1 2 3 4 5 6	Harvey Rosenfield, SBN 123082 Pamela Pressley, SBN 180362 Benjamin Powell, SBN 311624 CONSUMER WATCHDOG 6330 San Vicente Blvd., Suite 250 Los Angeles, CA 90048 Tel. (310) 392-0522 Fax (310) 392-8874 harvey@consumerwatchdog.org pam@consumerwatchdog.org ben@consumerwatchdog.org				
7 8	Attorneys for CONSUMER WATCHDOG				
9	BEFORE THE INSURANCE COMMISSIONER				
10	OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
11					
12	In the Matter of the Rate Applications of	File Nos.: 24-496, 24-496-A			
13	21st Century Insurance Company and 21st Century Casualty Company,	CONSUMER WATCHDOG'S PETITION FOR HEARING, PETITION TO INTERVENE, AND			
14 15	Applicants.	NOTICE OF INTENT TO SEEK COMPENSATION			
16		[Ins. Code §§ 1861.05 and 1861.10; Cal. Code Regs, tit. 10, §§ 2653.1, 2661.2			
17		and 2661.3]			
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
		1			

Consumer Watchdog hereby requests that the Insurance Commissioner notice a public hearing pursuant to Insurance Code sections 1861.05, subdivisions (a) and (c), and 1861.10, subdivision (a), on the issues raised in this petition regarding the above-referenced Rate, Applications of 21st Century Insurance Company and 21st Century Casualty Company ("21st Century" or "Applicants"), at which time Applicants will be directed to appear and respond to the issues raised in this petition. Consumer Watchdog also hereby requests that it be granted leave to intervene in the proceeding on the Applications. Consumer Watchdog intends to seek compensation in this proceeding, and, pursuant to California Code of Regulations, title 10 ("10 CCR"), section 2661.3 subdivision (c), Consumer Watchdog's proposed budget is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

In support of its petition, Consumer Watchdog alleges:

I. THE APPLICATIONS

- 1. On or about February 29, 2024, Applicants filed Rate Applications with the California Department of Insurance ("CDI"), seeking approval of an overall +18.4% rate (\$85 million) rate increase (File Nos. 24-496, 24-496-A ["the Applications"]) to their prior passenger auto ("PPA") line of insurance. This request follows an approved 6.9% (\$29 million) overall PPA rate increase effective January 23, 2024. (File Nos. 23-1302, 23-1302-A.)
- 2. On or about March 15, 2024, the public was notified by the CDI of the Applications.

II. PETITIONER

- 3. Petitioner Consumer Watchdog is a nonprofit, nonpartisan public interest corporation organized to represent the interests of consumers and taxpayers. A core focus of Consumer Watchdog's advocacy is the representation of the interests of insurance consumers and policyholders, particularly as they relate to the implementation and enforcement of Proposition 103, in matters before the Legislature, the courts, and the CDI.
- 4. Consumer Watchdog's founder authored Proposition 103 and led the successful campaign for its enactment by California voters in 1988. Consumer Watchdog's staff and

consultants include some of the nation's foremost consumer advocates and experts on insurance ratemaking matters.

- 5. Consumer Watchdog has served as a public watchdog with regard to insurance rates and insurer rollback liabilities under Proposition 103 by: monitoring rollback settlements and the status of the rollback regulations; reviewing and challenging rate filings made by insurers seeking excessive rates; participating in rulemaking and adjudicatory hearings before the CDI; and educating the public concerning industry underwriting and rating practices, their rights under Proposition 103, and other provisions of state law. Consumer Watchdog has also initiated and intervened in actions in state court and appeared as amicus curiae in matters involving the interpretation and application of Proposition 103 and the Insurance Code.¹
- 6. Consumer Watchdog has initiated and intervened in numerous proceedings before the CDI related to the implementation and enforcement of Proposition 103's reforms, including over 150 such proceedings in the last twenty years. In every proceeding that has resulted in a final decision and in which Consumer Watchdog sought compensation from 2003–2022, the Commissioner found that Consumer Watchdog made a substantial contribution, meaning that its participation was separate and distinct from any other party and that it presented relevant issues, evidence, and arguments that resulted in more credible, non-frivolous information being available to the Commissioner in making his final decision.

