1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Harvey Rosenfield, SBN 123082 Pamela Pressley, SBN 180362 Benjamin Powell, SBN 311624 Ryan Mellino, SBN 342497 CONSUMER WATCHDOG 6330 San Vicente Blvd., Suite 250 Los Angeles, CA 90048 Tel. (310) 392-0522 Fax (310) 392-8874 harvey@consumerwatchdog.org pam@consumerwatchdog.org ben@consumerwatchdog.org ryan.m@consumerwatchdog.org		
9			
10	BEFORE THE INSURANCE COMMISSIONER		
11	OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
12	In the Matter of the Rate/Rule Applications of	File Nos.: 23-1129, 23-1129-A, 23-1129-B	
13 14	Farmers Insurance Exchange,	CONSUMER WATCHDOG'S PETITION	
14	Fire Insurance Exchange, and Mid-Century Insurance Company,	FOR HEARING, PETITION TO INTERVENE, AND NOTICE OF INTENT TO SEEK COMPENSATION	
16	Applicants.	[Ins. Code §§ 1861.02, 1861.05, and 1861.10;	
17		Cal. Code Regs, tit. 10, §§ 2653.1, 2661.2 and 2661.3]	
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23 24			
24			
26			
27			
28			
		1	
	CONSUMER WATCHDOG'S PETITION FOR HEARING, PETITION TO INTERVENE, AND NOTICE OF INTENT TO SEEK COMPENSATION		

Pursuant to Insurance Code sections 1861.05 and 1861.10(a) and the Settlement Stipulation in the recently concluded rate proceeding (PA-2023-00009) on the homeowners rate applications of Farmers Insurance Exchange, Fire Insurance Exchange, and Mid-Century Insurance Company ("Farmers" or "Applicants"), Consumer Watchdog hereby petitions for a hearing and requests that it be granted leave to intervene in the proceeding on Applicants' abovereferenced Rate and Rule Applications seeking approval of new property-level and communitylevel mitigation designations and discounts to comply with California Code of Regulations, title 10 ("10 CCR"), section 2644.9. Consumer Watchdog intends to seek compensation in this proceeding, and, pursuant to 10 CCR, section 2661.3, subdivision (c), Consumer Watchdog's proposed budget is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

In support of its petition, Consumer Watchdog alleges:

## I. <u>THE APPLICATIONS</u>

1. On or about April 12, 2023, Applicants filed Prior Approval Rate and Rule Applications with the California Department of Insurance ("CDI"), seeking approval of rule and rating plan changes to implement new property-level and community-level mitigation designations and discounts to comply with 10 CCR Section 2644.9, "Consideration of Mitigation Factors; Wildfire Risk Models" (File Nos. 23-1129, 23-1129-A, and 23-1129-B ["the Applications"]).

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

On or about May 5, 2023, the public was notified of the Applications.

# II. <u>PETITIONER</u>

2.

3. Petitioner Consumer Watchdog is a nonprofit, nonpartisan, public interest corporation organized to represent the interests of consumers and taxpayers. A core focus of Consumer Watchdog's advocacy is the representation of the interests of insurance consumers and policyholders, particularly as they relate to the implementation and enforcement of Proposition 103, in matters before the Legislature, the courts, and the CDI.

26 4. Consumer Watchdog's founder authored Proposition 103 and led the successful
27 campaign for its enactment by California voters in 1988. Consumer Watchdog's staff and

28

consultants include some of the nation's foremost consumer advocates and experts on insurance ratemaking matters.

5. Consumer Watchdog has served as a public watchdog with regard to insurance rates and insurer rollback liabilities under Proposition 103 by: monitoring rollback settlements and the status of the rollback regulations; reviewing and challenging rate filings made by insurers seeking excessive rates; participating in rulemaking and adjudicatory hearings before the CDI including the rulemaking proceeding that led to the adoption of the mitigation discount and wildfire risk model regulations in 10 CCR § 2644.9; and educating the public concerning industry underwriting and rating practices, their rights under Proposition 103, and other provisions of state law. Consumer Watchdog has also initiated and intervened in actions in state court and appeared as amicus curiae in matters involving the interpretation and application of Proposition 103 and the Insurance Code.<sup>1</sup>

6. Consumer Watchdog has initiated and intervened in numerous proceedings before the CDI related to the implementation and enforcement of Proposition 103's reforms, including over 125 such proceedings in the last twenty years. In every rate proceeding that has resulted in a final decision and in which Consumer Watchdog sought compensation from 2003–2022, the Commissioner found that Consumer Watchdog made a substantial contribution, meaning that its participation was separate and distinct from any other party and that it presented relevant issues, evidence, and arguments that resulted in more credible, non-frivolous information being available to the Commissioner in making his final decision.

