

1 Harvey Rosenfield, SBN 123082  
2 Pamela Pressley, SBN 180362  
3 Ryan Mellino, SBN 342497  
4 CONSUMER WATCHDOG  
5 6330 San Vicente Blvd., Suite 250  
6 Los Angeles, CA 90048  
7 Tel. (310) 392-0522  
8 Fax (310) 392-8874  
9 harvey@consumerwatchdog.org  
10 pam@consumerwatchdog.org  
11 ryan@consumerwatchdog.org

12 Attorneys for CONSUMER WATCHDOG

13 BEFORE THE INSURANCE COMMISSIONER  
14 OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

15 In the Matter of the Rule Application of  
16 State Farm General Insurance Company,  
17 Applicant.

18 File No.: 24-426

19 **CONSUMER WATCHDOG'S**  
20 **PETITION FOR HEARING,**  
21 **PETITION TO INTERVENE, AND**  
22 **NOTICE OF INTENT TO SEEK**  
23 **COMPENSATION**

24 [Ins. Code §§ 1861.05 and 1861.10; Cal.  
25 Code Regs, tit. 10, §§ 2653.1, 2661.2  
26 and 2661.3]

1 Consumer Watchdog hereby requests that the Insurance Commissioner notice a public  
2 hearing pursuant to Insurance Code sections 1861.05, subdivisions (a) and (c), and 1861.10,  
3 subdivision (a), on the issues raised in this petition regarding the above-referenced Rule Change  
4 Application of State Farm General Insurance Company (“State Farm” or “Applicant”), at which  
5 time Applicant will be directed to appear and respond to the issues raised in this petition.

6 Consumer Watchdog also hereby requests that it be granted leave to intervene in the proceeding  
7 on Applicant’s Rule Change Application pursuant to Insurance Code section 1861.10(a).

8 Consumer Watchdog intends to seek compensation in this proceeding, and, pursuant to  
9 California Code of Regulations, title 10 (“10 CCR”), section 2661.3 subdivision (c), Consumer  
10 Watchdog’s proposed budget is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

11 In support of its petition, Consumer Watchdog alleges:

12 **I. THE APPLICATION**

13 1. On or about February 5, 2024, Applicant filed a Rule Change Application with  
14 the California Department of Insurance (“CDI”), proposing the implementation of community  
15 and property level wildfire mitigation discounts for its Homeowners Program.

16 2. On or about March 8, 2024, the public was notified by the CDI of the Application.

17 **II. PETITIONER**

18 3. Petitioner Consumer Watchdog is a nonprofit, nonpartisan public interest  
19 corporation organized to represent the interests of consumers and taxpayers. A core focus of  
20 Consumer Watchdog’s advocacy is the representation of the interests of insurance consumers  
21 and policyholders, particularly as they relate to the implementation and enforcement of  
22 Proposition 103, in matters before the Legislature, the courts, and the CDI.

23 4. Consumer Watchdog’s founder authored Proposition 103 and led the successful  
24 campaign for its enactment by California voters in 1988. Consumer Watchdog’s staff and  
25 consultants include some of the nation’s foremost consumer advocates and experts on insurance  
26 ratemaking matters.

27 5. Consumer Watchdog has served as a public watchdog with regard to insurance  
28 rates and insurer rollback liabilities under Proposition 103 by: monitoring rollback settlements

1 and the status of the rollback regulations; reviewing and challenging rate filings made by insurers  
2 seeking excessive rates; participating in rulemaking and adjudicatory hearings before the CDI;  
3 and educating the public concerning industry underwriting and rating practices, their rights under  
4 Proposition 103, and other provisions of state law. Consumer Watchdog has also initiated and  
5 intervened in actions in state court and appeared as amicus curiae in matters involving the  
6 interpretation and application of Proposition 103 and the Insurance Code.<sup>1</sup>

7 6. Consumer Watchdog has initiated and intervened in numerous proceedings before  
8 the CDI related to the implementation and enforcement of Proposition 103’s reforms, including  
9 over 150 such proceedings in the last twenty years. In every proceeding that has resulted in a  
10 final decision and in which Consumer Watchdog sought compensation from 2003–2022, the  
11 Commissioner found that Consumer Watchdog made a substantial contribution, meaning that its  
12 participation was separate and distinct from any other party and that it presented relevant issues,  
13 evidence, and arguments that resulted in more credible, non-frivolous information being  
14 available to the Commissioner in making his final decision.