III. ISSUES AND EVIDENCE TO BE PRESENTED AND POSITIONS OF PETITIONER

7. In the rate proceeding initiated by Consumer Watchdog's petition, Consumer Watchdog will present and elicit evidence to show that the rates proposed in the Applications are

¹ For example, Calfarm Ins. Co. v. Deukmejian (1989) 48 Cal.3d 805; 20th Century Ins. Co. v. Garamendi (1994) 8 Cal.4th 216; Amwest Surety Ins. Co. v. Wilson (1995) 11 Cal.4th 1243; Proposition 103 Enforcement Project v. Quackenbush (1998) 64 Cal.App.4th 1473; Spanish Speaking Citizens' Found. v. Low (2000) 85 Cal.App.4th 1179; Donabedian v. Mercury Ins. Co. (2004) 116 Cal.App.4th 968; State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co. v. Garamendi (2004) 32 Cal.4th 1029; The Found. for Taxpayer and Consumer Rights v. Garamendi (2005) 132 Cal.App.4th 1354; Ass'n of Cal. Ins. Cos. v. Poizner (2009) 180 Cal.App.4th 1029; Mercury Cas. Co. v. Jones (2017) 8 Cal.App.5th 561; Mercury Ins. Co. v. Lara (2019) 35 Cal.App.5th 82; and State Farm General Ins. Co. v. Lara (2021) 71 Cal.App.5th 197.

excessive and/or unfairly discriminatory in violation of Insurance Code section 1861.05, subdivision (a), which provides that "[n]o rate shall be approved or remain in effect which is excessive, inadequate, [or] unfairly discriminatory." Additionally, Consumer Watchdog will present and elicit evidence that Applicants' proposed rates violate 10 CCR § 2644.1, which provides that "[n]o rate shall be approved or remain in effect that is above the maximum permitted earned premium as defined in section 2644.2."

- 8. Based on Consumer Watchdog's preliminary analysis in consultation with its actuarial expert and the information contained in the Applications, Consumer Watchdog has identified the following issues with the Applications on which it intends to present and elicit evidence as set forth in (a)–(c) below. Each of these issues is directly relevant to determining whether Applicants' proposed rate increase is excessive under Insurance Code section 1861.05(a). Consumer Watchdog intends to request further information on these issues through informal/formal discovery from the Applicants and reserves the right to develop and refine its positions as more information is made available.
 - a) Loss and Premium Trends (10 CCR § 2644.7): Consumer Watchdog's current position, to the extent now known, is that Applicants' frequency and severity trend selections result in excessive net trends which overstate the projected losses, causing an inflated rate indication. Consumer Watchdog's preliminary analyses indicate a much lower rate need, particularly for Bodily Injury, Collision, and Medical Payments. The selected 12-point frequency and severity trends for these coverages give too much weight to the volatile recent experience, which cannot be expected to continue indefinitely.

21st Century states in the Filing Memorandum that "[a] 12-point trend period has been selected for both premium and loss trend for all coverages. This provides the balance between responsiveness and stability and is consistent with past filings." This boilerplate language offers nothing substantive in terms of support for the selected trend factors. Consumer Watchdog's preliminary analysis shows that lower trend factors, reflecting the latest data but also incorporating additional experience prior to

- the most recent few quarters, are more reasonable and actuarially sound. This analysis produces an overall rate indication that is substantially lower than the 18.4% rate increase proposed by 21st Century. Based on these findings, Applicants do not fully support the assertion that the selected trend factors are the most actuarially sound.
- b) Improper Loss Development (10 CCR § 2644.6): Applicants have used the combined loss & DCCE development method in this filing (both incurred and paid, depending on the coverage). Given that pure loss develops quite differently from DCCE for this book of business (e.g., 12-Ult LDF of 2.278 for incurred BI pure loss and 12.432 for incurred BI DCCE), the development patterns should be analyzed separately to properly estimate the ultimate values. Applicants have failed to justify the use of combined Loss and DCCE in their analysis of development patterns. Further, Applicants use incurred loss development for Bodily Injury, UMBI, and Medical Payments, stating in the Filing Memorandum that "[t]he incurred triangles are the most appropriate since they incorporate additional information through claim reserves and reduce dependency on tail factors." For the Bodily Injury coverage, incurred development is materially higher than paid development, with the developed incurred losses being more than 20% higher than the developed paid losses for the most recent year. Applicants fail to explain why there is such a large difference between the paid and incurred development. Nor do Applicants demonstrate that the much higher incurred development method is the most actuarially sound.
- c) Improper / Unsupported Excluded Expenses (10 CCR § 2644.10): Applicants have not shown that the sum of the institutional advertising expenses listed on page 4.1 of the Prior Approval Rate Template, \$196,623,081, is appropriate. Consumer Watchdog's current position, to the extent now known, is that Applicants have failed to provide sufficient information in the filing to support their claim that 28.7% of advertising expenses over the last three years have been institutional. Per the regulation: "Institutional advertising' means advertising not aimed at obtaining business for a specific insurer and not providing consumers with information

