- 1	1			
1	Harvey Rosenfield, SBN 123082 Pamela Pressley, SBN 180362			
2	Daniel L. Sternberg, SBN 329799 Ryan Mellino, SBN 342497			
3	CONSUMER WATCHDOG 6330 San Vicente Blvd., Suite 250			
4	Los Angeles, CA 90048 Tel. (310) 392-0522			
5	Fax (310) 392-8874 harvey@consumerwatchdog.org			
6	pam@consumerwatchdog.org danny@consumerwatchdog.org			
7	ryan@consumerwatchdog.org			
8	Attorneys for CONSUMER WATCHDOG			
9				
10	BEFORE THE INSUR	RANCE COMMISSIONER		
11	OF THE STATI	E OF CALIFORNIA		
12		Ella Na : 22 2222 DA 2022 00016		
13	In the Matter of the Rate Application of	File No.: 23-2333, PA-2023-00016 CONSUMER WATCHDOG'S AMENDED		
14	Liberty Insurance Corporation,	PETITION FOR HEARING, PETITION TO INTERVENE, AND NOTICE OF		
15	Applicant.	INTENT TO SEEK COMPENSATION		
16		[Ins. Code §§ 1861.02, 1861.05, and 1861.10; Cal. Code Regs, tit. 10, §§ 2653.1, 2661.2 and		
17		2661.3]		
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
		1		

On October 3, 2023, the Department issued an Order Denying Consumer Watchdog's Petition to Intervene with Leave to Amend. Pursuant to that Order, Consumer Watchdog timely submits this Amended Petition for Hearing, Petition to Intervene, and Notice of Intent to Seek Compensation.¹

Accordingly, Consumer Watchdog hereby requests that the Insurance Commissioner notice a public hearing pursuant to Insurance Code sections 1861.05, subdivisions (a) and (c), and 1861.10, subdivision (a), on the issues raised in this petition regarding the above-referenced Rate Application of Liberty Insurance Corporation ("Liberty" or "Applicant"), at which time Applicant will be directed to appear and respond to the issues raised in this petition. Consumer Watchdog also hereby requests that it be granted leave to intervene in the proceeding on Applicant's Rate Application pursuant to Insurance Code section 1861.10(a). Consumer Watchdog intends to seek compensation in this proceeding, and, pursuant to 10 CCR section 2661.3 subdivision (c), Consumer Watchdog's proposed budget is attached hereto as Exhibit A. In support of its petition, Consumer Watchdog alleges:

I. THE APPLICATION

- 1. On or about July 20, 2023, Applicant filed a Prior Approval Rate Application with the California Department of Insurance ("CDI"), seeking approval of an overall 29.1% rate increase to its Dwelling line of business (File No. 23-2333 ["the Application"]). This application follows a rate increase of 5.8% that took effect on June 2, 2020 and a 7.5% rate increase that took effect on January 1, 2019.
 - 2. On or about August 4, 2023, the public was notified of the Application.

¹ Consumer Watchdog submits that both its original Petition to Intervene, filed September 18, 2023, and this Amended Petition to Intervene comply with the requirements for intervention under California Code of Regulations, title 10 ("10 CCR"), sections 2661.2 and 2661.3 by stating "the specific issues to be raised and the positions to be taken on each issue *to the extent then known*." (Emphasis added.) Each of the issues to be raised relates to a component of the ratemaking formula at 10 CCR § 2644.1 et seq., and those issues are directly relevant to determining whether Applicant's requested rate increase is excessive.

II. PETITIONER

- 3. Petitioner Consumer Watchdog is a nonprofit, nonpartisan, public interest corporation organized to represent the interests of consumers and taxpayers. A core focus of Consumer Watchdog's advocacy is the representation of the interests of insurance consumers and policyholders, particularly as they relate to the implementation and enforcement of Proposition 103, in matters before the Legislature, the courts, and the CDI.
- 4. Consumer Watchdog's founder authored Proposition 103 and led the successful campaign for its enactment by California voters in 1988. Consumer Watchdog's staff and consultants include some of the nation's foremost consumer advocates and experts on insurance ratemaking matters.
- 5. Consumer Watchdog has served as a public watchdog with regard to insurance rates and insurer rollback liabilities under Proposition 103 by: monitoring rollback settlements and the status of the rollback regulations; reviewing and challenging rate filings made by insurers seeking excessive rates; participating in rulemaking and adjudicatory hearings before the CDI including the rulemaking proceeding that led to the adoption of the mitigation discount and wildfire risk model regulations in 10 CCR § 2644.9; and educating the public concerning industry underwriting and rating practices, their rights under Proposition 103, and other provisions of state law. Consumer Watchdog has also initiated and intervened in actions in state court and appeared as amicus curiae in matters involving the interpretation and application of Proposition 103 and the Insurance Code.²

² For example, Calfarm Ins. Co. v. Deukmejian (1989) 48 Cal.3d 805; 20th Century Ins. Co. v.