<sup>1</sup> For example, *Calfarm Ins. Co. v. Deukmejian* (1989) 48 Cal.3d 805; *20th Century Ins. Co. v. Garamendi* (1994) 8 Cal.4th 216; *Amwest Surety Ins. Co. v. Wilson* (1995) 11 Cal.4th 1243; *Proposition 103 Enforcement Project v. Quackenbush* (1998) 64 Cal.App.4th 1473; *Spanish Speaking Citizens' Foundation v. Low* (2000) 85 Cal.App.4th 1179; *Donabedian v. Mercury Insurance Co.* (2004) 116 Cal.App.4th 968; *State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co. v. Garamendi* (2004) 32 Cal.4th 1029; *The Found. for Taxpayer and Consumer Rights v. Garamendi* (2005) 132
Cal.App.4th 1354; *Ass 'n of Cal. Ins. Cos. v. Poizner* (2009) 180 Cal.App.4th 1029; *Mercury Cas. Co. v. Jones* (2017) 8 Cal.App.5th 561; and *Mercury Ins. Co. v. Lara* (2019) 35 Cal.App.5th 82; and *State Farm General Ins. Co. v. Lara* (2021) 71 Cal.App.5th 197.

CONSUMER WATCHDOG'S PETITION FOR HEARING, PETITION TO INTERVENE, AND NOTICE OF INTENT TO SEEK COMPENSATION

3

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

## III. <u>EVIDENCE</u>

7. In the proceeding initiated by Consumer Watchdog's petition, Consumer Watchdog will present and elicit evidence to show that the proposed rate and rule changes result in rates that are excessive and/or unfairly discriminatory in violation of Insurance Code section 1861.05, subdivision (a), which provides that "[n]o rate shall be approved or remain in effect which is excessive, inadequate, [or] unfairly discriminatory." Additionally, Consumer Watchdog will present and elicit evidence that Applicants' proposed rating plan and rule changes potentially violate 10 CCR § 2644.9's requirements relating to the use of wildfire risk models and the implementation of mandatory wildfire risk mitigation factors.

8. Based on Consumer Watchdog's preliminary analysis in consultation with its actuarial expert and the information contained in the Applications, Consumer Watchdog has identified the following issues with the Applications on which it intends to present and elicit evidence as set forth in (a)–(c) below.

a. 10 CCR § 2644.9(d)(1)(B) [property mitigation discounts]; see also (d)(1)(A)
[community mitigation discount]; 10 CCR § 2644.9(g): The regulation provides, "The rating plan shall reflect, and the rate offered to the applicant or insured shall be based in part on, the reduced wildfire risk resulting from each and every property-level wildfire risk mitigation effort listed in subdivisions (d)(1)(B)1.a. through (d)(1)(B)1.e. and (d)(1)(B)2.a. through (d)(1)(B)2.e., below, that is undertaken with respect to an individual property being assessed for risk." (10 CCR § 2644.9(d)(1)(B) [property mitigation discounts]; see also (d)(1)(A) [community mitigation discount].) The regulation further provides, "To the extent the insurer's own California data is not fully credible, the insurer shall credibility-weight its data with an appropriate complement of credibility to support each segment, rating differential, or premium surcharge. If the Commissioner aggregates California premium-and-loss data by wildfire risk to create a fire and wildfire exposure risk manual pursuant to Insurance Code section 929.2, an insurer may rely on the then-current version of the manual as support for each segment, rating differential, or surcharge being requested in

Δ

connection with a residential property rate application, either directly or as a complement of credibility to the insurer's own California wildfire loss data." (10 CCR § 2644.9(g).) Farmers has not demonstrated compliance with the regulation as its proposal fails to provide any meaningful premium discounts to homeowners who complete the wildfire mitigation measures set forth in § 2644.9. Per comments made by Farmers during a call with Consumer Watchdog on August 9, 2023, the average mitigation discount for customers with FireLine scores of 0–3 is \$20 to \$30. This could conceivably be justified, as customers in those areas have negligible wildfire risk. However, for customers with higher FireLine scores (and thus more significant wildfire risk), the average discount is still quite small—in the range of \$40 to \$75 again per Farmers' comments. This is especially troubling given that, as Farmers revealed for the first time in its August 8, 2023 email response to Consumer Watchdog's August 7 email, customers must pay out-of-pocket for a home inspection in order to even be eligible for the mitigation discounts, and Farmers stated on the aforementioned August 9 call that the cost of the inspection is around \$100. Since the average mitigation discount across all of Farmers' business is in the range of \$20 to \$75, the average net "discount" is in fact a surcharge when the \$100 inspection cost is factored in.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

b. Ins. Code § 1859: Farmers fails to disclose the cost of the home inspection in their proposed rate or rule manuals, instead giving the impression that any premium discounts for undertaking wildfire mitigation measures (which are themselves quite costly) will have a net effect of reducing the overall amount consumers pay for homeowners insurance.