pertinent to the decision whether to buy the insurer's product." Based on the information currently available in the Applications, and subject to review of additional information that may be obtained from Applicants during the proceeding through formal or informal discovery on these and other excluded expenses items, it is Consumer Watchdog's position that Applicants have not proven that their excluded expense ratio of 0.3% shown on page 4.2 of the Prior Approval Rate Template is appropriate. Applicants have not provided examples of non-institutional advertising in accordance with the above-referenced regulation and with the CDI's Prior Approval Rate Filing Instructions. Consumer Watchdog reserves the right to seek discovery and raise additional issues regarding the accuracy of the amounts listed in page 4.2 of the Prior Approval Rate Template for other categories of excluded expenses that should be reflected in the rate calculation but may not be adequately reflected in the filing, including political contribution and lobbying expenses, excessive executive compensation, bad faith judgments and associated DCCE, costs of unsuccessful defense of discrimination claims, and fines and penalties.

9. This petition is based upon Consumer Watchdog's preliminary analysis of the Applications. Thus, Consumer Watchdog reserves the right to modify, withdraw, and/or add issues for consideration as more information becomes available, including but not limited to violations of Insurance Code section 1859 if it is discovered during the course of the proceeding that Applicants have willfully withheld information from, or knowingly given false or misleading information to, the Commissioner or to any rating organization, advisory organization, insurer or group, association or other organization of insurers that will affect its rates, rating systems, or premiums that are the subject of this filing.

IV. AUTHORITY FOR PETITION AND GRANTING REQUEST FOR A HEARING

10. The authority for this petition for hearing is Insurance Code section 1861.10, subdivision (a), which grants "any person" the right to initiate or intervene in a proceeding permitted or established by Proposition 103 and the right to enforce Proposition 103.

Specifically, as stated above, Consumer Watchdog initiates this proceeding to enforce Insurance Code section 1861.05 and the Commissioner's regulations.

- 11. Additionally, a hearing is authorized pursuant to Insurance Code section 1861.05, subdivision (c), which allows "a consumer or his or her representative" to request a hearing on a rate application and 10 CCR § 2653.1, which provides that "any person, whether as an individual, representative of an organization, or on behalf of the general public, may request a hearing by submitting a petition for hearing."
- 12. This petition is timely pursuant to Insurance Code section 1861.05, subdivision (c), and 10 CCR § 2646.4(a)(1) because it is filed within forty-five (45) days of the March 15, 2024 public notice date.

V. <u>INTEREST OF PETITIONER</u>

- Applicants' automobile insurance policyholders are charged rates and premiums that comply with the provisions of Insurance Code section 1861.05(a)'s requirement that "no rate shall be approved or remain in effect which is excessive, inadequate, [or] unfairly discriminatory or otherwise in violation of this chapter," and the requirements contained in the regulations promulgated thereunder. Pursuant to state law, drivers are required to purchase automobile insurance. Consumers who are overcharged by insurers for this insurance coverage are part of Consumer Watchdog's core constituency. The specific issues and positions to be taken by Consumer Watchdog in this proceeding, to the extent known at this time, are set forth in paragraphs 8(a)–(c) *supra*.
- 14. As noted in paragraphs 3–6 *supra*, Consumer Watchdog's staff and consultants have substantial experience and expertise in insurance rate matters, which Consumer Watchdog believes will aid the CDI in its review of the Applications and aid the Commissioner in making his ultimate decision as to whether to approve or disapprove the requested rate. As noted in paragraph 6 above, the Commissioner has found that Consumer Watchdog has made a substantial contribution to his decisions in every rate proceeding that has resulted in a final

10

13

16 17

1819

2021

22

23

24

25

26

2728

decision and in which Consumer Watchdog sought compensation from 2003–2022. If leave to intervene is granted, Consumer Watchdog will participate fully in all aspects of this proceeding.