### 15 **III. ISSUES AND EVIDENCE TO BE PRESENTED AND POSITIONS OF PETITIONER**

16 7. In the proceeding initiated by Consumer Watchdog’s petition, Consumer  
17 Watchdog will present and elicit evidence to show that Applicant’s proposed rating plan and rule  
18 changes potentially violate Insurance Code section 1861.05(a), which provides that “[n]o rate  
19 shall be approved or remain in effect which is excessive, inadequate, [or] unfairly  
20 discriminatory” and 10 CCR § 2644.9’s requirements relating to the use of wildfire risk models  
21 and the implementation of mandatory wildfire risk mitigation factors. Consumer Watchdog will  
22

---

23  
24 <sup>1</sup> For example, *Calfarm Ins. Co. v. Deukmejian* (1989) 48 Cal.3d 805; *20th Century Ins. Co. v.*  
25 *Garamendi* (1994) 8 Cal.4th 216; *Amwest Surety Ins. Co. v. Wilson* (1995) 11 Cal.4th 1243;  
26 *Proposition 103 Enforcement Project v. Quackenbush* (1998) 64 Cal.App.4th 1473; *Spanish*  
27 *Speaking Citizens’ Found. v. Low* (2000) 85 Cal.App.4th 1179; *Donabedian v. Mercury Ins. Co.*  
28 *(2004) 116 Cal.App.4th 968; State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co. v. Garamendi* (2004) 32 Cal.4th  
1029; *The Found. for Taxpayer and Consumer Rights v. Garamendi* (2005) 132 Cal.App.4th  
1354; *Ass’n of Cal. Ins. Cos. v. Poizner* (2009) 180 Cal.App.4th 1029; *Mercury Cas. Co. v.*  
*Jones* (2017) 8 Cal.App.5th 561; *Mercury Ins. Co. v. Lara* (2019) 35 Cal.App.5th 82; and *State*  
*Farm General Ins. Co. v. Lara* (2021) 71 Cal.App.5th 197.

1 additionally present and elicit evidence to show that Applicant has failed to make all information  
2 available for public inspection in violation of Insurance Code section 1861.07.

3 8. Based on Consumer Watchdog’s preliminary analysis in consultation with its  
4 actuarial expert and the information contained in the Application, Consumer Watchdog has  
5 identified the following issues with the Application on which it intends to present and elicit  
6 evidence as set forth in (a)–(e) below.

7 a. 10 CCR § 2644.9(f) states: “Any rating plan or Wildfire Risk Model submitted to the  
8 Commissioner in connection with a complete rate application . . . shall be available  
9 for public inspection.” Per 10 CCR § 2644.9(b)(6)(A): “The term ‘Wildfire Risk  
10 Model’ means any tool, instrumentality, means or product . . . that is used by an  
11 insurer, in whole or in part, to measure or assess the wildfire risk associated with a  
12 residential or commercial structure for purposes of: 1. Classifying individual  
13 structures according to their wildfire risk; or 2. Estimating losses corresponding to  
14 such wildfire risk classifications.” State Farm acknowledges in the document “HO  
15 Wildfire Mitigation Questionnaire.pdf” that “our geographical rating factor [is]  
16 based on wildfire models,” but goes on to allege that it “do[es] not use a ‘wildfire  
17 risk scoring model’ that differentiates wildfire risk based on individual policyholder  
18 or applicant’s wildfire risk.” However, the wildfire models being used by State Farm  
19 in creating geographical rating factors clearly fall under the definition of “wildfire  
20 risk model,” as the models are used to “classify[] individual structures according to  
21 their wildfire risk” based on the address where the structure is located. Therefore,  
22 State Farm must provide and make publicly available the wildfire models on which  
23 its geographical rating factors are based.

