1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Harvey Rosenfield, SBN 123082 Pamela Pressley, SBN 180362 Benjamin Powell, SBN 311624 Ryan Mellino, SBN 342497 CONSUMER WATCHDOG 6330 San Vicente Blvd., Suite 250 Los Angeles, CA 90048 Tel. (310) 392-0522 Fax (310) 392-8874 harvey@consumerwatchdog.org pam@consumerwatchdog.org ben@consumerwatchdog.org ryan.m@consumerwatchdog.org				
8	Attorneys for CONSUMER WATCHDOG				
9					
10	BEFORE THE INSURANCE COMMISSIONER				
11	OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
12	In the Matter of the Rate Application of	File No.: 23-613			
13	in the Matter of the Rate Application of	CONSUMER WATCHDOG'S			
14	State Farm General Insurance Company,	PETITION FOR HEARING, PETITION TO INTERVENE, AND			
15	Applicant.	NOTICE OF INTENT TO SEEK COMPENSATION			
16 17		[Ins. Code §§ 1861.05 and 1861.10; Cal. Code Regs, tit. 10, §§ 2653.1, 2661.2			
18		and 2661.3]			
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
		1			
		1			

Consumer Watchdog hereby requests that the Insurance Commissioner notice a public hearing pursuant to Insurance Code sections 1861.05, subdivisions (a) and (c), and 1861.10, subdivision (a), on the issues raised in this petition regarding the above-referenced Rate Application of State Farm General Insurance Company ("Applicant" of "State Farm"), at which time Applicant will be directed to appear and respond to the issues raised in this petition. Consumer Watchdog also hereby requests that it be granted leave to intervene in the proceeding on the Application. Consumer Watchdog intends to seek compensation in this proceeding, and, pursuant to California Code of Regulations, title 10 ("10 CCR"), section 2661.3, subdivision (c), Consumer Watchdog's proposed budget is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

In support of its petition, Consumer Watchdog alleges:

I. THE APPLICATION

- 1. On or about February 28, 2023, Applicant filed a Rate Application with the California Department of Insurance ("CDI"), seeking approval of an overall 28.1% rate increase to its California Homeowners Program line of insurance (File No. 23-613 ["the Application"]).
- 2. On or about March 17, 2023, the public was notified by the Department of the Application.

II. PETITIONER

- 3. Petitioner Consumer Watchdog is a nonprofit, nonpartisan public interest corporation organized to represent the interests of consumers and taxpayers. A core focus of Consumer Watchdog's advocacy is the representation of the interests of insurance consumers and policyholders, particularly as they relate to the implementation and enforcement of Proposition 103, in matters before the Legislature, the courts, and the CDI.
- 4. Consumer Watchdog's founder authored Proposition 103 and led the successful campaign for its enactment by California voters in 1988. Consumer Watchdog's staff and consultants include some of the nation's foremost consumer advocates and experts on insurance ratemaking matters.
- 5. Consumer Watchdog has served as a public watchdog with regard to insurance rates and insurer rollback liabilities under Proposition 103 by: monitoring rollback settlements

and the status of the rollback regulations; reviewing and challenging rate filings made by insurers seeking excessive rates; participating in rulemaking and adjudicatory hearings before the CDI; and educating the public concerning industry underwriting and rating practices, their rights under Proposition 103, and other provisions of state law. Consumer Watchdog has also initiated and intervened in actions in state court and appeared as amicus curiae in matters involving the interpretation and application of Proposition 103 and the Insurance Code.¹

6. Consumer Watchdog has initiated and intervened in numerous proceedings before the CDI related to the implementation and enforcement of Proposition 103's reforms, including over 125 such proceedings in the last twenty years. In every proceeding in the last twenty years that has resulted in a final decision and in which Consumer Watchdog sought compensation, the Commissioner found that Consumer Watchdog made a substantial contribution, meaning that its participation was separate and distinct from any other party and that it presented relevant issues, evidence, and arguments that resulted in more credible, non-frivolous information being available to the Commissioner in making his final decision.