c. 10 CCR § 2644.9(h)–(k): Based on the information and documents provided by Farmers to date in response to Consumer Watchdog's prior requests for information in PA-2023-00009 regarding the written procedure Farmers proposes to adopt to comply with subdivisions (h)–(k) of the regulation, it appears that Farmers' written procedures and notifications do not include a clear explanation of all the information required under the regulation, including but not limited to the rate impact of the wildfire risk score, and steps the homeowner could take to lower their score.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

9. Consumer Watchdog reserves the right to modify, withdraw, and/or add issues for consideration as more information becomes available, including but not limited to violations of Insurance Code section 1859 and 1861.07 for failure to publicly disclose information in its filings that will affect policyholders' rates and premiums.

## **IV. AUTHORITY FOR PETITION AND GRANTING REQUEST FOR A HEARING**

10. The authority for this petition for hearing is Insurance Code section 1861.10, subdivision (a), which grants "any person" the right to initiate or intervene in a proceeding permitted or established by Proposition 103 and the right to enforce Proposition 103. Specifically, as stated above, Consumer Watchdog initiates this proceeding to enforce Insurance Code sections 1861.05 and 1861.07 and 10 CCR § 2644.9.

11. Additionally, a hearing is authorized pursuant to Insurance Code section 1861.05, subdivision (c), which allows "a consumer or his or her representative" to request a hearing on a rate application, and 10 CCR § 2653.1, which provides that "any person, whether as an individual, representative of an organization, or on behalf of the general public, may request a hearing by submitting a petition for hearing."

12. Although this petition was not filed within forty-five (45) days of the May 5, 2023 public notice date pursuant to Insurance Code section 1861.05, subdivision (c), and 10 CCR § 2646.4(a)(1), it is timely, as Consumer Watchdog, Farmers, and the CDI previously stipulated that Consumer Watchdog will be permitted to intervene and participate in discussions regarding the Applications. (Settlement Stipulation, Aug. 10, 2023, In the Matter of the Rate Applications of Farmers Insurance Exchange, Fire Insurance Exchange, and Mid-Century Insurance

24 *Company*, File No. PA-2023-00009, p. 2, ¶ 3.)

## V. INTEREST OF PETITIONER

26 13. Consumer Watchdog's interest in the above-captioned proceeding is to ensure that 27 Applicants' homeowners insurance policyholders are charged rates and premiums that comply 28 with the provisions of Insurance Code section 1861.05(a)'s requirement that "no rate shall be

approved or remain in effect which is excessive, inadequate, [or] unfairly discriminatory or otherwise in violation of this chapter," and the requirements contained in the regulations promulgated thereunder. For many homeowners, their home is their most valuable asset and they are required to purchase homeowners insurance by their mortgage lenders. Consumers who are overcharged by insurers for this insurance coverage and/or arbitrarily non-renewed, even when they have undertaken significant wildfire mitigation efforts to protect their homes and lower their risk of loss, are part of Consumer Watchdog's core constituency.

14. As noted in paragraphs 3–6 above, Consumer Watchdog's staff and consultants have substantial experience and expertise in insurance rate matters, which Consumer Watchdog believes will aid the CDI in its review of the Applications and aid the Commissioner in making his ultimate decision as to whether to approve or disapprove the requested rates. If leave to intervene is granted, Consumer Watchdog will participate fully in all aspects of this proceeding.

15. Consumer Watchdog also has an interest in assuring that Applicants, the CDI, and the Insurance Commissioner comply with the laws enacted by the voters under Proposition 103, and the rules and regulations that implement those laws.

### **VI. AUTHORITY FOR PETITION TO INTERVENE**

16. The authority for Consumer Watchdog's petition to intervene is Insurance Code section 1861.10, subdivision (a), which grants "any person" the right to "initiate or intervene in any proceeding permitted or established pursuant to this chapter [Chapter 9 of Part 2 of Division 1 of the Insurance Code] . . . and enforce any provision of this article." This proceeding is a proceeding to enforce Insurance Code sections 1861.05 and 1861.07 pursuant to Insurance Code section 1861.10(a), and hence is a proceeding both "permitted" and "established" by Chapter 9. This petition to intervene is also authorized by 10 CCR § 2661.1 et seq. Although consumer presence in departmental proceedings typically results in significant reductions to policyholders' rates, the amount of savings for each individual consumer is outweighed by the time and expense of hiring individual counsel or an advocacy group to protect his or her rights. Thus, an independent organization like Consumer Watchdog introduces a voice that otherwise would be absent from this proceeding.