24 b. Pursuant to 10 CCR § 2644.9(g): “Any rate application shall incorporate the  
25 insurer’s own California wildfire loss data to the extent that it is credible to support  
26 each segment, rating differential, or surcharge being requested. To the extent the  
27 insurer’s own California data is not fully credible, the insurer shall credibility-weight  
28 its data with an appropriate complement of credibility to support each segment,

1 rating differential, or premium surcharge.” State Farm has failed to provide the  
2 required data to support that its mitigation discounts are proper and justified. The  
3 document “CA HO 2024-09-01 Compare.pdf,” provided with this filing, lists the two  
4 community-level and ten property-level mitigation factors required by 10 CCR  
5 § 2644.9. Proposed discount amounts are given for each factor. However, no  
6 supporting wildfire loss data whatsoever is incorporated, whether that be the  
7 insurer’s own California data or an appropriate complement of credibility. Exhibit  
8 19-A merely states that “[t]he rating relativities for the new wildfire mitigation  
9 credits were chosen based on actuarial judgment,” and goes on to reference a CAS  
10 research paper used by State Farm to assist in the allocation of the judgmentally-  
11 selected total property-level discount down to the individual mitigation efforts.  
12 These supporting statements are not sufficient to comply with the requirements of 10  
13 CCR § 2644.9(g).

14 Additionally, State Farm’s proposed maximum mitigation discount of 6.4%  
15 (excluding the optional IBHS Prepared Home discount) for completion of both  
16 community-level factors, all ten property-level factors, and the Board of Forestry and  
17 Fire Protection Fire Risk Reduction designation, is lower than the 7.0% maximum  
18 mitigation discount effective 6/1/23 (also exclusive of the IBHS discount). There is a  
19 maximum mitigation discount of 4.3% based only on property-level factors in this  
20 filing, as opposed to a maximum discount of 5% previously. State Farm does not  
21 explain why a higher maximum discount was available for the filing effective 6/1/23  
22 that requires completion of only five property-level mitigation factors, while the  
23 maximum discount proposed in this filing is lower despite requiring completion of ten  
24 property-level mitigation factors. There is no apparent justification for this reduction  
25 in available mitigation discounts (exclusive of IBHS discounts for which  
26 policyholders must pay a \$125 inspection fee).

- 27 c. Subdivision (k)(3) of 10 CCR § 2644.9 states: “Whenever a wildfire risk score, or  
28 other wildfire risk classification used by the insurer to segment, create a risk

1 differential or surcharge the premium for a particular policyholder or applicant, . . .  
2 the insurer shall . . . provide in writing: A detailed written explanation of why the  
3 policyholder or applicant received the assigned score or classification; the  
4 explanation shall make specific reference to the features of the property in question  
5 that influenced the assignment of the score or classification.” State Farm has failed  
6 to provide an example of any such detailed written explanation of the rationale for  
7 the territory factor assigned to the particular policyholder or applicant, which is  
8 based on a wildfire model per “HO Wildfire Mitigation Questionnaire.pdf.”

9 d. Subdivision (l) of 10 CCR § 2644.9 states: “When an insurer responds to the  
10 applicant or policyholder in connection with an appeal pursuant to subdivision (i) or  
11 (j) of this section, it shall also notify the policyholder or applicant in writing that the  
12 policyholder or applicant may contact the Department of Insurance for assistance  
13 . . . . In any event, the insurer shall provide the policyholder or applicant with the  
14 Department of Insurance toll-free consumer hotline and web address of the  
15 Department’s Consumer Complaint Center.” State Farm has failed to provide an  
16 example of how this required information will be communicated to the policyholder  
17 or applicant, making it impossible to ascertain whether it is in compliance with the  
18 regulation.