III. EVIDENCE

7. At the requested public hearing, Consumer Watchdog will present and elicit evidence to show that the rates proposed in the Application are excessive and/or unfairly discriminatory in violation of Insurance Code section 1861.05, subdivision (a), which provides that "[n]o rate shall be approved or remain in effect which is excessive, inadequate, [or] unfairly discriminatory." Additionally, Consumer Watchdog will present and elicit evidence that Applicant's proposed rates violate 10 CCR § 2644.1, which provides that "[n]o rate shall be

¹ For example, Calfarm Ins. Co. v. Deukmejian (1989) 48 Cal.3d 805; 20th Century Ins. Co. v. Garamendi (1994) 8 Cal.4th 216; Amwest Surety Ins. Co. v. Wilson (1995) 11 Cal.4th 1243; Proposition 103 Enforcement Project v. Quackenbush (1998) 64 Cal.App.4th 1473; Spanish Speaking Citizens' Found. v. Low (2000) 85 Cal.App.4th 1179; Donabedian v. Mercury Ins. Co. (2004) 116 Cal.App.4th 968; State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co. v. Garamendi (2004) 32 Cal.4th 1029; The Found. for Taxpayer and Consumer Rights v. Garamendi (2005) 132 Cal.App.4th 1354; Ass'n of Cal. Ins. Cos. v. Poizner (2009) 180 Cal.App.4th 1029; Mercury Cas. Co. v. Jones (2017) 8 Cal.App.5th 561; Mercury Ins. Co. v. Lara (2019) 35 Cal.App.5th 82; and State Farm General Ins. Co. v. Lara (2021) 71 Cal.App.5th 197.

approved or remain in effect that is above the maximum permitted earned premium as defined in section 2644.2."

- 8. Based on Consumer Watchdog's preliminary analysis and the information contained in the Application and publicly available from the Department's website, Consumer Watchdog has identified the following issues with respect to the Application on which it intends to present and elicit evidence as set forth in sections (a)–(j) below.
 - a) Excessive Rates (10 CCR § 2644.1): The net income in 2021 of \$436 million, which is more than 20% of premium, is not consistent with the large rate increase being proposed, but instead would be consistent with a rate decrease.
 - b) Catastrophe Adjustment (10 CCR § 2644.5): Applicant did not justify the use of amount of insurance (AOI) as the proper base for the catastrophe adjustment. Applicant used an inflated value for the AOI trend for Homeowners that results in an inflated catastrophe provision. Applicant has not shown that the catastrophe adjustment used reflects any changes between the insurer's historical and prospective exposure to catastrophe due to a change in the mix of business. The provision for Fire Following Earthquake was not supported.
 - c) Premium and Loss Trends (10 CCR § 2644.7): The selected annual loss trends are among the highest of the possible values. The excessive loss trend overstates the projected loss resulting in an inflated rate indication. Applicant failed to prove that its trend selections, as well as the data period used, are the most actuarially sound.
 - d) Improper Loss Development (10 CCR § 2644.6): Applicant uses incurred loss development in the rate templates. In addition, the average case reserves for the most recent year are materially higher than prior years. Applicant fails to explain why there is such a large difference between the paid and incurred development. Nor do they demonstrate that the higher incurred development method is the most actuarially sound.
 - e) Improper / Unsupported Excluded Expenses (10 CCR§ 2644.10): Applicant has not shown that all of its institutional advertising expenses have been reflected in the excluded expense provision. There may also be excluded expenses for other categories that should

- be reflected in the rate calculation but were not adequately reflected in the filing, including but not limited to "All payments to affiliates, to the extent that such payments exceed the fair market rate or value of the goods or services in the open market".
- f) Ancillary Income (10 CCR § 2644.13): The use of \$0 for California Finance / Service Charges in 2021 for projecting the future value appears to be unreasonable and actuarially unsound. The Applicant has not explained or supported the decrease in reported California Finance / Service Charges as a reasonable basis for projecting future values.
- g) Unsupported Variance 2C (10 CCR § 2644.27(f)(2)(C)): Applicant has not shown that its average premiums are significantly larger or smaller than the average California premiums. The Applicant has misapplied the applicable regulation for various reasons including, but not limited to, using the wrong basis of comparison.
- h) Improper / Unsupported Variance 7D (10 CCR § 2644.27(f)(7)(D)): While a variance from the loss development section of the regulation (10 CCR § 2644.6) could be appropriate, Applicant failed to prove that its selected loss development is the most actuarially sound.
- i) Improper / Unsupported Variance 8F (10 CCR § 2644.27(f)(8)(F)): While a variance from the trend section of the regulation (10 CCR § 2644.7) could be appropriate, Applicant failed to prove that its trend selections are the most actuarially sound.
- j) Other: The Applicant has not adequately supported other items in the filing, including but not limited to, to the values and procedures used for: (i) Location Rating, (ii) Wildfire Mitigation Discount – Community Level and (iii) Wildfire Mitigation Discount – Property Level.
- 9. This petition is based upon Consumer Watchdog's preliminary analysis of the Application. Thus, Consumer Watchdog reserves the right to modify, withdraw, and/or add issues for consideration as more information becomes available, including but not limited to violations of Insurance Code section 1859 for failure to disclose information in its filings that will affect policyholders' rates and premiums.