6. Consumer Watchdog has initiated and intervened in numerous proceedings before the CDI related to the implementation and enforcement of Proposition 103's reforms, including over 140 such proceedings in the last twenty years. In every rate proceeding that has resulted in a final decision and in which Consumer Watchdog sought compensation from 2003–2022, the Commissioner found that Consumer Watchdog made a substantial contribution, meaning that its participation was separate and distinct from any other party and that it presented relevant issues, evidence, and arguments that resulted in more credible, non-frivolous information being available to the Commissioner in making his final decision.

III. ISSUES AND EVIDENCE TO BE PRESENTED AND POSITIONS OF PETITIONER

- 7. In the rate proceeding initiated by Consumer Watchdog's petition, Consumer Watchdog will present and elicit evidence to show that the proposed rates and rule and rating plan changes result in rates that are excessive and/or unfairly discriminatory in violation of Insurance Code section 1861.05, subdivision (a), which provides that "[n]o rate shall be approved or remain in effect which is excessive, inadequate, [or] unfairly discriminatory." Additionally, Consumer Watchdog will present and elicit evidence that Applicants' proposed rates violate 10 CCR § 2644.1, which provides that "[n]o rate shall be approved or remain in effect that is above the maximum permitted earned premium as defined in section 2644.2" and their rating plan and rule changes potentially violate 10 CCR § 2644.9's requirements relating to the use of wildfire risk models and the implementation of mandatory wildfire risk mitigation factors.
- 8. Based on Consumer Watchdog's preliminary analysis in consultation with its actuarial expert and the information contained in the Application, Consumer Watchdog has identified the following issues with the Application on which it intends to present and elicit evidence as set forth in (a)–(b) below. Each of these issues is directly relevant to determining whether Applicant's proposed rate increase is excessive under Insurance Code section 1861.05(a). Consumer Watchdog intends to request further information on these issues through informal/formal discovery from the Applicant and reserves the right to develop and refine its positions as more information is made available.

- a. Loss and Premium Trends (10 CCR § 2644.7): Applicant's frequency and severity trend selections result in excessive net trends that overstate the projected losses, causing an inflated rate indication. The selected 12-point Reported/Paid frequency and severity trends result in a combined loss trend of +16.4%, the third-highest of the 20 possible loss trend selections in the Standard Exhibit template. Combined with the 12point premium trend, the overall net trend selected by Liberty is +9.7%, also the thirdhighest out of 20 possible values. The severity trend values are particularly leveraged by the unusually high paid severities in the two latest data quarters (2022Q4 and 2023Q1). Calculating the 12-point net trend excluding those points from frequency, severity, and premium yields a Reported/Paid net trend of +4.1%, which is much more in line with the trajectory of Applicant's recent historical experience exclusive of the two latest points. To get close to this more reasonable net trend value, based on its actuary's preliminary analysis, CWD believes the 20-point net trend of +3.4% is more actuarially appropriate. Based on this preliminary analysis, Applicant does not demonstrate that the selected trend factors and trend data period used are the most actuarially sound.
- b. Improper / Unsupported Excluded Expenses (10 CCR § 2644.10): Applicant has not shown that all of its institutional advertising expenses have been reflected in the excluded expense provision. CWD was unable to locate within the filing materials any support for the institutional/non-institutional advertising split shown on the Excluded Expenses tab of the Rate Template. CWD intends to request such verification since Applicant's institutional advertising expenses stated on page 4.1 of the Application are about 1% or less of total advertising, which seems unlikely given Applicant's high-visibility profile in the marketplace. There may also be excluded expenses for other categories that should be reflected in the rate calculation but were not adequately reflected in the filing; there is no way to determine this other than to request verification from Applicant that all excluded expenses are shown in the filing.
- 9. This petition is based upon Consumer Watchdog's preliminary analysis of the

Application. Thus, Consumer Watchdog reserves the right to modify, withdraw, and/or add issues for consideration and its positions on each issue as more information becomes available, including but not limited to allegations related to violations of Insurance Code section 1859 if it is discovered that Applicant has willfully withheld information from, or knowingly given false or misleading information to, the commissioner or to any rating organization, advisory organization, insurer or group, association or other organization of insurers that will affect its rates, rating systems, or premiums that are the subject of this filing.