19 e. In the document “CA HO 2024-09-01 Compare.pdf,” the discounts for IBHS  
20 Wildfire Prepared Home and IBHS Wildfire Prepared Home Plus are given as 2.0%  
21 and 3.8%, respectively. However, in the document “Non-Tenant Mitigation Credit  
22 Notification.pdf,” the same discounts are given as 6.0% and 8.0%. This discrepancy  
23 must be corrected by State Farm.

24 9. This petition is based upon Consumer Watchdog’s preliminary analysis of the  
25 Application. Thus, Consumer Watchdog reserves the right to modify, withdraw, and/or add  
26 issues for consideration as more information becomes available, including but not limited to  
27 violations of Insurance Code section 1859 if it is discovered during the course of the proceeding  
28 that Applicant has willfully withheld information from, or knowingly given false or misleading

1 information to, the Commissioner or to any rating organization, advisory organization, insurer or  
2 group, association, or other organization of insurers that will affect its rates, rating systems, or  
3 premiums that are the subject of this filing.

4 **IV. AUTHORITY FOR PETITION AND GRANTING REQUEST FOR A HEARING**

5 10. The authority for this petition for hearing is Insurance Code section 1861.10,  
6 subdivision (a), which grants “any person” the right to initiate or intervene in a proceeding  
7 permitted or established by Proposition 103 and the right to enforce Proposition 103.  
8 Specifically, as stated above, Consumer Watchdog initiates this proceeding to enforce Insurance  
9 Code section 1861.05 and the Commissioner’s regulations.

10 11. Additionally, a hearing is authorized pursuant to Insurance Code section 1861.05,  
11 subdivision (c), which allows “a consumer or his or her representative” to request a hearing on a  
12 rate application and 10 CCR § 2653.1, which provides that “any person, whether as an  
13 individual, representative of an organization, or on behalf of the general public, may request a  
14 hearing by submitting a petition for hearing.”

15 12. This petition is timely pursuant to Insurance Code section 1861.05, subdivision  
16 (c), and 10 CCR § 2646.4(a)(1) because it is filed within forty-five (45) days of the March 8,  
17 2024 public notice date.

18 **V. INTEREST OF PETITIONER**

19 13. Consumer Watchdog’s interest in the above-captioned proceeding is to ensure that  
20 Applicant’s homeowners insurance policyholders are charged rates and premiums that comply  
21 with the provisions of Insurance Code section 1861.05(a)’s requirement that “no rate shall be  
22 approved or remain in effect which is excessive, inadequate, [or] unfairly discriminatory or  
23 otherwise in violation of this chapter,” the requirements contained in 10 CCR § 2644.9  
24 pertaining to wildfire risk mitigation discounts, models and wildfire risk scores, and the public  
25 access requirements of Insurance Code section 1861.07. For many homeowners, their home is  
26 their most valuable asset and they are required to purchase homeowners insurance by their  
27 mortgage lenders. Consumers who are overcharged by insurers for this insurance coverage  
28 and/or arbitrarily non-renewed are part of Consumer Watchdog’s core constituency.

1           14. As noted in paragraphs 3–6 *supra*, Consumer Watchdog’s staff and consultants  
2 have substantial experience and expertise in insurance rate matters, which Consumer Watchdog  
3 believes will aid the CDI in its review of the Application and aid the Commissioner in making  
4 his ultimate decision as to whether to approve or disapprove the requested rate. As noted in  
5 paragraph 6 above, the Commissioner found that Consumer Watchdog has made a substantial  
6 contribution to his decisions in every rate proceeding that has resulted in a final decision and in  
7 which Consumer Watchdog sought compensation from 2003–2022. If leave to intervene is  
8 granted, Consumer Watchdog will participate fully in all aspects of this proceeding.

9           15. Consumer Watchdog also has an interest in ensuring that Applicant, the CDI, and  
10 the Insurance Commissioner comply with the laws enacted by the voters under Proposition 103,  
11 and the rules and regulations that implement those laws, including that all information submitted  
12 to the CDI in connection with the Application is made publicly available.