IV. AUTHORITY FOR PETITION AND GRANTING REQUEST FOR A HEARING

- 10. The authority for this petition for hearing is Insurance Code section 1861.10, subdivision (a), which grants "any person" the right to initiate or intervene in a proceeding permitted or established by Proposition 103 and the right to enforce Proposition 103. Specifically, as stated above, Consumer Watchdog initiates this proceeding to enforce Insurance Code sections 1861.05 and 1861.02 and the Commissioner's regulations.
- 11. Additionally, this petition is authorized pursuant to Insurance Code section 1861.05, subdivision (c), which allows "a consumer or his or her representative" to request a hearing on a rate application and 10 CCR § 2653.1, which provides that "any person, whether as an individual, representative of an organization, or on behalf of the general public, may request a hearing by submitting a petition for hearing." Since Applicant is requesting rate changes exceeding 7%, the Commissioner is required to hold a hearing in response to this timely petition pursuant to Insurance Code section 1861.05(c).
- 12. This petition is timely pursuant to Insurance Code section 1861.05, subdivision (c), and 10 CCR § 2646.4(a)(1) because it is filed within forty-five (45) days of the March 17, 2023 public notice date.

V. <u>INTEREST OF PETITIONER</u>

Applicant's California Homeowners Program insurance policyholders are charged rates and premiums that comply with the provisions of Insurance Code section 1861.05(a)'s requirement that "no rate shall be approved or remain in effect which is excessive, inadequate, [or] unfairly discriminatory or otherwise in violation of this chapter," and the requirements contained in the regulations promulgated thereunder. For many homeowners and condo owners, their home is their most valuable asset, and they are required to purchase homeowners insurance by their mortgage lenders. Consumers who are overcharged by insurers for homeowners and renters insurance coverage and/or arbitrarily non-renewed are part of Consumer Watchdog's core constituency.

- 14. As noted in paragraphs 3–6 above, Consumer Watchdog's staff and consultants have substantial experience and expertise in insurance rate matters, which Consumer Watchdog believes will aid the CDI in its review of the Application and aid the Commissioner in making his ultimate decision as to whether to approve or disapprove the requested rate. As noted in paragraph 6 above, the Commissioner has found that Consumer Watchdog has made a substantial contribution in all of the rate proceedings in which it has intervened in the last nineteen years that have proceeded to a final decision wherein Consumer Watchdog has sought compensation. If leave to intervene is granted, Consumer Watchdog will participate fully in all aspects of this proceeding.
- 15. Consumer Watchdog also has an interest in ensuring that Applicant, the CDI, and the Insurance Commissioner comply with the laws enacted by the voters under Proposition 103, and the rules and regulations that implement those laws, including that all information submitted to the Department in connection with the Application is made publicly available.

VI. AUTHORITY FOR PETITION TO INTERVENE

16. The authority for Consumer Watchdog's petition to intervene is Insurance Code section 1861.10, subdivision (a), which grants "any person" the right to "initiate or intervene in any proceeding permitted or established pursuant to this chapter [Chapter 9 of Part 2 of Division 1 of the Insurance Code] . . . and enforce any provision of this article." This proceeding is a proceeding to enforce Insurance Code sections 1861.05 and 1861.02 pursuant to Insurance Code section 1861.10(a), and hence is a proceeding both "permitted" and "established" by Chapter 9. This petition to intervene is also authorized by 10 CCR § 2661.1 et seq. Although consumer presence in departmental proceedings typically results in significant reductions to policyholders' rates, the amount of savings for each individual consumer is outweighed by the time and expense of hiring individual counsel or an advocacy group to protect his or her rights. Thus, an independent organization like Consumer Watchdog introduces a voice that otherwise would be absent from this proceeding.

VII. PARTICIPATION OF CONSUMER WATCHDOG

17. Consumer Watchdog verifies, in accordance with 10 CCR § 2661.3, that it will be able to attend and participate in this proceeding without unreasonably delaying this proceeding or any other proceedings before the Insurance Commissioner.