1

2

3

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

### VII. PARTICIPATION OF CONSUMER WATCHDOG

17. Consumer Watchdog verifies, in accordance with 10 CCR § 2661.3, that it will be able to attend and participate in this proceeding without unreasonably delaying this proceeding or any other proceedings before the Insurance Commissioner.

### VIII. INTENT TO SEEK COMPENSATION

18. The Commissioner has awarded Consumer Watchdog compensation for its reasonable advocacy and witness fees and expenses in past departmental proceedings. The Commissioner issued Consumer Watchdog's latest Finding of Eligibility on July 26, 2022, effective for two years as of July 12, 2022. Consumer Watchdog was previously found eligible to seek compensation on August 25, 2020, effective as of July 12, 2020; July 12, 2018; July 14, 2016; July 24, 2014; July 24, 2012; July 2, 2010; August 25, 2008; July 14, 2006; July 2, 2004; June 20, 2002; October 1, 1997; September 26, 1995; September 27, 1994; and September 13, 1993.

19. Consumer Watchdog intends to seek compensation in this proceeding. Pursuant to 10 CCR § 2661.3(c), Consumer Watchdog's estimated budget in this proceeding is attached hereto as Exhibit A. Consumer Watchdog has based its estimated budget on several factors including: (1) the technical and legal expertise needed to address these issues; (2) its current best estimate of the time needed to participate effectively in these proceedings, taking into account the time already expended by Consumer Watchdog staff and its consulting actuary and an estimate of time needed to complete remaining tasks through completion of the proceeding; and (3) past experience in similar rate proceedings before the CDI. The estimated budget is reasonable and the staffing level is appropriate, given the expertise that Consumer Watchdog and its consultants bring to these proceedings when the issues involved are issues at the very core of its organizational mission and strike at the very heart of Proposition 103 itself. The budget presented in the attached Exhibit A is a preliminary estimate, and Consumer Watchdog reserves the right to amend its proposed budget as its expenses become more certain and/or if an evidentiary hearing is noticed, or in its request for final compensation. Consumer Watchdog will give notice of such

modifications as soon as practicable after it discovers the need to revise its estimates and shall comply with the budget revision requirements in the relevant intervenor regulations.

WHEREFORE, Consumer Watchdog respectfully requests that the Insurance Commissioner GRANT its petition for hearing and petition to intervene in the proceeding.

DATED: August 30, 2023

Respectfully submitted, Harvey Rosenfield Pamela Pressley Benjamin Powell Ryan Mellino CONSUMER WATCHDOG

Pamela Presiley By:

Pamela Pressley Attorney for CONSUMER WATCHDOG

### **VERIFICATION OF PAMELA PRESSLEY IN SUPPORT OF CONSUMER** WATCHDOG'S PETITION FOR HEARING, PETITION TO INTERVENE, AND NOTICE OF INTENT TO SEEK COMPENSATION

I, Pamela Pressley, verify:

1. I am Senior Staff Attorney for Consumer Watchdog. If called as a witness, I could and would testify competently to the facts stated in this verification.

2. I personally prepared the pleading titled "Consumer Watchdog's Petition for Hearing, Petition to Intervene, and Notice of Intent to Seek Compensation" filed in this matter. All of the factual matters alleged therein are true of my own personal knowledge, or I believe them to be true after conducting some inquiry and investigation.

3. Pursuant to California Code of Regulations, title 10, section 2661.3, Consumer Watchdog attaches as Exhibit A its estimated budget in this proceeding.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed August 30, 2023, at Los Angeles, California.