15. Consumer Watchdog also has an interest in ensuring that Applicants, the CDI, and the Insurance Commissioner comply with the laws enacted by the voters under Proposition 103, and the rules and regulations that implement those laws, including that all information submitted to the CDI in connection with the Applications is made publicly available.

VI. <u>AUTHORITY FOR PETITION TO INTERVENE</u>

- 16. The authority for Consumer Watchdog's petition to intervene is Insurance Code section 1861.10, subdivision (a), which grants "any person" the right to "initiate or intervene in any proceeding permitted or established pursuant to this chapter [Chapter 9 of Part 2 of Division 1 of the Insurance Code] . . . and enforce any provision of this article." This proceeding is a proceeding to enforce Insurance Code section 1861.05 pursuant to Insurance Code section 1861.10(a), and hence is a proceeding both "permitted" and "established" by Chapter 9. Per the voters' instruction, the mandatory right to intervene under section 1861.10(a), like all the provisions of Proposition 103, must be "liberally construed and applied in order to fully promote its underlying purposes." (Prop. 103, § 8.) Thus, section 1861.10 must be interpreted and applied broadly in a manner to fully encourage consumer participation. (Ibid.; see also Ass'n of California Ins. Cos. v. Poizner, supra, 180 Cal.App.4th at 1052 [stating "the goal of fostering" consumer participation in the administrative rate-setting process" as "one of the purposes of Proposition 103"].) The broad intervention standard enacted by section 1861.10 ensures that consumers will be able to participate in proceedings *independently of the CDI staff* who may take different positions or emphasize different issues in the proceeding, and with all rights accorded to any other party, including the right to raise additional issues and/or violations as they become known during the course of the proceeding through informal or formal discovery.
- 17. This petition to intervene is also authorized by 10 CCR § 2661.1 et seq. In compliance with 10 CCR § 2661.3, the specific issues to be raised and positions to be taken by Consumer Watchdog, to the extent known at this time, are set forth in paragraph 8, supra. Each of these issues relate directly to specific standards and requirements under the ratemaking

5 6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

formula at 10 CCR § 2644.1 et seq. and thus are directly relevant to ultimately determining whether Applicants' requested rate is excessive or otherwise unjustified. Although consumer presence in departmental proceedings typically results in significant reductions to policyholders' rates, the amount of savings for each individual consumer is outweighed by the time and expense of hiring individual counsel or an advocacy group to protect his or her rights. Thus, an independent organization like Consumer Watchdog introduces a voice that otherwise would be absent from this proceeding.

VII. PARTICIPATION OF CONSUMER WATCHDOG

18. Consumer Watchdog verifies, in accordance with 10 CCR § 2661.3, that it will be able to attend and participate in this proceeding without unreasonably delaying this proceeding or any other proceedings before the Insurance Commissioner.

VIII. INTENT TO SEEK COMPENSATION

- 19. The Commissioner has awarded Consumer Watchdog compensation for its reasonable advocacy and witness fees and expenses in past departmental proceedings. The Commissioner issued Consumer Watchdog's latest Finding of Eligibility on July 26, 2022, effective for two years as of July 12, 2022. Consumer Watchdog was previously found eligible to seek compensation on August 25, 2020, effective as of July 12, 2020; July 12, 2018; July 14, 2016; July 24, 2014; July 24, 2012; July 2, 2010; August 25, 2008; July 14, 2006; July 2, 2004; June 20, 2002; October 1, 1997; September 26, 1995; September 27, 1994; and September 13, 1993.
- 20. Consumer Watchdog intends to seek compensation in this proceeding. Pursuant to 10 CCR § 2661.3(c), Consumer Watchdog's estimated budget in this proceeding is attached hereto as Exhibit A. Consumer Watchdog has based its estimated budget on several factors including: (1) the technical and legal expertise needed to address these issues; (2) its current best estimate of the time needed to participate effectively in these proceedings, taking into account the time already expended by Consumer Watchdog's legal and actuarial staff and an estimate of time needed to complete remaining tasks through completion of a noticed evidentiary hearing; and (3) past experience in similar rate proceedings before the CDI. The estimated budget is