VIII. INTENT TO SEEK COMPENSATION

- 18. The Commissioner has awarded Consumer Watchdog compensation for its reasonable advocacy and witness fees and expenses in past departmental proceedings. The Commissioner issued Consumer Watchdog's latest Finding of Eligibility on July 26, 2022, effective for two years as of July 12, 2022. Consumer Watchdog was previously found eligible to seek compensation on August 25, 2020, effective as of July 12, 2020; July 12, 2018; July 14, 2016; July 24, 2014; July 24, 2012; July 2, 2010; August 25, 2008; July 14, 2006; July 2, 2004; June 20, 2002; October 1, 1997; September 26, 1995; September 27, 1994; and September 13, 1993.
- 19. Consumer Watchdog intends to seek compensation in this proceeding. Pursuant to 10 CCR § 2661.3(c), Consumer Watchdog's estimated budget in this proceeding is attached hereto as Exhibit A. Consumer Watchdog has based its estimated budget on several factors including: (1) the technical and legal expertise needed to address these issues; (2) its current best estimate of the time needed to participate effectively in these proceedings, taking into account the time already expended by Consumer Watchdog staff and its consulting actuary and an estimate of time needed to complete remaining tasks through completion of a noticed evidentiary hearing; and (3) past experience in similar rate proceedings before the CDI. The estimated budget is reasonable and the staffing level is appropriate, given the expertise that Consumer Watchdog and its consultants bring to these proceedings when the issues involved are issues at the very core of its organizational mission and strike at the very heart of Proposition 103 itself. The budget presented in the attached Exhibit A is a preliminary estimate, and Consumer Watchdog reserves the right to amend its proposed budget as its expenses become more certain, or in its request for final compensation. Consumer Watchdog will give notice of such

1	modifications as soon as practicable after it discovers the need to revise its estimates and shall			
2	comply with the budget revision requirements in the relevant intervenor regulations.			
3	WHEREFORE, Consumer Watchdog respectfully requests that the Insurance			
4	Commissioner GRANT its petition for hearing and petition to intervene in the proceeding.			
5				
6	DATED: May 1, 2023 Respectfully submitted,			
7				
8	Harvey Rosenfield Pamela Pressley			
9	Benjamin Powell			
10	Ryan Mellino CONSUMER WATCHDOG			
11	BD//			
12	By: Benjamin Powell			
13	Attorneys for CONSUMER WATCHDOG			
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

<u>VERIFICATION OF BENJAMIN POWELL IN SUPPORT OF CONSUMER</u> WATCHDOG'S PETITION FOR HEARING, PETITION TO INTERVENE, AND NOTICE OF INTENT TO SEEK COMPENSATION

I, Benjamin Powell, verify:

- 1. I am an attorney employed by Consumer Watchdog. If called as a witness, I could and would testify competently to the facts stated in this verification.
- 2. I personally prepared the pleading titled "Consumer Watchdog's Petition for Hearing, Petition to Intervene, and Notice of Intent to Seek Compensation" filed in this matter. All of the factual matters alleged therein are true of my own personal knowledge, or I believe them to be true after conducting some inquiry and investigation.
- 3. Pursuant to California Code of Regulations, title 10, section 2661.3, Consumer Watchdog attaches as Exhibit A its estimated budget in this proceeding.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed May 1, 2023 at Los Angeles, California.