13 **VI. AUTHORITY FOR PETITION TO INTERVENE**

14           16. The authority for Consumer Watchdog’s petition to intervene is Insurance Code  
15 section 1861.10, subdivision (a), which grants “any person” the right to “initiate or intervene in  
16 any proceeding permitted or established pursuant to this chapter [Chapter 9 of Part 2 of Division  
17 1 of the Insurance Code] . . . and enforce any provision of this article.” This proceeding is a  
18 proceeding to enforce Insurance Code section 1861.05, pursuant to Insurance Code section  
19 1861.10(a), and hence is a proceeding both “permitted” and “established” by Chapter 9. Per the  
20 voters’ instruction, the mandatory right to intervene under section 1861.10(a), like all the  
21 provisions of Proposition 103, must be “liberally construed and applied in order to fully promote  
22 its underlying purposes.” (Prop. 103, § 8.) Thus, section 1861.10 must be interpreted and applied  
23 broadly in a manner to fully encourage consumer participation. (*Ibid.*; see also *Ass’n of*  
24 *California Ins. Cos. v. Poizner, supra*, 180 Cal.App.4th at 1052 [stating “the goal of fostering  
25 consumer participation in the administrative rate-setting process” as “one of the purposes of  
26 Proposition 103”].) The broad intervention standard enacted by section 1861.10 ensures that  
27 consumers will be able to participate in proceedings *independently of the CDI staff* who may take  
28 different positions or emphasize different issues in the proceeding, and with all rights accorded



1 to any other party, including the right to raise additional issues and/or violations as they become  
2 known during the course of the proceeding through informal or formal discovery.

3 17. This petition to intervene is also authorized by 10 CCR § 2661.1 et seq. In  
4 compliance with 10 CCR § 2661.3, the specific issues to be raised and positions to be taken by  
5 Consumer Watchdog, *to the extent known at this time*, are set forth in paragraph 8, *supra*. Each  
6 of these issues are directly relevant to determining whether Applicant's requested rating plan  
7 changes are in compliance with Insurance Code sections 1861.05(a) and 1861.07 and 10 CCR  
8 § 2644.9. Although consumer presence in departmental proceedings typically results in  
9 significant reductions to policyholders' rates, the amount of savings for each individual  
10 consumer is outweighed by the time and expense of hiring individual counsel or an advocacy  
11 group to protect his or her rights. Thus, an independent organization like Consumer Watchdog  
12 introduces a voice that otherwise would be absent from this proceeding.

13 **VII. PARTICIPATION OF CONSUMER WATCHDOG**

14 18. Consumer Watchdog verifies, in accordance with 10 CCR § 2661.3, that it will be  
15 able to attend and participate in this proceeding without unreasonably delaying this proceeding  
16 or any other proceedings before the Insurance Commissioner.

17 **VIII. INTENT TO SEEK COMPENSATION**

18 19. The Commissioner has awarded Consumer Watchdog compensation for its  
19 reasonable advocacy and witness fees and expenses in past departmental proceedings. The  
20 Commissioner issued Consumer Watchdog's latest Finding of Eligibility on July 26, 2022,  
21 effective for two years as of July 12, 2022. Consumer Watchdog was previously found eligible to  
22 seek compensation on August 25, 2020, effective as of July 12, 2020; July 12, 2018; July 14,  
23 2016; July 24, 2014; July 24, 2012; July 2, 2010; August 25, 2008; July 14, 2006; July 2, 2004;  
24 June 20, 2002; October 1, 1997; September 26, 1995; September 27, 1994; and September 13,  
25 1993.