1	reasonable and the staffing level is appropriate, given the expertise that Consumer Watchdog and			
2	its consultants bring to these proceedings when the issues involved are issues at the very core of			
3	its organizational mission and strike at the very heart of Proposition 103 itself. The budget			
4	presented in the attached Exhibit A is a preliminary estimate, and Consumer Watchdog reserves			
5	the right to amend its proposed budget as its expenses become more certain, or in its request for			
6	final compensation. Consumer Watchdog will give notice of such modifications as soon as			
7	practicable after it discovers the need to revise its estimates and shall comply with the budget			
8	revision requirements in the relevant intervenor regulations.			
9	WHEREFORE, Consumer Watchdog respectfully requests that the Insurance			
10	Commissioner GRANT its petition for hearing and petition to intervene in the proceeding,			
11	having all rights and responsibilities accorded any other party to the proceeding.			
12				
13	DATED: April 29, 2024	Respectfully submitted,		
14		Harvey Rosenfield Pamela Pressley		
15		Benjamin Powell CONSUMER WATCHDOG		
16		D D //		
17	By:	Bu and		
18		Benjamin Powell Attorneys for CONSUMER WATCHDOG		
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
	. I			

<u>VERIFICATION OF BENJAMIN POWELL IN SUPPORT OF CONSUMER</u> WATCHDOG'S PETITION FOR HEARING, PETITION TO INTERVENE, AND NOTICE OF INTENT TO SEEK COMPENSATION

I, Benjamin Powell, verify:

- 1. I am a staff attorney for Consumer Watchdog. If called as a witness, I could and would testify competently to the facts stated in this verification.
- 2. I personally prepared the pleading titled "Consumer Watchdog's Petition for Hearing, Petition to Intervene, and Notice of Intent to Seek Compensation" filed in this matter. All of the factual matters alleged therein are true of my own personal knowledge, or I believe them to be true after conducting some inquiry and investigation.
- 3. Pursuant to California Code of Regulations, title 10, section 2661.3, Consumer Watchdog attaches as Exhibit A its estimated budget in this proceeding.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed April 29, 2024 at Los Angeles, California.

Benjamin Powell

EXHIBIT A PRELIMINARY BUDGET

1

2	ITEM	<u>ES</u>	STIMATED COST
3	1.	Consumer Watchdog Attorneys and Paralegal	
4			
5	Pamela Pressley (Senior Staff Attorney) @ \$595 per hour, 100 hours		
6		Watchdog counsel; oversee preparation of motions, briefing; confer w Watchdog counsel and outside experts regarding legal and evidentiary	
7		in discussions with CDI and Applicants' counsel; assist in all phases of evidentiary hearing, and preparation of post-hearing briefing.	f proceeding,
8 9	Beniai	amin Powell (Staff Attorney) @ \$350 per hour, 200 hours	\$70,000
10	•	Confer with Consumer Watchdog counsel and outside experts regarding evidentiary issues; participate in discussions with CDI and Applicants	ng legal and
11		participate in briefing legal issues; conduct discovery, preparation of r	notions, and
12		preparation for evidentiary hearing; participate in examination of with of evidentiary hearing and post-hearing legal briefing; prepare request	
13	Kaitly	yn Gentile (Paralegal) @ \$200 per hour, 50 hours	
14	•	Draft and edit petition for hearing and petition to intervene; assist with preparation of motions and briefs; prepare request for compensation.	discovery and
15			
16	Harve	ey Rosenfield (Of Counsel) @ \$695 per hour, 15 hours Supervise Consumer Watchdog counsel and participate in strategy dis	
17	2.	Expert Witness: Ben Armstrong	
18	Don A	Armstrong Stoff Actions @ \$425 per hour 100 hours	\$42.500
19	•	Armstrong, Staff Actuary @ \$425 per hour, 100 hours	lysis; participate in
20		meet and confers with the parties as needed; prepare written testimony attorneys in preparation for cross-examination of insurer's expert with	
21		C W 11 F	
22	3.	Consumer Watchdog Expenses	
23	Office expenses (photocopies, facsimile, telephone calls, postage, etc.)\$2,000		\$2,000
24	Travel	el (ground transportation; airfare; hotel)	\$5,000
25	Consu	umer Watchdog Subtotal	\$199,425
26			
27	4.	Expert Witness: AIS Risk Consultants, Inc.	
28	Allan	I. Schwartz, President of AIS Risk Consultants @ \$915 per hour, 50 ho	urs\$45,750