Benjamin Powell

EXHIBIT A PRELIMINARY BUDGET

1

<u>ITEMS</u> <u>ESTIMATED COST</u>	
1. <u>Consumer Watchdog Attorneys and Paralegal</u>	
D 1 D 1 (G : G) (CA) () 0 0505 1 1001 050 500	
Pamela Pressley (Senior Staff Attorney) @ \$595 per hour, 100 hours	
counsel; oversee preparation of legal documents; confer with Consumer Watchdog counsel and outside experts regarding legal and evidentiary issues; participate in	
discussions with CDI and Applicant's counsel; assist in all phases of proceeding,	
evidentiary hearing, and preparation of post-hearing briefing; edit request for compensation and supporting attorney declaration.	
Benjamin Powell (Staff Attorney) @ \$350 per hour, 200 hours	
Draft and edit petition for hearing and petition to intervene; Confer with Consumer	
Watchdog counsel and outside experts regarding legal and evidentiary issues; participate in discussions with CDI and Applicant's counsel; draft briefing of legal issues; conduct	
discovery and preparation for evidentiary hearing; participate in evidentiary hearing and	
post-hearing legal briefing; edit request for compensation.	
Kaitlyn Gentile (Paralegal) @ \$200 per hour, 50 hours	
preparation of motions and briefs; prepare request for compensation.	
Harvey Rosenfield (Of Counsel) @ \$695 per hour, 15 hours	
Office expenses (photocopies, facsimile, telephone calls, postage, etc.)\$2,000	
Travel (ground transportation; airfare; hotel)\$5,000	
Consumer Watchdog Subtotal\$156,925	
3. <u>Expert Witness: AIS Risk Consultants, Inc.</u>	
Allan I. Schwartz, President of AIS Risk Consultants @ \$915 per hour, 200 hours \$183,000	
• Lead actuary to review all discovery documents; prepare actuarial analysis; participate in meet and confers with the parties as needed; prepare written testimony; testify and assist	
attorneys in preparation for cross-examination of insurers' expert witnesses.	
Katherine Tollar @ \$415 per hour, 100 hours	
Assist Mr. Schwartz in document review, rate level analysis, preparation of testimony.	

1	4. <u>Travel by Mr. Schwartz</u>	
2	Ground transportation; airfare to hearing; hotel	\$5,000
3	AIS Risk Consultants Subtotal	\$229,500
4		
5		\$206.427
6	TOTAL ESTIMATED BUDGET:	<u>\$386,425</u>
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

PROOF OF SERVICE BY OVERNIGHT OR U.S. MAIL, FAX TRANSMISSION, EMAIL TRANSMISSION AND/OR PERSONAL SERVICE

State of California, City of Los Angeles, County of Los Angeles

I am employed in the City and County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the within action. My business address is 6330 South San Vicente Boulevard, Suite 250, Los Angeles, California 90048, and I am employed in the city and county where this service is occurring.

On May 1, 2023, I caused service of true and correct copies of the document entitled

CONSUMER WATCHDOG'S PETITION FOR HEARING, PETITION TO INTERVENE, AND NOTICE OF INTENT TO SEEK COMPENSATION

upon the persons named in the attached service list, in the following manner:

- 1. If marked FAX SERVICE, by facsimile transmission this date to the FAX number stated to the person(s) named.
- 2. If marked EMAIL, by electronic mail transmission this date to the email address stated.
- 3. If marked U.S. MAIL or OVERNIGHT or HAND DELIVERED, by placing this date for collection for regular or overnight mailing true copies of the within document in sealed envelopes, addressed to each of the persons so listed. I am readily familiar with the regular practice of collection and processing of correspondence for mailing of U.S. Mail and for sending of Overnight mail. If mailed by U.S. Mail, these envelopes would be deposited this day in the ordinary course of business with the U.S. Postal Service. If mailed Overnight, these envelopes would be deposited this day in a box or other facility regularly maintained by the express service carrier, or delivered this day to an authorized courier or driver authorized by the express service carrier to receive documents, in the ordinary course of business, fully prepaid.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on May 1, 2023 at Los Angeles, California.

Xatlu. 91 Kaitlyn Gentile

Service List 1 2 Jennifer McCune FAX Acting Assistant Chief Counsel U.S. MAIL 3 Rate Enforcement Bureau **OVERNIGHT MAIL** California Department of Insurance HAND DELIVERED 4 1901 Harrison Street, 4th Floor ⊠ EMAIL 5 Oakland, CA 94612 Tel. (415) 538-4111 6 Fax (510) 238-7830 Jennifer.McCune@insurance.ca.gov 7 8 Jamie Katz **Public Advisor** FAX 9 U.S. MAIL Enforcement Bureau California Department of Insurance **OVERNIGHT MAIL** 10 1901 Harrison Street HAND DELIVERED 11 Oakland, CA 94612 \boxtimes EMAIL Tel. (415) 538-4180 12 Fax (510) 238-7830 Jamie.Katz@insurance.ca.gov 13 14 Nicole Pettis FAX Pricing Manager U.S. MAIL 15 **State Farm General Insurance Company** OVERNIGHT MAIL 1 State Farm Plaza HAND DELIVERED 16 Bloomington, IL 61710-0001 ⊠ EMAIL 17 Tel. (309) 766-2265 nicole.pettis.m3ht@statefarm.com 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 2 PROOF OF SERVICE