IV. AUTHORITY FOR PETITION AND GRANTING REQUEST FOR A HEARING

- 10. The authority for this petition for hearing is Insurance Code section 1861.10, subdivision (a), which grants "any person" the right to initiate or intervene in a proceeding permitted or established by Proposition 103 and the right to enforce Proposition 103. Specifically, as stated above, Consumer Watchdog initiates this proceeding to enforce Insurance Code sections 1861.05 and 1861.07.
- 11. Additionally, a hearing is authorized pursuant to Insurance Code section 1861.05, subdivision (c), which allows "a consumer or his or her representative" to request a hearing on a rate application and 10 CCR § 2653.1, which provides that "any person, whether as an individual, representative of an organization, or on behalf of the general public, may request a hearing by submitting a petition for hearing."
- 12. This petition is timely because it is filed within fourteen (14) days of the Commissioner's October 3, 2023 Order Denying Consumer Watchdog's Petition to Intervene with Leave to Amend.

V. INTEREST OF PETITIONER

Applicant's homeowners insurance policyholders are charged rates and premiums that comply with the provisions of Insurance Code section 1861.05(a)'s requirement that "no rate shall be approved or remain in effect which is excessive, inadequate, [or] unfairly discriminatory or otherwise in violation of this chapter," and the requirements contained in the regulations promulgated thereunder. For many homeowners, their home is their most valuable asset and they

are required to purchase homeowners insurance by their mortgage lenders. Consumers who are overcharged by insurers for this insurance coverage and/or arbitrarily non-renewed, even when they have undertaken significant wildfire mitigation efforts to protect their homes and lower their risk of loss, are part of Consumer Watchdog's core constituency.

- 14. As noted in paragraphs 3–6 above, Consumer Watchdog's staff and consultants have substantial experience and expertise in insurance rate matters, which Consumer Watchdog believes will aid the CDI in its review of the Application and aid the Commissioner in making his ultimate decision as to whether to approve or disapprove the requested rates. As noted in paragraph 6 above, the Commissioner found that Consumer Watchdog made a substantial contribution to his decisions in every rate proceeding that has resulted in a final decision and in which Consumer Watchdog sought compensation from 2003–2022. If leave to intervene is granted, Consumer Watchdog will participate fully in all aspects of this proceeding.
- 15. Consumer Watchdog also has an interest in assuring that Applicant, the CDI, and the Insurance Commissioner comply with the laws enacted by the voters under Proposition 103, and the rules and regulations that implement those laws.

VI. AUTHORITY FOR PETITION TO INTERVENE

16. The authority for Consumer Watchdog's petition to intervene is Insurance Code section 1861.10, subdivision (a), which grants "any person" the right to "initiate or intervene in any proceeding permitted or established pursuant to this chapter [Chapter 9 of Part 2 of Division 1 of the Insurance Code] . . . and enforce any provision of this article." This proceeding is a proceeding to enforce Insurance Code sections 1861.05 and 1861.07 pursuant to Insurance Code section 1861.10(a), and hence is a proceeding both "permitted" and "established" by Chapter 9. Per the voters' instruction, the mandatory right to intervene under section 1861.10(a), like all the provisions of Proposition 103, must be "liberally construed and applied in order to fully promote its underlying purposes." (Prop. 103, § 8.) Thus, section 1861.10 must be interpreted and applied broadly in a manner to fully encourage consumer participation. (*Ibid*; see also *Ass'n of California Ins. Cos. v. Poizner, supra*, 180 Cal.App.4th at 1052 [stating "the goal of fostering consumer participation in the administrative rate-setting process" as "one of the purposes of

Proposition 103"].) The broad intervention standard enacted by section 1861.10 ensures that consumers will be able to participate in proceedings *independently of the Department staff* who may take different positions or emphasize different issues in the proceeding.

17. This petition to intervene is also authorized by 10 CCR § 2661.1 et seq. In compliance with 10 CCR § 2661.3, the specific issues to be raised and positions to be taken by Consumer Watchdog, to the extent known at this time, are set forth in paragraph 8 supra. Each of these issues relate directly to specific standards and requirements under the ratemaking formula at 10 CCR § 2644.1 et seq. and thus are directly relevant to ultimately determining whether Applicant's requested rate is excessive or otherwise unjustified. Although consumer presence in departmental proceedings typically results in significant reductions to policyholders' rates, the amount of savings for each individual consumer is outweighed by the time and expense of hiring individual counsel or an advocacy group to protect his or her rights. Thus, an independent organization like Consumer Watchdog introduces a voice that otherwise would be absent from this proceeding.