26 20. Consumer Watchdog intends to seek compensation in this proceeding. Pursuant to  
27 10 CCR § 2661.3(c), Consumer Watchdog's estimated budget in this proceeding is attached  
28 hereto as Exhibit A. Consumer Watchdog has based its estimated budget on several factors

1 including: (1) the technical and legal expertise needed to address these issues; (2) its current best  
2 estimate of the time needed to participate effectively in these proceedings, taking into account  
3 the time already expended by Consumer Watchdog’s legal and actuarial staff and an estimate of  
4 time needed to complete remaining tasks through completion of a noticed evidentiary hearing;  
5 and (3) past experience in similar rate proceedings before the CDI. The estimated budget is  
6 reasonable and the staffing level is appropriate, given the expertise that Consumer Watchdog and  
7 its consultants bring to these proceedings when the issues involved are issues at the very core of  
8 its organizational mission and strike at the very heart of Proposition 103 itself. The budget  
9 presented in the attached Exhibit A is a preliminary estimate, and Consumer Watchdog reserves  
10 the right to amend its proposed budget as its expenses become more certain, or in its request for  
11 final compensation. Consumer Watchdog will give notice of such modifications as soon as  
12 practicable after it discovers the need to revise its estimates and shall comply with the budget  
13 revision requirements in the relevant intervenor regulations.

14 WHEREFORE, Consumer Watchdog respectfully requests that the Insurance  
15 Commissioner GRANT its petition for hearing and petition to intervene in the proceeding,  
16 having all rights and responsibilities accorded any other party to the proceeding.

17  
18 DATED: April 22, 2024

Respectfully submitted,  
Harvey Rosenfield  
Pamela Pressley  
Ryan Mellino  
CONSUMER WATCHDOG

19  
20  
21  
22 By:   
23 Ryan Mellino  
24 Attorneys for CONSUMER WATCHDOG  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 **VERIFICATION OF RYAN MELLINO IN SUPPORT OF CONSUMER WATCHDOG'S**  
2 **PETITION FOR HEARING, PETITION TO INTERVENE, AND NOTICE OF INTENT**  
3 **TO SEEK COMPENSATION**

4 I, Ryan Mellino, verify:

5 1. I am a staff attorney for Consumer Watchdog. If called as a witness, I could and  
6 would testify competently to the facts stated in this verification.

7 2. I personally prepared the pleading titled "Consumer Watchdog's Petition for  
8 Hearing, Petition to Intervene, and Notice of Intent to Seek Compensation" filed in this matter.  
9 All of the factual matters alleged therein are true of my own personal knowledge, or I believe  
10 them to be true after conducting some inquiry and investigation.

11 3. Pursuant to California Code of Regulations, title 10, section 2661.3, Consumer  
12 Watchdog attaches as Exhibit A its estimated budget in this proceeding.

13 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the  
14 foregoing is true and correct.

15 Executed April 22, 2024 at Los Angeles, California.

16   
17 \_\_\_\_\_  
18 Ryan Mellino

**EXHIBIT A  
PRELIMINARY BUDGET**

**ITEMS**

**ESTIMATED COST**

1. Consumer Watchdog Attorneys and Paralegal

Pamela Pressley (Senior Staff Attorney) @ \$595 per hour, 100 hours ..... \$59,500

- Draft and edit petition for hearing and petition to intervene; supervise Consumer Watchdog counsel; oversee preparation of motions, briefing; confer with Consumer Watchdog counsel and outside experts regarding legal and evidentiary issues; participate in discussions with CDI and Applicant’s counsel; assist in all phases of proceeding, evidentiary hearing, and preparation of post-hearing briefing.

Ryan Mellino (Staff Attorney) @ \$250 per hour, 200 hours..... \$50,000

- Confer with Consumer Watchdog counsel and outside experts regarding legal and evidentiary issues; participate in discussions with CDI and Applicant’s counsel; participate in briefing legal issues; conduct discovery, preparation of motions, and preparation for evidentiary hearing; participate in examination of witnesses and all phases of evidentiary hearing and post-hearing legal briefing; prepare request for compensation.

Kaitlyn Gentile (Paralegal) @ \$200 per hour, 50 hours ..... \$10,000

- Draft and edit petition for hearing and petition to intervene; assist with discovery and preparation of motions and briefs; prepare request for compensation.

