:15,19 **Street** 15:20 129:18 70:15 71:8,15 stipulating 108:2 strength 158:1 73:23 88:8 97:14 substantial 159:1 160:15 stipulation 17:6 101:2,6 108:7 159:20 161:24 167:16 18:9 44:23 63:11, 141:22 145:17 169:12 suddenly 142:25 148:2,3 151:19 12,13,19,20,23 152:5 158:25 64:19,22 65:7 146:10 158:3 stricken 123:8 66:8,12,14,21,22, 166:18 168:6 129:25 sufficient 36:9 23 67:3,22 68:1,3, 45:16 108:6 supported strict 35:19 36:1 5,16 69:8,17,25 112:16 149:6 141:21 70:2,8,24 71:10, 165:1

supporting 33:15 **takers** 34:16 20,24 75:17 76:4 thing 20:13 29:19, 41:20 64:18,22 80:4 123:6 126:18 21,23 30:1,3,5 **taking** 82:22 66:21 67:21 69:23 85:9 115:18 117:4 103:5 133:11 test 36:12 37:16 72:15 75:6 121:14 128:10,19 149:22 152:4 testified 23:10 132:16 136:3 supports 27:22 talk 19:18 20:23 28:1 41:24 43:2 138:13 70:7 168:4 22:8 29:13 72:20 56:16 57:25 62:9, **things** 74:19 supposed 148:21 122:1 125:25 16 71:25 73:22 79:17 104:6,17 78:18 80:14 86:3, **Supreme** 143:14 **talked** 39:15 112:17 117:1 7,23 93:21,24 119:3 120:2,14 151:21 98:25 99:9 149:3 surgeon 54:25 127:11 131:8 **talking** 123:22 154:15 159:23 **surplus** 25:7,9 132:17 138:11,15 130:13 132:3 160:1,5,9 162:21 139:4 145:10 30:18 31:7,18 166:22 133:5,14 33:3,10,11 45:9 thinking 20:2 targeted 119:8 46:20,22 48:10 **testify** 24:13 132:8 38:16 56:9 64:6 49:5,19,20 50:6 targeting 119:21 68:21 70:23 72:24 **thinks** 147:13 52:14 55:15 57:6, **Tariffs** 16:10,13 74:2 149:5 16 58:13 59:19 62:9,11,17,21 tasks 139:21 testifying 69:22 thought 64:2 84:1 76:20 77:18 78:4 91:20 99:22 88:8 94:1,3 96:25 testimony 19:17, team 23:23 40:19, 129:24 98:18 99:1,21 22 157:7 23 20:8,9 21:1,5 thousands 158:3 100:24 101:5,8, 22:22 26:4,8,11 technical 164:9 160:16.22 10.16 157:17 39:18,21 50:19 159:15,19,24 technically 41:5 56:23 58:4,10 threats 142:24 160:2 161:21 59:16 60:24,25 template 44:12 66:17 70:7,13 163:9 168:20,23 three-day 169:6 51:14 61:8 62:2 71:7 75:8 78:1 Surprise 113:5 thumb 36:2,4 93:20 144:23 87:19,22 91:10 165:9 Surprisingly 95:7,20 98:4 Thursday 18:1,7 166:16 103:23 109:4 templates 44:8 tight 121:24 122:24,25 123:7 91:15 sustain 75:9 126:24,25 125:6 128:21 templement 62:1 sustainable 130:3 149:8 tights 80:19 157:10.12 161:4. 155:16 temporary time 28:15 29:1 13,15 163:7 145:12 **sworn** 23:9 37:1 38:12 41:14 165:23 166:2,12 58:21 60:20 61:6 ten 96:12 157:17 systemic 142:22 167:11,19,25 65:6 66:25 67:1,9, 164:6 168:4 169:7 143:3 24 75:3 76:8 78:9, tenets 46:25 **Teti** 18:13 27:3 **systems** 108:16 13 79:17 97:5 37:1 64:8 103:17 102:5 103:23 tension 54:8 124:9 105:11 110:10 T term 54:21 88:24 124:24 126:20 text 89:1,24 161:7 89:25 90:5,12 128:17 132:3 table 82:11 152:8 **That'd** 83:12 134:1 135:19 101:12,15 146:9 136:12,20 138:7 terminology 70:9 that'll 136:22 147:10 153:15 71:6 tackle 128:25 theft 34:4 155:19 157:8,25 138:15 terms 31:3 36:15 160:15 thereon 79:1,21 tactic 142:21 39:23 54:17 64:13 timeframe 94:7 67:12.21 69:7.24 thick 53:10 tailor 138:16 121:25 71:23,24 72:10,