VII. PARTICIPATION OF CONSUMER WATCHDOG

18. Consumer Watchdog verifies, in accordance with 10 CCR § 2661.3, that it will be able to attend and participate in this proceeding without unreasonably delaying this proceeding or any other proceedings before the Insurance Commissioner.

VIII. INTENT TO SEEK COMPENSATION

19. The Commissioner has awarded Consumer Watchdog compensation for its reasonable advocacy and witness fees and expenses in past departmental proceedings. The Commissioner issued Consumer Watchdog's latest Finding of Eligibility on July 26, 2022, effective for two years as of July 12, 2022. Consumer Watchdog was previously found eligible to seek compensation on August 25, 2020, effective as of July 12, 2020; July 12, 2018; July 14, 2016; July 24, 2014; July 24, 2012; July 2, 2010; August 25, 2008; July 14, 2006; July 2, 2004; June 20, 2002; October 1, 1997; September 26, 1995; September 27, 1994; and September 13, 1993.

20. Consumer Watchdog intends to seek compensation in this proceeding. Pursuant to
10 CCR § 2661.3(c), Consumer Watchdog's estimated budget in this proceeding is attached
hereto as Exhibit A. Consumer Watchdog has based its estimated budget on several factors
including: (1) the technical and legal expertise needed to address these issues; (2) its current best
estimate of the time needed to participate effectively in these proceedings, taking into account the
time already expended by Consumer Watchdog staff and its consulting actuary and an estimate o
time needed to complete remaining tasks through completion of a noticed evidentiary hearing;
and (3) past experience in similar rate proceedings before the CDI. The estimated budget is
reasonable and the staffing level is appropriate, given the expertise that Consumer Watchdog and
its consultants bring to these proceedings when the issues involved are issues at the very core of
its organizational mission and strike at the very heart of Proposition 103 itself. The budget
presented in the attached Exhibit A is a preliminary estimate, and Consumer Watchdog reserves
the right to amend its proposed budget as its expenses become more certain, or in its request for
final compensation. Consumer Watchdog will give notice of such modifications as soon as
practicable after it discovers the need to revise its estimates and shall comply with the budget
revision requirements in the relevant intervenor regulations.

WHEREFORE, Consumer Watchdog respectfully requests that the Insurance Commissioner GRANT its petition for hearing and petition to intervene in the proceeding.

DATED: October 16, 2023

Harvey Rosenfield Pamela Pressley Daniel L. Sternberg Ryan Mellino

Respectfully submitted,

CONSUMER WATCHDOG

Pamela Presiley

By:

Pamela Pressley

Attorney for CONSUMER WATCHDOG

<u>VERIFICATION OF PAMELA PRESSLEY IN SUPPORT OF CONSUMER</u> WATCHDOG'S PETITION FOR HEARING, PETITION TO INTERVENE, AND NOTICE OF INTENT TO SEEK COMPENSATION

I, Pamela Pressley, verify:

- 1. I am Senior Staff Attorney for Consumer Watchdog. If called as a witness, I could and would testify competently to the facts stated in this verification.
- 2. I personally prepared the pleading titled "Consumer Watchdog's Petition for Hearing, Petition to Intervene, and Notice of Intent to Seek Compensation" filed in this matter. All of the factual matters alleged therein are true of my own personal knowledge, or I believe them to be true after conducting some inquiry and investigation.
- 3. Pursuant to California Code of Regulations, title 10, section 2661.3, Consumer Watchdog attaches as Exhibit A its estimated budget in this proceeding.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed October 16, 2023, at Los Angeles, California.