Harvey Rosenfield (Of Counsel) @ \$695 per hour, 15 hours ..... \$10,425

- Supervise Consumer Watchdog counsel and participate in strategy discussions.

2. Expert Witness: Ben Armstrong

Ben Armstrong, Staff Actuary @ \$425 per hour, 100 hours ..... \$42,500

- Staff actuary to review all discovery documents; prepare actuarial analysis; participate in meet and confers with the parties as needed; prepare written testimony; testify and assist attorneys in preparation for cross-examination of insurer’s expert witnesses.

3. Consumer Watchdog Expenses

Office expenses (photocopies, facsimile, telephone calls, postage, etc.) .....\$2,000

Travel (ground transportation; airfare; hotel) .....\$5,000

**TOTAL ESTIMATED BUDGET:** **\$179,425**

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**PROOF OF SERVICE**  
**BY OVERNIGHT OR U.S. MAIL, FAX TRANSMISSION,**  
**EMAIL TRANSMISSION AND/OR PERSONAL SERVICE**

**State of California, City of Los Angeles, County of Los Angeles**

I am employed in the City and County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the within action. My business address is 6330 South San Vicente Boulevard, Suite 250, Los Angeles, California 90048, and I am employed in the city and county where this service is occurring.

On April 22, 2024, I caused service of true and correct copies of the document entitled

**CONSUMER WATCHDOG'S PETITION FOR HEARING, PETITION TO INTERVENE,  
AND NOTICE OF INTENT TO SEEK COMPENSATION**

upon the persons named in the attached service list, in the following manner:

1. If marked FAX SERVICE, by facsimile transmission this date to the FAX number stated to the person(s) named.
2. If marked EMAIL, by electronic mail transmission this date to the email address stated.
3. If marked U.S. MAIL or OVERNIGHT or HAND DELIVERED, by placing this date for collection for regular or overnight mailing true copies of the within document in sealed envelopes, addressed to each of the persons so listed. I am readily familiar with the regular practice of collection and processing of correspondence for mailing of U.S. Mail and for sending of Overnight mail. If mailed by U.S. Mail, these envelopes would be deposited this day in the ordinary course of business with the U.S. Postal Service. If mailed Overnight, these envelopes would be deposited this day in a box or other facility regularly maintained by the express service carrier, or delivered this day to an authorized courier or driver authorized by the express service carrier to receive documents, in the ordinary course of business, fully prepaid.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on April 22, 2024 at Los Angeles, California.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Kaitlyn Gentile

## Service List

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Nikki McKennedy  
Lisbeth Landsman-Smith  
Jennifer McCune  
Rate Enforcement Bureau  
**California Department of Insurance**  
1901 Harrison Street, 6th Floor  
Oakland, CA 94612  
Tel. (415) 538-4500  
Fax (510) 238-7830  
Nikki.McKennedy@insurance.ca.gov  
Lisbeth.Landsman@insurance.ca.gov  
Jennifer.McCune@insurance.ca.gov

- FAX
- U.S. MAIL
- OVERNIGHT MAIL
- HAND DELIVERED
- EMAIL

Jon Phenix  
Public Advisor  
Tina Warren  
Office of the Public Advisor  
**California Department of Insurance**  
300 Capitol Mall, 17th Floor  
Sacramento, CA 95814  
Tel. (916) 492-3705  
Fax (510) 238-7830  
Jon.Phenix@insurance.ca.gov  
Tina.Warren@insurance.ca.gov

- FAX
- U.S. MAIL
- OVERNIGHT MAIL
- HAND DELIVERED
- EMAIL

Nicole Pettis  
Pricing Manager  
**State Farm General Insurance Company**  
1 State Farm Plaza  
Bloomington, IL 61710-0001  
Tel. 309-766-2265  
nicole.pettis.m3ht@statefarm.com

- FAX
- U.S. MAIL
- OVERNIGHT MAIL
- HAND DELIVERED
- EMAIL