Index: timeline..variance

timeline 123:8 trailing 117:1 120:20 139:25 86:12 88:23 89:2, 136:7 140:20 143:9 14 95:10 130:8 train 64:2 159:1 timelines 131:6 understood trained 104:1 turnaround 70:25 **timely** 60:5 transaction 89:7 124:19 underway 22:2 times 22:18 91:17 transcript 14:3, **turning** 19:11 99:4 124:20 **unfairly** 134:22 53:8 106:2,3 10 21:2 112:21 147:24 128:13 131:10 107:20 113:24 114:2,5, 163:5 unfortunate 15,20,25 120:17 turns 76:25 timing 69:9 96:10 136:13 121:4 123:21 121:25 156:11 124:4,8,17 125:2, Tina 22:16 23:8.18 unit 38:5 10,19 128:2 two-party 84:9 **Title** 35:8 130:13,14 131:7, universe 121:10 two-way 17:6 10 132:21 133:12 165:17 66:8 149:2 152:19 today 18:7 20:24 134:7 135:18 unjustified 22:7 24:12 26:11 137:5,6,13,14 type 20:15 33:8.14 46:22 152:16 transcript's 50:9 64:17 69:22 typical 128:24 unknown 148:11 124:23 70:14 71:7 80:1 typically 34:4 91:10 92:22 102:4 unreasonable transcription 135:11 140:3 122:11 126:14 165:11 114:2 130:12,14 134:1,5 unreasonably U 137:8 158:6 transcripts 112:1 121:19 166:22 168:4 113:20,21 114:13 170:2 123:24 126:7 unsupported ultimately 121:2 128:12,13,19 154:13 168:2 today's 135:3 130:10 132:8 155:13 untraditional **unable** 88:7,8 133:22 135:9,24 118:17 toes 132:3 136:17 unaffordable update 17:3 155:23 transfer 15:24 **told** 48:20 124:21 166:19 16:8 54:8 125:18 154:22 unclear 133:10 updated 32:8 transition 104:7 tomorrow 137:9 underestimate 42:13 166:21 91:3 treated 130:5 too-big-to-fail **urgent** 141:9 143:4 underlying 49:3 Treatment 16:3 urgently 157:11 155:17 tools 19:25 20:13, trend 36:12 166:5, 17 usual 96:14 undermines 142:13 152:17 top 23:24 94:9 troubling 33:16 understand totals 147:15 true 155:13 V 21:14 30:21 31:12 track 64:25 163:17 32:10 33:25 36:21 41:2 47:16 64:23 **Vague** 62:12 Tracking 15:14, **Trump** 16:13 71:1,14 73:5 77:13 truthful 26:4 76:12 79:11 89:23 valid 164:12,25 traditional 48:21 92:14 113:16 **Tuesday** 124:17 118:16,17 118:11 120:9 values 55:6 158:20 127:15 139:24 traditionally variance 31:5 turn 22:25 28:7 150:11 125:21 32:11,13 34:25 36:24 53:23 55:17 understanding 35:12,14,15,19 trail 120:16 69:10,13 78:20 46:7 72:11 79:13 45:6 46:1,2,4,8, 88:12 104:19

11,12 50:16 51:3, 9,10,12,16,20 53:1,2

**variances** 50:13, 18,20,21,23 51:3

**Variant** 154:18,19 168:9

**version** 83:5,6

view 165:12

viewpoint 146:4

violates 164:25

violation 147:25 150:19

virtue 118:14

visit 96:5

**vitae** 25:16,19,20 27:12

voir 20:15

## W

## W-A-T-K-I-N-S

109:6

wait 44:4 57:3,8 84:10 85:8 90:3 123:21

waiting 45:18 123:18 166:15

waive 108:3,4,7

walk 82:19

**Wall** 15:20

Wang 14:13,21

wanted 104:18 117:5 122:15 127:1 130:1 141:1

**wanting** 152:12

warning 36:11

warranted 151:4 158:8 165:3

**watch** 15:19 161:25

Watchdog 14:4,

8,18 15:3,5 19:3, 5,7 20:2 21:8 37:4 53:23 55:18 104:12 109:16 110:14 116:19

117:21 118:22 119:4,7,22 121:17 122:7 126:12

144:17 150:12 151:22 153:17 154:4 155:11

158:12 160:13 162:3,8,11 163:6, 14,17 164:7,24 165:6 167:19

168:14

**Watchdog's** 14:5 17:5,8 53:9,20

111:1 118:18 119:14,19 120:7, 19 157:15 161:3 164:9 165:10 167:7

water 34:3 139:11

**Watkin's** 109:4

**Watkins** 39:16 108:24 109:6 117:25

Watkinson's

waves 160:17

**ways** 35:24 36:1 134:18

**weakened** 161:16 162:12

Weakening 15:19

wear 22:3

Wednesday

114:3 158:21

week 60:22 118:25 128:17 133:23,25 134:7 153:9 154:3,11

weekdays 128:17

weekend 133:13 134:9,14 136:12 162:5

weekends 128:16

weeks 134:5

weight 108:8 167:11

weird 120:15 136:16

Wellington 18:15 104:11 106:25 111:13 117:4,7 127:1 131:15,18, 25 133:5,8 135:4, 7 136:9 138:1,20

140:2,7 157:5

whoever's

121:19

Wi-fi 83:7

wide 48:25

wild 31:12

wildfire 44:16 46:13 145:7 157:24

wildfires 52:12 98:24 145:16 157:19,23 162:20 166:24

**Win** 16:12

withdrawn 117:21

withhold 121:20

withstanding 135:1 138:4

witnesses 19:24 104:8 157:12

wonderful 83:5

woods 78:5

**words** 24:8 56:14 139:7

work 25:8 38:12 40:1,22 96:13 102:18 120:9 125:3 126:11 130:21 134:15 137:6,20 141:3 142:3 150:1 153:17 166:13 169:21

workable 145:5

worked 37:24 38:9 39:1,25 40:3 127:12

working 24:24 28:23 37:23 39:1 40:18 73:17 83:4 123:17 134:8 137:4,9

**works** 126:4 137:14

world 160:20

**worries** 24:19 56:8 58:19

worse 31:15

would've 131:3

Wow 120:1

wrap 96:12

**wraparound** 34:6 160:8

**wrinkles** 138:23

write 63:24

writes 99:23

**writing** 34:15

## Υ

**year** 60:11 61:8 89:5 146:12

year-to-year 36:12

yearend 85:25 88:19 90:6,13

years 24:24 25:3 28:17 29:7 38:2, 10 157:17 160:21 163:12,23 164:2,6

voct	ordov 10.0
yest	<b>erday</b> 19:9 21 98:13
20:2	11 98:13 -16 157:15
124	:16 157:15
160	:19 166:2,12
	Z
zone	165:14