1	• Consulting actuary to review all discovery documents; prepare actuarial analysis; participate in meet and confers with the parties as needed; prepare written testimony;				
2	testify and assist attorneys in preparation for cross-examination of insurers' expert witnesses.				
3	Katherine Tollar @ \$415 per hour, 50 hours				
5	Assist Mr. Schwartz in document review, rate level analysis, preparation of testimony.				
6	TOTAL ESTIMATED BUDGET: \$265,925				
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22 23					
23 24					
25					
26					
27					
28					

PROOF OF SERVICE BY OVERNIGHT OR U.S. MAIL, FAX TRANSMISSION, EMAIL TRANSMISSION AND/OR PERSONAL SERVICE

State of California, City of Los Angeles, County of Los Angeles

I am employed in the City and County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the within action. My business address is 6330 South San Vicente Boulevard, Suite 250, Los Angeles, California 90048, and I am employed in the city and county where this service is occurring.

On April 29, 2024, I caused service of true and correct copies of the document entitled

CONSUMER WATCHDOG'S PETITION FOR HEARING, PETITION TO INTERVENE, AND NOTICE OF INTENT TO SEEK COMPENSATION

upon the persons named in the attached service list, in the following manner:

- 1. If marked FAX SERVICE, by facsimile transmission this date to the FAX number stated to the person(s) named.
- 2. If marked EMAIL, by electronic mail transmission this date to the email address stated.
- 3. If marked U.S. MAIL or OVERNIGHT or HAND DELIVERED, by placing this date for collection for regular or overnight mailing true copies of the within document in sealed envelopes, addressed to each of the persons so listed. I am readily familiar with the regular practice of collection and processing of correspondence for mailing of U.S. Mail and for sending of Overnight mail. If mailed by U.S. Mail, these envelopes would be deposited this day in the ordinary course of business with the U.S. Postal Service. If mailed Overnight, these envelopes would be deposited this day in a box or other facility regularly maintained by the express service carrier, or delivered this day to an authorized courier or driver authorized by the express service carrier to receive documents, in the ordinary course of business, fully prepaid.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on April 29, 2024 at Los Angeles, California.

Kaitlyn Gentile

Service List 1 2 Nikki McKennedy FAX Rate Enforcement Bureau U.S. MAIL 3 **California Department of Insurance OVERNIGHT MAIL** 1901 Harrison Street, 6th Floor HAND DELIVERED 4 Oakland, CA 94612 ⊠ EMAIL 5 Tel. (415) 538-4500 Fax (510) 238-7830 6 Nikki.McKennedy@insurance.ca.gov 7 Jon Phenix FAX 8 **Public Advisor** U.S. MAIL Tina Warren **OVERNIGHT MAIL** 9 Office of the Public Advisor HAND DELIVERED **California Department of Insurance** \boxtimes EMAIL 10 300 Capitol Mall, 17th Floor 11 Sacramento, CA 95814 Tel. (916) 492-3705 12 Fax (510) 238-7830 Jon.Phenix@insurance.ca.gov 13 Tina.Warren@insurance.ca.gov 14 Matt Schmitthenner FAX 15 Product Development Manager U.S. MAIL Farmers Insurance Group 16 **OVERNIGHT MAIL** 700 Quaker Lane HAND DELIVERED 17 Warwick, RI 02866 ⊠ EMAIL Tel. 740-816-3525 18 Matt.Schmitthenner@farmersinsurance.com 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 2

PROOF OF SERVICE