<u>Pamela Presiley</u> Pamela Pressley

CONSUMER WATCHDOG'S PETITION FOR HEARING, PETITION TO INTERVENE, AND NOTICE OF INTENT TO SEEK COMPENSATION

1	EXHIBIT A PRELIMINARY BUDGET		
2	ITEMS ESTIMATED COST		
3	1. <u>Consumer Watchdog Attorneys and Paralegal</u>		
4 5 6	<ul> <li>Pamela Pressley (Senior Staff Attorney) @ \$595 per hour, 50 hours</li></ul>		
7 8	<ul> <li>counsel regarding issues raised by the petition; assist in all phases of proceeding and preparation of request for compensation.</li> <li>Benjamin Powell (Staff Attorney) @ \$350 per hour, 75 hours</li></ul>		
9 10 11	• Confer with Consumer Watchdog counsel and outside experts regarding legal and evidentiary issues; participate in discussions and communications with CDI and Applicants' counsel; prepare request for compensation.		
12 13 14	<ul> <li>Kaitlyn Gentile (Paralegal) @ \$200 per hour, 25 hours</li></ul>		
15	<ul> <li>Harvey Rosenfield (Of Counsel) @ \$695 per hour, 15 hours</li></ul>		
16	2. <u>Expert Witness: Ben Armstrong</u>		
17 18 19	<ul> <li>Ben Armstrong, Staff Actuary @ \$425 per hour, 100 hours</li></ul>		
20 21	3. <u>Consumer Watchdog Expenses</u>		
22	Office expenses (photocopies, facsimile, telephone calls, postage, etc.)\$1,000		
23 24	TOTAL ESTIMATED BUDGET: \$114,925		
25 26			
27			
28			
	CONSUMER WATCHDOG'S PETITION FOR HEARING, PETITION TO INTERVENE, AND NOTICE OF INTENT TO SEEK COMPENSATION		

1	PROOF OF SERVICE BY OVERNIGHT OR U.S. MAIL, FAX TRANSMISSION,				
2	EMAIL TRANSMISSION AND/OR PERSONAL SERVICE				
3	State of California, City of Los Angeles, County of Los Angeles				
4	I am employed in the City and County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18				
5 6	years and not a party to the within action. My business address is 6330 South San Vicente Boulevar Suite 250, Los Angeles, California 90048, and I am employed in the city and county where this				
7	service is occurring.				
8	On August 30, 2023, I caused service of true and correct copies of the document entitled				
8 9	CONSUMER WATCHDOG'S PETITION FOR HEARING, PETITION TO INTERVENE, AND NOTICE OF INTENT TO SEEK COMPENSATION				
10	upon the persons named in the attached service list, in the following manner:				
11 12	1. If marked FAX SERVICE, by facsimile transmission this date to the FAX number stated to the person(s) named.				
13	2. If marked EMAIL, by electronic mail transmission this date to the email address stated.				
14	3. If marked U.S. MAIL or OVERNIGHT or HAND DELIVERED, by placing this date for				
15 16	collection for regular or overnight mailing true copies of the within document in sealed envelopes, addressed to each of the persons so listed. I am readily familiar with the regular practice of collection				
17	and processing of correspondence for mailing of U.S. Mail and for sending of Overnight mail. If mailed by U.S. Mail, these envelopes would be deposited this day in the ordinary course of business				
18 19	with the U.S. Postal Service. If mailed Overnight, these envelopes would be deposited this day in a box or other facility regularly maintained by the express service carrier, or delivered this day to an authorized courier or driver authorized by the express service carrier to receive documents, in the				
20	ordinary course of business, fully prepaid.				
21	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on August 30, 2023 at Los Angeles, California.				
22	di di di a l				
23	Kaitlyn Gentile				
24	Kaluyn Genule				
25					
26					
27					
28					
	1				

PROOF OF SERVICE

1	1 Service List		
2	Nikki McKennedy	☐ FAX	
3	Lisbeth Landsman-Smith Jennifer McCune	U.S. MAIL	
4	Rate Enforcement Bureau	$\square HAND DELIVERED$	
5	<b>California Department of Insurance</b> 1901 Harrison Street, 6th Floor	🖾 EMAIL	
6	Oakland, CA 94612 Tel. (415) 538-4500		
7	Fax (510) 238-7830		
8	Nikki.McKennedy@insurance.ca.gov Lisbeth.Landsman@insurance.ca.gov		
9	Jennifer.McCune@insurance.ca.gov		
10	Jamie Katz	<b>FAX</b>	
11	Public Advisor Enforcement Bureau	U.S. MAIL	
12	California Department of Insurance	HAND DELIVERED	
13	1901 Harrison Street Oakland, CA 94612	<b>EMAIL</b>	
14	Tel. (415) 538-4180 Fax (510) 238-7830		
15	Jamie.Katz@insurance.ca.gov		
16	Francesco Macchia	<b>FAX</b>	
17	Product Manager Farmers Insurance	🖂 U.S. MAIL 🗌 OVERNIGHT MAIL	
18	6301 Owensmouth Ave	HAND DELIVERED	
19	Woodland Hills, CA 91367 Tel. 818-965-0734	<b>EMAIL</b>	
20	Fax 323-932-3950 francesco.macchia@farmersinsurance.c	com	
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	2		
	PROOF OF SERVICE		
	1		