Pamela Pressley
Pamela Pressley

EXHIBIT A PRELIMINARY BUDGET

	TREDIVINART DODGET	
2	ITEM	<u>ESTIMATED COST</u>
3	1.	Consumer Watchdog Attorneys and Paralegal
4	Dam -1	December (Series Staff Attamer) @ \$505 non-hour 100 hours
5	Pamer	a Pressley (Senior Staff Attorney) @ \$595 per hour, 100 hours
6		Watchdog counsel; oversee preparation of motions, briefing; confer with Consumer Watchdog counsel and outside experts regarding legal and evidentiary issues; participate
7		in discussions with CDI and Applicant's counsel; assist in all phases of proceeding, evidentiary hearing, and preparation of post-hearing briefing.
8	Danial	I. Standbarg (Staff Attanger) @ \$250 and barg 200 barg \$
9	Daniel	L. Sternberg (Staff Attorney) @ \$350 per hour, 200 hours
10		evidentiary issues; participate in discussions with CDI and Applicant's counsel; participate in briefing legal issues; conduct discovery, preparation of motions, and
11		preparation for evidentiary hearing; participate in examination of witnesses and all phases of evidentiary hearing and post-hearing legal briefing; prepare request for compensation.
12		
13	Ryan I	Mellino (Staff Attorney) @ \$250 per hour, 200 hours\$50,000 Confer with Consumer Watchdog counsel and outside experts regarding legal and
14		evidentiary issues; participate in discussions with CDI and Applicant's counsel;
15		participate in briefing legal issues; conduct discovery, preparation of motions, and preparation for evidentiary hearing; participate in examination of witnesses and all phases
16		of evidentiary hearing and post-hearing legal briefing; prepare request for compensation.
17	Kaitlyn Gentile (Paralegal) @ \$200 per hour, 50 hours\$10,000	
18	•	Draft and edit petition for hearing and petition to intervene; assist with discovery and preparation of motions and briefs; prepare request for compensation.
19		
20	Harve	y Rosenfield (Of Counsel) @ \$695 per hour, 15 hours
21		
22	2.	Expert Witness: Ben Armstrong
23	Ben A	rmstrong, Staff Actuary @ \$425 per hour, 100 hours
24	•	Staff actuary to review all discovery documents; prepare actuarial analysis; participate in meet and confers with the parties as needed; prepare written testimony; testify and assist
25		attorneys in preparation for cross-examination of insurer's expert witnesses.
26		
27		
28		

PROOF OF SERVICE BY OVERNIGHT OR U.S. MAIL, FAX TRANSMISSION, EMAIL TRANSMISSION AND/OR PERSONAL SERVICE

State of California, City of Los Angeles, County of Los Angeles

I am employed in the City and County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the within action. My business address is 6330 South San Vicente Boulevard, Suite 250, Los Angeles, California 90048, and I am employed in the city and county where this service is occurring.

On October 16, 2023, I caused service of true and correct copies of the document entitled

CONSUMER WATCHDOG'S AMENDED PETITION FOR HEARING, PETITION TO INTERVENE, AND NOTICE OF INTENT TO SEEK COMPENSATION

upon the persons named in the attached service list, in the following manner:

- 1. If marked FAX SERVICE, by facsimile transmission this date to the FAX number stated to the person(s) named.
- 2. If marked EMAIL, by electronic mail transmission this date to the email address stated.
- 3. If marked U.S. MAIL or OVERNIGHT or HAND DELIVERED, by placing this date for collection for regular or overnight mailing true copies of the within document in sealed envelopes, addressed to each of the persons so listed. I am readily familiar with the regular practice of collection and processing of correspondence for mailing of U.S. Mail and for sending of Overnight mail. If mailed by U.S. Mail, these envelopes would be deposited this day in the ordinary course of business with the U.S. Postal Service. If mailed Overnight, these envelopes would be deposited this day in a box or other facility regularly maintained by the express service carrier, or delivered this day to an authorized courier or driver authorized by the express service carrier to receive documents, in the ordinary course of business, fully prepaid.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on October 16, 2023 at Los Angeles, California.

Kaitlyn Gentile

Service List 1 2 Lisbeth Landsman-Smith FAX Sara Ahn U.S. MAIL 3 Rate Enforcement Bureau **OVERNIGHT MAIL** HAND DELIVERED California Department of Insurance 4 1901 Harrison Street, 4th Floor M EMAIL 5 Oakland, CA 94612 Tel. (415) 538-4500 6 Fax (510) 238-7830 Lisbeth.Landsman@insurance.ca.gov 7 Sara.Ahn@insurance.ca.gov 8 Jon Phenix FAX 9 Public Advisor U.S. MAIL Enforcement Bureau **OVERNIGHT MAIL** 10 **California Department of Insurance** HAND DELIVERED 11 300 Capitol Mall, 17th Floor \boxtimes EMAIL Sacramento, CA 95814 12 Tel. (916) 492-3705 Fax (510) 238-7830 13 Jon.Phenix@insurance.ca.gov 14 FAX John Donnelly 15 State Specialist, Product Analysis U.S. MAIL **Liberty Insurance Corporation OVERNIGHT MAIL** 16 175 Berkeley Street HAND DELIVERED 17 Boston, MA 02116 ⊠ EMAIL Tel. 617-357-9500 ext. 45340 18 Fax 617-654-3452 john.donnelly@libertymutual.com 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 2 PROOF OF SERVICE